

File Bearbeiten Ansicht Chronik Lesezeichen Extras Hilfe
Publikationsdatenbank x EWVT 2015 x Climate change: The neces... x
onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1002/2014EF000280/ Earth's Future
Meistbesucht www.kreditkarte.at Erste Schritte Aktuelle Nachrichten Outlook Web App http://eeg.tuwien.ac.at/

The Only One Missing is You! Learn More
Discover the benefits of AGU membership AGU

Back to old version

Earth's Future
AN OPEN ACCESS AGU JOURNAL

Open Access Creative Commons

COMMENTARY

Climate change: The necessary, the possible and the desirable Earth League climate statement on the implications for climate policy from the 5th IPCC Assessment

Johan Rockström, Guy Brasseur, Brian Hoskins, Wolfgang Lucht, John Schellnhuber, Pavel Kabat, Nebojsa Nakicenovic, Peng Gong, Peter Schlosser, Maria Mnhez Costa, April Humble, Nick Eyre, Peter Gleick, Rachel James, Andre Lucena, Omar Masera, Marcus Moench, Roberto Schaeffer, Sybil Seitzinger, Sander van der Leeuw, Bob Ward, Nicholas Stern, James Hurrell, Leena Srivastava, Jennifer Morgan, Carlos Nobre, Youba Sokona, Roger Cremades, Ellinor Roth, Diana Liverman, James Arnott

First published: 17 December 2014 Full publication history
DOI: 10.1002/2014EF000280 Views/save citation
Cited by: 0 articles Check for new citations

61

Abstract

Early View
Online Version of Record published before inclusion in an issue

Abstract

- 1 Human Wellbeing is at Risk From a Changing Climate
- 2 Scientists Speaking With One Voice
- 3 The Challenge for Global Society and its Political Leaders
- 4 A Global Transformation is Necessary and Possible ...
- 5 ...and Desirable

Acknowledgments

References

Provide feedback or get help

11:56
12.01.2015

Climate change: the necessary, the possible and the desirable

Earth League climate statement on the implications for climate policy from the 5th IPCC Assessment

Rockström, Johan¹; Brasseur, Guy²; Hoskins, Brian³; Lucht, Wolfgang⁴; Schellnhuber, John⁴; Kabat, Pavel⁵; Nakicenovic, Nebojsa⁵; Gong, Peng⁶; Schlosser, Peter⁷; Máñez Costa, Maria⁸; Humble, April⁸; Eyre, Nick⁹; Gleick, Peter¹⁰; James, Rachel⁹; Lucena, Andre¹¹; Maser, Omar¹²; Moench, Marcus¹³; Schaeffer, Roberto¹¹; Seitzinger, Sybil¹⁴; van der Leeuw, Sander¹⁵; Ward, Bob¹⁶; Stern, Nicholas¹⁶; Hurrell, James¹⁷; Srivastava, Leena¹⁸; Morgan, Jennifer¹⁹; Nobre, Carlos²⁰; Sokona, Youba²¹; Roger Cremades⁸, Ellinor Roth⁸, Diana Liverman²², James Arnett²³.

¹ Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, Sweden

² Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Germany

³ Grantham Institute for Climate Change, Imperial College London, UK

⁴ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Germany

⁵ International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Austria

⁶ University of California, Berkeley, USA

⁷ Earth Institute, Columbia University, USA

⁸ Climate service Center 2.0, Helmholtz Zentrum Geesthacht, Germany

⁹ Environment Change Institute, University of Oxford, UK

¹⁰ Pacific Institute, Oakland, USA

¹¹ Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹² National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

¹³ Institute for Social and Environmental Transition, USA

¹⁴ International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme, Sweden

¹⁵ School of Sustainability, Arizona State University, USA

¹⁶ Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science, UK

¹⁷ National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), USA

¹⁸ TERI University, India

¹⁹ World Resources Institute, USA

²⁰ National Institute for Space Research (INPE), Brazil

²¹ The South Center, Switzerland

²² Institute of the Environment - University of Arizona, USA

²³ Aspen Global Change Institute, USA

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: /EFT2.2014EF000280

Human wellbeing is at risk from a changing climate

The development of human civilisations has occurred at a time of stable climate. This climate stability is now threatened by human activity. The rising global climate risk occurs at a decisive moment for world development. World nations are currently discussing a global development agenda consequent to the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), which ends in 2015. It is increasingly possible to envisage a world where absolute poverty is largely eradicated within one generation and where ambitious goals are adopted on universal access to clean energy and water, and improvements in human health, education, and equal opportunities for dignified lives.

These grand aspirations for a world population approaching or even exceeding nine billion in 2050 (Andreev et al., 2013) is threatened by substantial global environmental risks and by rising inequality (Brito and Stafford-Smith, 2012; UNEP, 2012; IPCC AR5 WGI, 2013; IPCC AR5 WGII, 2014). Research shows that development gains, in both rich and poor nations, can be undermined by social, economic and ecological problems caused by human-induced global environmental change (World Bank, 2012). Climate change, and associated alterations in marine and terrestrial ecosystems that regulate the resilience of the climate system, are at the forefront of these global risks.

We, as citizens with a strong engagement in Earth system science and socio-ecological dynamics, share the vision of a more equitable and prosperous future for the world, yet we also see threats to this future from shifts in climate and environmental processes. Without collaborative action now, our shared Earth system may not be able to sustainably support a large proportion of humanity in the coming decades.

Scientists speaking with one voice

The scientific evidence is clear: by continuing to add greenhouse gases (GHGs) to the atmosphere we are laying a very dangerous path for our planet. The magnitude of future global temperature and related changes in regional weather extremes, rainfall patterns and sea level will be determined by the extent to which our emissions of GHGs trigger reinforcing climate processes (positive feedbacks), some of which are likely to continue to accelerate as warming proceeds.

Recent evidence from both Polar Regions illustrates that such positive feedback effects may have already started. Satellite observations and field measurements show that summer melting of snow on Greenland now occurs over most of the ice sheet, which leads to a darker surface and, as a consequence, an increase in heat absorption, leading to further melt (Box et al., 2012). A similar issue has been observed in the Arctic Ocean, as a consequence of the

generally diminishing sea ice, leading to enhanced uptake of the sun's heat by the open ocean. In West Antarctica, where the ice sheet is grounded on the sea floor, ocean warming has been shown to cause ice retreat into deeper regions, which automatically leads to further loss of ice and, hence, greater retreat. Recent studies have suggested that some sections of the ice sheet are now undergoing the first signs of irreversible loss, with the risk of additional global sea level rise of one or more meters in the coming fifty to one hundred years (Joughin et al., 2014; Mouginot et al., 2014). Irreversible melt of the Greenland ice sheet, which holds an associated sea level rise of seven meters, might be triggered by less than 2°C of global warming compared to the pre-industrial climate (Robinson et al., 2012; IPCC, 2013). Another significant risk is that of long-term carbon release from permafrost thawing in boreal zones, leading to substantial additional warming of the planet's surface (Schaphoff et al., 2013). All the while, the risk of ecosystems transforming across the world increases strongly as a function of global mean temperature change (Ostberg et al., 2013).

Actual trends of CO₂ emissions suggest that the warming effect of our current GHG emissions rates might push us to 4°C above pre-industrial climatic conditions. If this occurs, our climate would be as different from pre-industrial conditions as it was when the Earth began to emerge from the last ice age some 18,000 to 20,000 years ago. The governments of the world have agreed that the global average temperature increase should not exceed 2°C (compared to the pre-industrial average) in order to avoid dangerous climate change (UNFCCC; COP Cancun, 2010). Considerable risks with potentially serious impacts are expected already at 1 to 2°C warming, which will require large investments in adaptation (IPCC AR5 WGII, 2014).

Beyond such average global temperature increases, societies will experience increasing risks from extreme events and other changes that may be beyond adaptation capabilities, making several parts of the world susceptible to extremely high social and economic costs (IPCC, 2012). This includes risks to global food production, freshwater supply and quality, significant sea level rise, changes in disease patterns, and possibly higher risk of pandemics (IPCC AR5 WGII, 2014).

As human induced emissions of CO₂ increase, the likelihood of exceeding 2°C rises. Translating the climate sensitivities in the IPCC AR5 report into risk terms shows that at a greenhouse gas concentration of 450 ppm CO₂eq for all forcing agents, i.e. long and short lived gases as well as warming and cooling agents, the probability of exceeding 2°C at equilibrium is approximately 60% (Rogelj et al., 2012; GROI, 2013; IPCC AR5 WGI, 2013). Even if we focus on transient scenarios until 2100 with deep cuts in emissions during the second half of the century, we face at least a 30% probability of exceeding 2 °C the by end of the century at 450 ppm CO₂eq (IPCC AR5 WGIII, 2014). We are virtually certain to reach a annual mean concentration of 400 ppm CO₂ in 2014 (we stood at 398 ppm CO₂ in early 2014 and are increasing at a rate of 2-4 ppm per year), which corresponds to approximately 450 ppm CO₂eq for all forcing agents. This is a very high risk level, especially given that we are already above 400 ppm, and is much greater than we normally accept for other potentially dangerous societal risks, such as nuclear power generation, terrorism, and human health epidemics.

Humanity still has a choice, either to continue on a high risk path, or to transition to a developmental paradigm that enhances human well-being within a safer future climate. This choice can be stated in terms of a maximum global carbon budget: a limit of approximately 600-1200 Gt of additional CO₂ emissions gives the world a 66% chance of meeting the target 2°C under optimistic assumptions (sustained carbon sinks, reduction in other GHGs, and non-occurrence of Earth system tipping points) (Meinshausen et al., 2009, 2011; Rogelj et al., 2012; IPCC AR5 WGI & WGIII, 2014). With the current level of global fossil fuel CO₂ emissions of ~35 GtCO₂/year and rising, the world has only some 20-25 more years to complete the transition to a low-carbon economy. To succeed in staying within anything like this global carbon budget or, equivalently, to limit the global temperature rise to even near 2°C, global emissions would need to peak in the 2020s and fall to a very low level in the latter part of the century.

The challenge for global society and its political leaders

Politically and economically, emissions reductions are extremely challenging and represent a big investment that will pay off years later. There is, in our minds, no doubt that this is one of the largest world challenges of our time. But with challenges come huge opportunities. It might be possible with existing technologies to secure continued development and well-being for our rapidly growing global population, whilst performing a concerted global transformation to a low-carbon economy that ensures access to clean energy for all citizens and avoids the risks of climate change (GEA, 2012; World Bank, 2012).

The latest scientific assessment of global climate change by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) comes at a critical juncture, as world nations prepare for the post-2015 world development framework, including both a global climate agreement to follow on from the Kyoto Protocol and the Sustainable Development Goals agenda to replace the Millennium Development Goals (Griggs et al., 2013). The political challenge is to now design a transformational paradigm shift in development, away from growth at the expense of the climate system and, instead, transition towards a framework that ensures sustainable human well-being within the safe operating space of a stable environment (Rockström et al., 2009; Steffen et al., 2011).

The task ahead for world leaders involved in the UN processes on climate change and sustainable development is immense. The scientific reasoning behind the need for change is irrefutable, however. Our world, our society, if it wishes to avoid significant and irreversible global change, must commit to do everything it can to stabilise the climate system at, or below, the internationally agreed target of 2°C. It is encouraging that many countries are taking important first steps in this direction.

A global transformation is necessary and possible....

World economic growth has been fueled by decades of investments that have led to relatively cheap fossil energy sources, subsidised through 'cost-free pollution' of the atmosphere and

the biosphere, and little compensation to regions and communities impacted by industrial progress over the last two centuries. This path has led to substantial economic growth in many nations of the world, and our existing geopolitical framework. There is, therefore, an understandable temptation to continue with this well-proven growth model. Based on the evidence at hand, however, that approach puts both the climate system and the biosphere, upon which humanity depends, at risk, and thus also, future societal well-being and development. Because more than 70% of emissions are from fossil fuels, a global transformation to a low-carbon world economy is a necessary and urgent requisite for reducing the risks and costs to our future generations.

This transformation requires a global energy revolution (GEA, 2012), which has, we suggest, already started. Several sectors, businesses, civil society initiatives and countries are gradually implementing and scaling-up renewable energy systems, decoupling future growth from emissions, and improving energy efficiency, not primarily on environmental but on economic grounds (GEA, 2012). Energy demand in many industrialised countries is falling even as their economies continue to grow. Wind power and solar photovoltaics are growing exponentially in several parts of the world, already accounting for 25% of electricity generation in countries such as Germany and Spain, and are rapidly outcompeting traditional energy sources, such as nuclear and fossil fuels on energy returns on investment (EROI) (World Energy Council, 2013), leading to the conclusion that many countries can today reach very high shares (30%) of electricity from solar and wind (IEA, 2014). These changes need to be widespread and accelerated exponentially. Research and development on next-generation buildings, mobility, smart grids, low cost and efficient storage and energy carriers, etc., show that decarbonisation offers huge opportunities and that energy systems can be based on emissions-free sources. The world may be approaching a point where the technological feasibility and economic benefits clearly tip in favor of a large scale transition to an economy powered by clean and efficient energy (GEA, 2012). Ensuring unbiased and enabling policy, and regulatory frameworks could bring about the transformations that could lead to a carbon neutral society.

A transformation to global sustainability, including our ability to mitigate climate change, goes well beyond reducing emissions of CO₂. Safeguarding the resilience of the biosphere is necessary in order to sustain the huge carbon sink in the oceans (which absorb ~25% of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions) and land-based ecosystems (which take up another ~25% of CO₂ emissions) (IPCC AR5 WGI, 2013). Sustainable management of Earth's finite freshwater is also critical in this respect, as its role of regulating biomass growth and the functioning of ecosystems is an essential element of the Earth system. There is a great need for a far more advanced understanding of the multiple shocks and cascading effects, and the solutions and management systems of water in the context of climate change, that go far beyond the local to the regional and global levels.

The global food market is a significant contributor to human-induced climate change, yet it is also highly vulnerable to future change. A global transformation to sustainable food systems is therefore necessary. Changes in food production, consumption and supply, meeting rising food demands and shifting diets over the coming 30 years, will require ~50% increase in

global food supply, and changes in diets, waste, yields, etc. This corresponds to a new green revolution based on sustainable intensification, and at the same time there must be a transformation from a carbon-intensive industry to one which helps reduce carbon emissions and conserves biodiversity, land, soil nutrients and water.

Human migration, which may increasingly include climate-induced movement of people, is contributing to larger urban populations, which are growing at unprecedented rates. Urban technologies provide the opportunity to reverse the growing problems of GHG emissions, air pollution, and other environmental impacts. This opportunity must be seized, enabling sustainable development, in which urban areas are designed to combine improved services with GHG reductions. This can also prove to be cost effective in developing countries where urban infrastructure is not yet developed, and in developed countries through the avoidance of the long-term increased costs of a high emission infrastructure.

...and desirable

Overwhelming evidence now shows that a transition to a sustainable future is not only necessary and possible, but also desirable if we wish to protect economies, reduce human suffering and enhance wellbeing, both now and in the future. Rising volatility on global energy markets coupled with rapidly rising demand and decline in economically viable reserves (McKillop, 2011); growing risks of social instability, insecurity and conflict related to control over resources, including energy and water; and, risks of losing industrial competitiveness as energy returns on investment (EROI) of fossil fuels continue falling, are all rational economic arguments for a transition away from 19th and 20th century energy solutions. The health costs of outdoor and indoor air pollution associated with fossil fuel consumption and biomass burning have become very high, with an estimated seven million deaths in 2012, and significantly shortened life expectancies among urban citizens (WHO, 2014). Sustainable food systems cannot only deliver food with lower climate impacts but also healthier food, and contribute to maintaining landscape resilience (Gregory et al., 2005; Rockström et al., 2014). These are human benefits before even considering the potentially high social costs of allowing climate change to exceed manageable levels (World Bank, 2012).

We find a world transition to a low-carbon economy can be made whilst ensuring the right to development among the world's developing nations, by prioritising access to cheap modern energy systems and higher mitigation requirements on richer nations who have caused the bulk of CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels so far. This has to be accompanied by capacity development programmes at all levels of governance and society.

Facing this greatest challenge, world leaders are able to make choices now, while this opportunity is still at hand, to safeguard a prosperous future for humanity on Earth. As scientists we believe the evidence to support decisions is convincing. As citizens we urge our leaders to create a more sustainable future.

Acknowledgments

Contributions and outcomes of this statement come partially from the four working groups of the *Earth League First Annual Workshop: A World Under 2 - 4 degrees Warming*, hosted at the Santa Fe Institute, USA, 23-25 April 2014.

References

Andreev, K., Kantarova, V. and Bongaarts, J. (2013) Demographic Components of Future Population Growth. Technical Paper 2013/3. United Nations. New York

Barnosky, A.D. et al. (2012) Approaching a State Shift in Earth's Biosphere. In: *Nature* 486: 52-58, June 7.

Box, J.E, Fettweis, X., Stroeve, J.C., Tedesco, M., Hall, D.K., and K. Steffen (2012) Greenland ice sheet albedo feedback: thermodynamics and atmospheric drivers. In: *The Cryosphere*, 6, 821-839

Brito, L and Stanford-Smith, (2012) State of the planet declaration. Planet under pressure: new knowledge towards solutions. London, UK, 26–29 March, 2012

GEA (2012) *Global Energy Assessment - Toward a Sustainable Future*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, and New York, NY, USA and the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Laxenburg, Austria

Gregory P.J., Ingram, J.S.I., Brklacich, M., (2005) Climate Change and Food Security. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 360, 2139 - 2148

GROI (2013) Global Risk and Opportunity Indicator (GROI), <http://global-risk-indicator.net/>

IEA (2014) *The Power of Transformation -- Wind, Sun and the Economics of Flexible Power Systems*. International Energy Agency. Paris

IMF, (2014) *Energy Subsidy Reform – Lessons and Implications*. Report. Washington DC

IPCC, 2012: *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin, D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 582 pp.

IPCC (2013) *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stoker et al (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

IPCC (2014) *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Field, et al (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

IPCC (2014) *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change*. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Edenhofer et al.(eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Joughin, I., Smith, B.E., and Medley, B. (2014) Marine Ice Sheet Collapse Potentially Under Way for the Thwaites Glacier Basin, West Antarctica. *Science*, 344 (6185) : 735 - 738

McKillop, A. (2011) Climate, Energy Transition and Oil Resources. In *Energy and Environment*, 22:3, pp 189-206

Meinshausen M et al. (2009) Greenhouse-gas emission targets for limiting global warming to 2°C. In: *Nature* 458, 1158-1162

Meinshausen M et al. (2011) The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300. In: *Climatic Change*, 109: 1-2, pp 213-241,

Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., and Scheuchl, B. (2014) Sustained increase in ice discharge from the Amundsen Sea Embayment, West Antarctica, from 1973 to 2013. In: *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41: 1576 - 1584

Ostberg, S., Lucht, W., Schaphoff, S., and Gerten, D. (2013) Critical impacts of global warming on land ecosystems. *Earth Syst. Dynam.*, 4:347-357.

Robinson, A., Calov, R., Ganopolski, A. (2012) Multistability and critical thresholds of the Greenland ice sheet. *Nature Climate Change*, 2:429-432

Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, III, F.S., Lambin, E.F., Lenton, T.M., Scheffer, M., Folke, C., Schellnhuber, H.J., Nykvist, B., de Wit, C.A., Hughes, T., van der Leeuw, S., Rodhe, H., Sörlin, S., Snyder, P.K., Costanza, R., Svedin, U., Falkenmark, M., Karlberg, L., Corell, R.W., Fabry, V.J., Hansen, J., Walker, B., Liverman, D., Richardson, K., Crutzen, P., Foley, J.A., (2009) A Safe Operating Space for Humanity. *Nature*, 461: 472 – 475

Rockström, J., Folke, C., Falkenmark, M., et al., (2014) *Water resilience for human prosperity*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Rogelj, J., Meinshausen, M. and Knutti, R. (2012) 'Global warming under old and new scenarios using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates'. In: *Nature Climate Change*, 2(4):248-253

Schaphoff, S., Heyder, U., Ostberg, S., Gerten, D., Heinke, J., and Lucht, W., (2013) Contribution of permafrost soils to the global carbon budget, *Environm. Res. Lett.*, 8:014026.

Smith, J. B., Schneider, S.H., Oppenheimer, M., et al., (2009) Assessing dangerous climate change through an update of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) “reason for concern”. *PNAS*, 106 (11): 4133 - 4137

Steffen, W., Å. Persson, L. Deutsch, M. Williams, J. Zalasiewicz, C. Folke, J. Rockström, C. Crumley, et al. (2011) The Anthropocene: From global change to planetary stewardship. *Ambio*, 40(7): 739-761

UNEP (2012) Environment for the Future We Want. Global Environmental Outlook. GEO5. Malta

United Nations, 2014. Open Working Group on Sustainable Development Goals. Working Document 11th Session 5-9 May 2014.

http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/3686WorkingDoc_0205_additionalreporters.pdf

World Bank. (2012) *Turn down the heat : why a 4°C warmer world must be avoided*. Washington DC: World Bank

World Energy Council (2013) *World Energy Resources*. 2013 Survey. UK

World Health Organisation (2014) 7 million premature deaths annually linked to air pollution. News release 25th March