



VEDECKO-TECHNICKÁ SPOLOČNOSŤ PRI
ŽILINSKEJ UNIVERZITE V ŽILINE
SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL SOCIETY AT
THE UNIVERSITY OF ŽILINA



XXII. MEDZINÁRODNÁ KONFERENCIA
SÚČASNÉ PROBLÉMY V
KOĽAJOVÝCH VOZIDLÁCH

22th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
CURRENT PROBLEMS
IN RAIL VEHICLES



ZBORNÍK PREDNÁŠOK Diel II

PROCEEDINGS Vol. II

ŽILINA, 16. - 18. 9. 2015, SLOVENSKO
ŽILINA, SEPTEMBER 16 - 18, 2015, SLOVAKIA

Vedeckotechnická spoločnosť pri Žilinskej univerzite v Žiline
Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline
Dopravní fakulta Jana Pernera Univerzity Pardubice
s partnermi Železničná spoločnosť Slovensko, a.s.
a Železničná spoločnosť Cargo Slovakia, a.s.


ZBORNÍK PREDNÁŠOK

Diel II

PROCEEDINGS

Vol. II

XXII. medzinárodnej konferencie
Súčasný problémy v koľajových vozidlách
22nd International Conference
Current Problems in Rail Vehicles



PRORAIL 2015

nad ktorou prevzali záštitu
under the auspices of

minister dopravy, výstavby a regionálneho rozvoja SR

Ing. Ján POČIATEK

rektorka Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline

Dr.h.c. Prof. Ing. Tatiana ČOREJOVÁ, PhD.,

predseda predstavenstva a generálny riaditeľ Železničnej spoločnosti
Slovensko, a.s.

Ing. Pavol GÁBOR,

predseda predstavenstva a generálny riaditeľ Železničnej spoločnosti
Cargo Slovakia, a.s.

Ing. Vladimír L'UPTÁK

Vedecký výbor / Scientific Committee:

Predseda / Chairmen:

prof. Ing. Daniel Kalinčák, PhD., Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

Členovia / Members:

Ing. Jozef Blcha, ASTRA Rail Project s.r.o., Poprad, SR
prof. Ing. Bohumil Culek, CSc., DFJP Univerzita Pardubice, ČR
doc. Ing. Jan Famfulík, Ph.D., FS, VŠB - TU Ostrava, ČR
prof. Dr. Ing. Juraj Gerlici, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
doc. Ing. Juraj Grenčík, PhD., Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
prof. Antonio Gugliotta, Politecnico di Torino, I
prof. Dr.-Ing. Markus Hecht, Technická univerzita Berlin, D
doc. Ing. Petr Heller, CSc., Západočeská univerzita v Plzni, ČR
prof. dr. hab. inż. Andrzej Chudzikiewicz, Politechnika Warszawska, PL
Ing. Jan Kalivoda, Ph.D., FS ČVUT Praha, ČR
Ing. Petr Kaván, Ph.D., VUZ - Výzkumný Ústav Železniční, a.s., Praha, ČR
doc. Ing. Josef Kolář, CSc., Fakulta strojní, ČVUT Praha, ČR
doc. Ing. Tomáš Lack, PhD., Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
doc. Ing. Michael Lata, Ph.D., DFJP Univerzita Pardubice, ČR
Ing. Miloslav Lužák, ŽS Cargo Slovakia, a.s., Bratislava, SR
Ing. Zdeněk Malkovský, VÚKV, a.s. Praha, ČR
Ing. Marián Moravčík, PhD., Tatrabagónka a.s., Poprad, SR
Ing. Matěj Pácha, Ph.D., EF ŽU v Žiline, SR
prof. dr. hab. inż. Marek Pawełczyk, Politechnika Świętokrzyska, Kielce, PL
Ing. Jozef Peško, ŽS Slovensko, a.s., Bratislava, SR
prof. dr. hab. inż. Paweł Piec, Politechnika Krakowska, PL
prof. Ing. Oldřich Polách, PhD., Bombardier Transportation (Switzerland) AG, Winterthur, CH
Ing. Tibor Polyák, ŽSR Výskumný a vývojový ústav železníc, Bratislava, SR
Ing. Jiří Segeta, ŠKODA VAGONKA a.s., Ostrava, ČR
prof. dr. hab. inż. Marek Sitarz, Wyższa Szkoła Biznesu, Dąbrowa Górnicza, PL
doc. Ing. Josef Soukup, CSc., Univerzita J. E. Purkyně v Ústí nad Labem, ČR
prof. dr. Dušan Stamenković, Mašinski fakultet Univerziteta u Nišu, Srbija
Ing. Jiří Štěpánek, CZ LOKO a.s., Česká Třebová, ČR
Ing. Jan Zapletal, ŠKODA TRANSPORTATION a.s., Plzeň, ČR
doc. Ing. Jaromír Zelenka, CSc., Dopravní fakulta Jana Pernera, Univerzita Pardubice, ČR
prof. Dr.Sc. István Zobory, Faculty of Transportation Engineering, BUTE Budapest, H
prof. Ing. Peter Zvolenský, CSc., Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

Organizačný výbor / Organizing Committee:

Predseda / Chairmen:

doc. Ing. Dalibor Barta, PhD., Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

Členovia / Members:

Ing. Ján Dižo, PhD., KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Jana Galliková, PhD., KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Jozef Harušinec, PhD., KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Vladimír Hauser, KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Mária Maňurová, KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Martin Mikolajčík, KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Lukáš Smetanka, KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Andrej Suchánek, PhD., KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Ing. Pavol Šťastniak, PhD., KDMT, Strojnícka fakulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

Organizátori konferencie ďakujú za výraznú podporu konferencie partnerom: **Železničnej spoločnosti Slovensko, a.s.** a **ŽS Cargo Slovakia, a.s.**, sponzorom a ďalším firmám, ktoré konanie konferencie podporili inou formou.

Organizers are pleased to thank the Partners: **the Railway Company Slovensko a.s. and the Railway Company Cargo Slovakia a.s.** the sponsors of the Conference and other companies which supported the Conference in different way

Zostavovatelia:

prof. Ing. Daniel Kalinčák, PhD., Ing. Jana Galliková, PhD.

Účelová publikácia VTS pri ŽU v Žiline

EDIS - vydavateľstvo ŽU v Žiline

© VTS pri ŽU v Žiline, 2015

ISBN 978-80-89276-49-3

kulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

oprad, SR
ita Pardubice, ČR
Ostrava, ČR
Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
ta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

zita Berlin, D
erzita v Plzni, ČR
litechnika Warszawska, PL

/ Železniční, a.s., Praha, ČR
/UT Praha, ČR
Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Pardubice, ČR
Bratislava, SR
Poprad, SR

ika Świętokrzyska, Kielce, PL
a, SR
ikowska, PL
ransportation (Switzerland) AG, Winterthur, CH
stav železnic, Bratislava, SR
ava, ČR
Biznesu, Dąbrowa Górnicza, PL
Purkyně v Ústí nad Labem, ČR
t Univerzity u Nišu, Srbija
ová, ČR
I a.s., Plzeň, ČR
ulta Jana Pernera, Univerzita Pardubice, ČR
tation Engineering, BUTE Budapest, H
kulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

a Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
kulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
akulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
a Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
akulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR
akulta Žilinskej univerzity v Žiline, SR

odporu konferencie partnerom: Železničnej spoločnosti
ozorom a ďalším firmám, ktoré konanie konferencie po

the Railway Company Slovensko a.s. and the Railways of the
f the Conference and other companies which support

stavovatelia:
ik, PhD., Ing. Jana Galliková, PhD.
kácia VTS pri ŽU v Žiline
avateľstvo ŽU v Žiline

ri ŽU v Žiline, 2015

78-80-89276-49-3




**22. MEDZINÁRODNÁ KONFERENCIA
„SÚČASNÉ PROBLÉMY V KOĽAJOVÝCH
VOZIDLÁCH - PRORAIL 2015“
16. – 18. septembra 2015, Žilina, Slovensko**

Príspevky uverejnené v zborníku sú recenzované recenzentami:

The Papers published in Proceedings are reviewed by:

- . Ing. Dalibor Barta, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- Jozef Blcha, ASTRA Rail Project, Poprad, SK
- f. Ing. Otakar Bokůvka, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- f. Ing. Bohumil Culek, CSc., DF JP Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
- . Ing. Jan Famfulík, Ph.D., FS VŠB – TU Ostrava, CZ
- . Jana Galliková, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- f. Dr. Ing. Juraj Gerlici, SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- . Ing. Juraj Grenčík, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- f. Antonio Gugliotta, Politecnico di Torino, I
- . Aleš Hába, Ph.D., DF JP Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
- . Jozef Harušinec, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- f. Dr.-Ing. Markus Hecht, TU Berlin, D
- . Ing. Petr Heller, CSc., FS Západočeská univerzita v Plzni, CZ
- f. dr hab. Inż. Andrzej Chudzikiewicz, Politechnika Warszawska, PL
- f. Ing. Daniel Kalinčák, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- . Jan Kalivoda, Ph.D., FS ČVUT v Praze, CZ
- . Petr Kaván, Ph.D., VÚŽ – Výzkumný Ústav Železniční, a.s. Praha, CZ
- . Ing. Josef Kolář, CSc., FS ČVUT v Praze, CZ
- . Ing. Jozef Kuchta, CSc., EVPÚ, a.s., Nová Dubnica, SK
- . Ing. Tomáš Lack, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- . Ing. Michael Lata, Ph.D., DF JP Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
- . Miloslav Lužák, ŽS Cargo Slovakia, Bratislava, SK
- . Zdeněk Malkovský, VÚKV a.s., Praha, CZ
- . Tomáš Michálek, Ph.D., DF JP Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
- . Marián Moravčík, PhD., Tatrávagonka a.s., Poprad, SK
- . Libor Nohál, Ph.D., ZKL - Výzkum a vývoj, a.s., Brno, CZ
- . Matěj Pácha, PhD., EF Žilinská univerzita, SK
- f. dr hab. inż. Marek Pawelczyk, Politechnika Świętokrzyska, Kielce, PL
- . Jozef Peško, ZSSK, Bratislava, SK
- f. dr hab. Inż. Paweł Piec, Politechnika Krakowska, PL

- Ing. Jiří Pohl, Siemens, s.r.o., Praha, CZ
prof. Ing. Oldřich Polách, PhD., Bombardier Transportation AG, Winterthur, CH
Ing. Tibor Polyák, ŽSR Výskumný a vývojový ústav železnic, Žilina, SK
Ing. Rudolf Řezníček, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
Ing. Jiří Segeřa, ŠKODA VAGONKA a.s., Ostrava, CZ
prof. Ing. Eva Schmidová, Ph.D., Výukové a výzkumné centrum v dopravě, Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
prof. dr hab. Inž. Marek Sitarz, Wyższa Szkoła Biznesu, Dąbrowa Górnicza, PL
Ing. Jiří Soukup, VÚŽ – Výzkumný Ústav Železniční, a.s. Praha, CZ
doc. Ing. Josef Soukup, CSc., Univerzita J. E. Purkyně v Ústí nad Labem, CZ
prof. Dr. Dušan Stamenković, Mašinski fakultet Univerziteta u Nišu, Srbija
doc. Ing. Vladimír Stuchlý, PhD., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK
Ing. Jaromír Široký, Ph.D., FS VŠB – TU Ostrava, CZ
Ing. Jiří Štěpánek, CZ LOKO a.s., Česká Třebová, CZ
Ing. Jan Zapletal, ŠKODA TRANSPORTATION, a.s., Plzeň, CZ
doc. Ing. Jaromír Zelenka, CSc., DF JP Univerzita Pardubice, CZ
prof. Dr. Sc. István Zobory, Faculty of Transportation Engineering, BUTE Budapešť, H
prof. Ing. Peter Zvolenský, CSc., SJF Žilinská univerzita, SK.



vyšší dů-
Z tohoto
nismem,
de důraz

potřebo-
conceptu

ozidla je
ákaznic-
ůsobem
důležité
na vozi-

au/legal-
odklady
ternetu,

up inva-
a a růz-
i zdviží,

ially on
ence of
ed two



**22nd INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
„CURRENT PROBLEMS IN RAIL VEHICLES -
PRORAIL 2015“**

September 16 – 18, 2015, Žilina, Slovakia

PASSENGER REQUIREMENTS FOR MODERN RAILWAY COACHES

POŽIADAVKY CESTUJÚCICH NA MODERNÉ ŽELEZNIČNÉ VOZNE

Bernhard RÜGER ^{*)}

1 INTRODUCTION

Within the project „FLEXICOACH“, in cooperation with Technische Universität Wien, Fachhochschule St. Pölten, Fachhochschule Joanneum, Siemens, netwiss and ÖBB Personenverkehr AG, passenger opinion surveys regarding their wants and needs were conducted. The aim of those surveys was to obtain information about everything a passenger requires; in order to get all information needed various subjects such as duration and frequency of journeys, activities during journey, well-being, stress factors, age, gender and group dimensions, were interrogated.

Overall 3.826 questionnaires were analyzed. All questionnaires were conducted in summer 2012 on the Austrian Westbahn-line between Vienna and Linz. Due to the summer holidays a lower participation of students must be considered methodically. Furthermore and also due to summer vacations less rides to or from work are expected.

Approximately 50% of travellers undertake a trip lasting several days, around 10% are free time trips without an overnight-stay. Journeys in connection with education or work (rides from / to work, rides from / to education facilities, business trips either one-day or with a several day's duration) account for 25% of all journeys. The remaining 10% are to be allotted to private settlements.

Rail travellers mostly are young, approximately 12% are aged between 13 and 18 years, almost half of all interviewees are between 19 and 39 years old. 27% are part of the “40 to 60 years of age” group and around 12% are older than 60 years. The fact that children under the age of 12 are underrepresented is simply because they rarely fill in questionnaires.

54% of travellers are female, 46% are male. With the exception of people older than 60 years, in all age-groups female passengers form the majority.

Approximately one third of the passengers travel alone. Another third travels in a group of two persons. Around 11% travel in a group of three, 7% in a group of four and 12% in a group of five or more people.

The journeys were classified in journeys up to 30 minutes, 30-60 minutes, 60-90 minutes, 90-120 minutes, 2-3 hours, 3-4 hours, 4-5 hours and more than 5 hours. Most journeys (respectively 20-30%) in all age-groups last between two and three hours. With increasing age the duration of journey as well increases slightly, short-term rides mostly are

^{*)} **Dr. Bernhard RÜGER**, Vienna University of Technology, Research Centre for Railway Engineering, A-1040 Vienna & St.Pölten University of Applied Sciences, A 3100 St.Pölten, +43 1 58801 23212, bernhard.rueger@tuwien.ac.at.

done by younger people. Summing up all general information gained, elderly people take the train less frequently, but if they do, they go for longer free time rides. In opposition, younger people take the train more often, and mostly for short business trips.

The better part of all interviewees quoted "comfort" as the major reason to take the train, around 40 % of all passengers declared "environment", "no car" and "price" as their major reasons to choose the train (see **Fig. 1**). "Safety" and "duration of journey" are an inferior aspect.




Fig. 1 Reasons to take the train

Obr. 1 Dôvody na použitie vlaki

2 BAGGAGE

Regarding baggage, most information were attained from a diploma thesis [1], which treats issues of baggage transport on an extensive data basis. Amongst other things, various pieces of luggage were weight and measured. The accumulated x-, y- and z-dimensions of all luggage measured (not included is carry-on baggage) are demonstrated in **Fig. 2**.




Fig. 2 Dimensions of luggage

Obr. 2 Rozmery batožiny

Those accumulated measurements can be used in order to design adequate storage between the seat backs or baggage racks.

Analysis show that there are two main issues regarding baggage room. First passengers do not want to lift their luggage, and especially not to the height of overhead storage. This attitude is more common amongst women and increases with age.

Second and due to security reasons, passengers wish to have their luggage in visual range. If these requirements are not met, passengers are very willing to store their luggage in not-intended place, like seats or corridors. This behaviour leads to a lower quality level and to a loss in capacity due to occupied seats.

3 ACTUAL USE OF JOURNEY TIME

A major aspect was the purpose of the journey (business trip or free time ride). Every other business traveller declares to use his laptop, smart phone or tablet while travelling, while only one quarter of travellers on a free time ride uses those devices. **Fig. 3** shows the details.




Fig. 3 Actual use of journey time - influence of the purpose of the journey

Obr. 3 Skutočné využitie cestovnej doby – vplyv účelu cesty

Another major aspect regarding the use of the journey time is the age of the traveller. Generally speaking there is a slight decrease regarding actually performed activities with rising age. However activities need to be considered separately, while using electronic devices decreases with increasing age, activities like "looking at the scenery" or "reading a book / the newspaper" increase with increasing age.

4 EXERCISES ON THE TRAIN

The longer the journey, the higher need to move. Around 20% of passengers travelling up to an hour wish to exercise during their journey. The percentage rises to 40% when the duration of the journey rises up to five hours or more.

5 DESIRED USE OF JOURNEY TIME

Analysis (*Fig. 4*) shows that there is a connection between the use of journey time and the purpose of the journey.




Fig. 4 Desired use of journey time: influence of the purpose of the journey

Obr. 4 Želané využitie doby jazdy – vplyv účelu cesty

All too often (around 20% of all interviewees) passengers criticize missing mobile services. Because of several comments it is obvious that a missing WLAN-connection is intended. Together with the absences of tables (respond 12% of all interviewees), this is the biggest obstacle when it comes to using tablet, smartphones and laptops. Around 17% of all interviewees criticize uncomfortable and fixed seats as well as absent silence, which holds them from their desired activity "ease and sleep". The need to move reflects in the desire for a possibility to exercise.

The age has significant influence regarding the desired use of travel time. The younger the interviewee, the more non accomplishable activities are quoted. Anterior passengers are significantly more satisfied with the possibilities offered, respectively less frequently express a wish to use the time in another way.

In *Fig. 5* every desired activity is marked in a different colour (the lowest layer indicates "using the laptop", the second lowest "using tablet or smartphone" and so on).




Fig. 5 Desired use of journey time: factor age, cumulative percentage

Obr. 5 Želané využitie doby jazdy: faktor veku; kumulatívne podiely

Similar images with heavy age-related variation often occurred in the course of the examination, for instance regarding questions about well-being, stress factors, activities, etc.

The journey time is a major aspect when it comes to desirable use of time. The longer the journey time, the more requirements were quoted, in particular if the duration exceeds two hours.

6 TIME WELL-BEING

Around 33% of the interviewees feel "very well" when travelling by train, about 52% feel "rather good", 14 % feel "rather bad" and only 1 % of the passengers do not feel well during train journeys.

The assumption that those outcomes correspond with the fact that younger passengers mostly are on business trips, while anterior passengers use the train prevailing for free time trips, is unfounded. Around 50% of all train journeys are leisure time trips, lasting several days. Interviewees between 13-18 years, the group that feels most uncomfortable during train journeys, mostly goes on free time rides without an overnight stay. Thus there is no obvious connection between the purpose of the journey and the well-being.

Passengers travelling first class are feeling better than passengers travelling second class. Furthermore there is a strong connection between the well-being of the passengers and the degree of capacity. Therefore on weekdays from Monday to Thursday passengers mostly feel "rather well" or "very well", while travellers on Fridays and weekend feel "rather bad" or "not well". The higher degree of capacity during weekends leads to an oftener nomination of stress factors like "high degree of capacity", "search for seat", "noise" and "fellow passengers".

7 STRESS FACTORS

The stress factor most frequently nominated was "search for a seat", around 20% of the passengers feel stressed (see **Fig. 6**). Also sensed as stress factors were "high degree of capacity", "noise" and "fellow passengers". The factors most frequently mentioned are

those which appear at a high degree of capacity and obviously lead to a deterioration of the well-being.




Fig. 6 Stress factors during the current journey

Obr. 6 Stresové faktory počas cesty

Analogue to the well-being, the age of the passengers is crucial when it comes to cognition of stress. Younger passengers are more stressed than anterior passengers, at least they quote it more often. With increasing age (groups from 13-18 years, till 60 years) the nomination of arising stress factors during an actual train journey decreases under 50%. Considering the most frequently quoted stress factor "search for seat", the nominations in the age group "over 60 years" decrease even to a third of those from passengers between 13-18 years. This is a very notable fact, because precisely this stress factor was assumed to arise with increasing age, also in terms of luggage.

Generally speaking, anterior passengers are more satisfied with the frame conditions than younger ones.

8 SERVICE FEATURES

Most frequently nominated when it comes to service features were "reasonable priced meals" (31%), "purchase of newspapers" (24%), "transmission of knowledge" (23%), "entertainment" (20%), "possibilities to exercise" (18%), "relaxation practises" (17%). With increasing age the interest in service features heavily decreases.

With increasing journey duration, the interest in service features increases as well. Passengers on a free time ride show more interest in service features than travellers on a business trip. Around two-thirds of all respondents show interest in healthy nutrition during their train journey. This desire is more common under female passengers than under male ones.

9 ATMOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENT

The outcomes of this particular subject won't be discussed any further. Generally speaking, the nominations made by the passengers are very subjective and do not always refer to any comprehensible objective criteria. For instance, in every sort of train the temperature was between 25 and 26 °C, nevertheless there are different sensations and evalua-

tions regarding the temperature, which can be connected to the sort of train. The highest percentage of satisfied passengers is to be found in trains of the private operator Westbahn (over 80% satisfaction). The average registered temperature is exactly identical to the temperature registered in the Railjet-trains of   BB. However, Railjet was evaluated ten percentage points less than Westbahn. It is obvious that not only the temperature, but rather the general well-being or the consciousness of a deliberately taken decision to travel with a new operator (Westbahn was operating only eight months at the moment of the opinion survey) contributed to this outcome.

The opposite way around also Railjet was not only evaluated regarding temperature, but rather general well-being (for that matter Railjet scores rather low).

There is a similar effect notable when it comes to train categories (first or second class). Passengers travelling first class rated the atmospheric environment higher than passengers travelling second class, there was no objective difference however.

It has to be considered that subjective sensations had a great influence also on questions regarding well-being, illumination and stress factors. Advanced studies would be very helpful in order to interpret the outcomes in the right way.

10 CONCLUSION

Compared to other modes of transportation the railway system has got the big advantage that passengers are able to use the travel time efficiently. This is one of the biggest advantages of competition. Unfortunately today's vehicles hardly offer the requested equipment which allows the best possible time use. Train passengers are very interested in using the travel time for working, mostly on technical devices like one note books or tablets, for reading or for relaxing. For efficient time use the investigations have shown that the individualisation of the space in the train is essential. It is important that all passengers can follow their requested activities without affecting other passengers. For example people who are working may produce noise but on the other hand need calm for concentration. Additionally they need light whereas people who want to sleep need it dark and calm. So further investigation must focus on how the space in the vehicle can be individualized in a best possible way.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The outcome is part of the project FLEXICOACH, funded by the Austrian Ministry of Transportation. More info is available under: <http://FLEXICOACH.netwiss.at>.

References

[1] Plank V.: Dimensionierung von Gep  ckanlagen in Reisez  gen, Wien 2008. [2] Plank V.: Bernhard R  ger, "Grundlagen f  r eine optimierte Gep  ckunterbringung in Reisez  gen"; ETR - Eisenbahntechnische Rundschau (eingeladen), 07+08 2009 (2009), 07+08; S. 417 - 421. [3] Flexicoach, Endbericht AP2, Nutzerbed  rfnisse, Wien 2013




Summary

One big advantage of the railway system is the fact passengers are able to use their time on board of trains while travelling. That's the reason why the lost time is much shorter compared to other transport modes. But to be actually able to use the time effectively

and to gain a real benefit against other transport modes the railway interiors must meet all passenger requirements. Actually the passenger's requirements are met only partly. The presentation will show the latest outcome of the Austrian research project FLEXICOACH which aimed to gather all information about passenger's requirements for efficient time use on board of the trains and it will present potential innovative interiors concepts for the future.

Resumé

Veľká výhoda železničného systému je skutočnosť, že cestujúci môžu využívať čas vo vlakoch počas cestovania. Toto je dôvod toho, že strata času je oveľa menšia, ako pri iných spôsoboch dopravy. Ale aby skutočne reálne boli schopní využiť čas efektívne a s reálnym úžitkom oproti iným druhom dopravy, železničné interiéry musia vyhovovať všetkým požiadavkám cestujúcich. V skutočnosti sa požiadavky cestujúcich plnia iba čiastočne. Príspevok ukazuje najnovšie výstupy rakúskeho výskumného projektu FLEXICOACH, ktorého cieľom bolo zhromaždiť všetky informácie o požiadavkách cestujúcich pre účelné trávenie času vo vlakoch a prezentuje potenciálne inovatívne koncepty interiérov pre budúcnosť.



ZKO

1 ÚVOD

Br
mechanick
osobní voz
brzdou. Ko
ních vozů.
nápravě, u
brzdové di
rychlostech
podstatě ne
brzdových
experty jed
analyzovat
zkouškách
ky, Strojnic

2 POPIS K

Br
chladicími ž
rychlostí p
zpomalovac
proudění ch
typy brzdov
jících cik-ca

*) **Ing. Rudo**
kulta, Žilinsk
513-2650, T
oberá sa výs

prof. Ing. D
fakulta, Žilín
41) 513-265
ročný. Zástu
traťových str

ÖZDEMİR Yalçın - VOLTR Petr*Finite element simulation of rolling contact of wheel and rail*

Simulácia valivého kontaktu kolesa a koľajnice konečnými prvkami 91

PÁDIVÝ JanBrzdová jednotka a brzdový kotouč umiestené medzi motorem a převodovkou – návrh a
stendové zkoušky*Brake unit and brake disc located between the engine and gearbox unit – proposal and test
on the stand* 99**PÁCHA Matěj**

Simulační ověření trakčních vlastností lokomotivy 2M62U

*Traction Characteristics Verification of Locomotive Class 2M62U**Using Simulation* 107**PAWEŁCZYK Marek - LESIAK Piotr - PODSIADŁO Rafał***Simulation study of the 4-axle wagon damaging impact on the track caused by some
deformations of the wheel*Simulačný výskum pôsobenia na trať štvornápravového vozňa s deformáciami povrchu
kolesa železničného dvojkoľesia 115**PHAMOVÁ Lucie - FIEDLER Robert**

Akustická studie vnitřního hluku řidičského vozu soupravy NIM Express

Acoustic Study of Interior Noise of the NIM Express Driver Car 127**POHL Jiří**

Zvyšování přepravní výkonnosti železnice cestou nových technických řešení

Expanding transport performance of railway in the way of new technical solutions 135**POKORNÝ Petr**

Čela tramvají a železničních vozidel vyvinutá ve VÚKV

The cabs of tramcars and railway vehicles developer in VÚKV 143**PRELL Roma**

Studie nástupu hendikepovaných cestujících při aplikace specifikace TSI PRM

*Study of the entrance of the handicapped person in accordance to specification**TSI PRM* 151**RÜGER Bernhard, DI Dr.***Passenger requirements for modern railway coaches*

Požiadavky cestujúcich na moderné železničné vozne 157

ŘEZNÍČEK Rudolf - KALINČÁK Daniel

Zkoušky železničních brzdových disků na brzdovém stavu

Brake bench testing of railway brake discs 165**SÁLA Pavel**

Souprava metra NeVa pro rozchod 1520 mm

Trainset of metro NeVa for gauge 1520 m 171

Za jazykovú úpravu textu zodpovedajú autori príspevkov

Vydala: VTS pri Žilinskej univerzite v Žiline
Názov: Súčasné problémy v koľajových vozidlách - PRORAIL 2015.
Diel II
Current problems in rail vehicles - PRORAIL 2015. Vol. II
Druh publikácie: Zborník prednášok
Zostavovatelia: Prof. Ing. Daniel Kalinčák, PhD., Ing. Jana Galliková, PhD.
Vydanie: Prvé
Náklad: 200 výtlačkov
Rozsah: 336 strán
Tlač: EDIS-vydavateľstvo ŽU v Žiline ako účelovú publikáciu
vytlačilo v septembri 2015
Druh tlače: ofset

ISBN 978-80-89276-49-3