INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION for ENERGY ECONOMICS



39th IAEE International Conference

## Energy: Expectations and

# Uncertainty 19 - 22 JUNE 2016 Bergen, Norway

## Intermittent Renewable Electricity Generation

Thomas Leautier, Presiding TSE Persearcher, Toulouse School of Economics

Comparative Scenarios in Islanded Systems: Energy Supply-storage Sizing Problem Applied to Electricity and Mobility Devensité de Nantes, IEMN-IAE

Solar, Wind and Market Power in a Hydro Based Grid Stephen Poletti

### Impact of Variable Renewable Energy Production on Electricity Prices Through a Modeling Approach Cyril Martin de Lagarde

Université Paris-Dauphine Christophe Bonnery

Electricity Storage and Flexibility Requirements on the Road to Decarbonization in European Electricity

### Estimating Emissions Offsets of Intermittent Renewable Energy

Patrick Narbel, Presiding Partner, ADAPT Consulting AS

#### Financial Arbitrage and Efficient Dispatch in Wholesale Electricity Markets

John E Patsons MIT Sloan School of Management

Zero-Variable Cost Power Systems: Implications for Bectricity Market Design and Capacity Investments Anton D Jankins Anton Sepulveda Argence National Laboratory

Pick Exposure in Electricity Markets: The Need for Intra-day Hedging Rectine Homeyown Boroumand Autocate Professor of Economics, PSB Paris School

Market and Policy Risks for VRE Investment and their Impacts on Effectiveness and Efficiency of RES-E Policy Targets - An Agent-Based Modelling Approach Matthias Reeg

German Aerospace Center (DLR) - Systems Analysis and Technology Assessment

The Corporate Social Responsibility of Hydropower Companies in Alpine Regions - A Welfare-economic Approach

### 8. Innovations and Technologies (Aud. Terje Hansen)

Roger Fouquet, Presiding Professor, Grantham Research Inst LSE

Life Cycle Analyses of End-User Electricity Generation in Ten Major European Countries Gorkem F Uctug

The Welfare Effects of Energy Services and Technologies (1700-2010)

### Technology Implications for an Integrated European Bioeconomy

Lukas Kranzl Energy Economics Group

Structuring Public Support for Radical Low-Carbon Innovation in the Materials Sector: Bridging the Valley of Death Karsten Neuhoff University of Wisconsin-Madison

Multinational Innovation, Product Life Cycles and Intellectual Property Rights Protection: Which is the Best Place to Invent Something?

9. IAEE Best Student Paper Award Session (Aud. 24)

Knut Einar Rosendahl, Presiding Professor, Norwegian Univ. of Life Science

Carbon Taxes, Oil Monopoly and Petrodollar Recycling

Waldemar Marz Johannes Pfeiffer IFO Institute for Economic Research at the University

Estimating the Potential for Electricity Savings in Households ETH Zurich, Center of Economic Research

Reliability, Congestion and Investment in Electricity Transmission Marten Ovaere KU Leuven, Department of Economics

How to Sell Renewable Electricity - Interactions of the Intraday and Day-Ahead Market Under Uncertainty Frank Obermüller Andreas Knaut Institute of Energy Economics, University of Cologne

### 10. Heat and Electricity (Aud. 23)

Benjamin Schlesinger, Presiding President, Benjamin Schlesinger & Assoc LLC

CHP Plant Operation and Electricity Market Prices - Analytical Insights and Large-Scale Model Application Research Associate, University of Duisburg-Essen

Residential Energy Efficiency and European Carbon Policies: A CGE-analysis with Bottom-up Information on Energy Efficiency Technologies Brita Bye Taran Fæhn Statistics Norway

Endogenous Power and Heat Generation Modelling in various CHP Plant Types DIW Berlin

Status-quo Bias and Consumers' Willingness to Pay for Green Electricity: A Discrete Choice Experiment With Real Economic Incentives Roland Menges Clausthal University of Technology

Technical-Economic Potential of PV Systems on Colombian Residential Sector Ferreira Paula

#### 11. Prospects for Nuclear Power (Aud. 22)

Christian von Hirschhausen, Presiding Professor, TU Berlin

Phasing Out Nuclear Power in Europe Rolf Golombek Frisch Centre Finn R Aune

Ambiguity Aversion and the Expected Cost of Rare Energy Disasters: An Application to Nuclear Power Accidents François Lévêque Mines ParisTech - CERNA Centre for Industrial

Logistics of Dismantling Nuclear Power Plants A Model-Based Analysis of Low- and Intermediate-Level Waste Management in Germany Tim Scherwath German Institute for Economic Research (DIW Berlin) Roman Mendelevitch Technische Universität Berlin (TU Berlin)

### Comparison of two Methods for Finding Least Cost Solutions for Heat Saving and Heat Supply

<u>Richard Büchele</u>\*, TU Wien, Energy Economics Group, Gusshausstrasse 25-29, A-1040 Vienna Phone +43 1 58801 370368, E-mail: buechele@eeg.tuwien.ac.at, Marcus Hummel, Lukas Kranzl, Andreas Müller, TU Wien, Energy Economics Group Marie Münster, Stefan Petrovic, Sara Ben Amer, DTU

Keywords: Heat and energy saving in buildings, cost curve, heating system, district heating

### Overview

Energy demand in buildings contributes with a large share to the total final energy demand. Existing buildings are known to provide a remarkable potential for energy savings. In this context two important questions arise: 1) which heat savings in the building stock can be reached at which costs?, and 2) what could be the optimal combination of heat savings and the supply of heat by individual heating systems or district heating? Cost curves for heat savings and for heat supply can contribute to find answers to these questions by linking heat saving costs and potentials with heat supply costs and potentials. However, there are different ways to determine and use heat saving versus supply via heat saving and supply cost curves are compared. In Method 1 a heat saving cost curve is developed containing selected possible renovation options and then compared with a heat supply cost curve in order to find an optimal mix between saving and supply for each building in a given building stock. In contrast, in Method 2 a combined heat saving cost curve for savings via renovation measures and change in heating systems is developed, choosing the least cost option for each building to be reflected in the resulting cost curve.

### Method

The comparison of two different methods to derive cost optimal levels of savings in currently existing buildings is made for two different municipalities in Europe. The stock of buildings is structured in different building classes and building segments (Building classes are building categories like single family house, multi-family house, office buildings etc. with different construction periods and different renovation states leading to different useful energy demands; Building segments are building classes with different heating systems). In Method 1, first heat saving cost curves as well as heat supply cost curves on the basis of annualized costs for the different building classes in the stock are derived. From both curves the cheapest saving and supply options are chosen in order to meet the existing demand, thereby valuing savings as a form of supply. In Method 2 for each building segment the costs of all possible combinations of renovation measures and changes in supply technologies are calculated and compared to the resulting energy saving on the basis of net levelized costs of heat savings. For each building segment then the cheapest combination is chosen to be reflected in the resulting cost curve for the overall building stock. The calculations with both methods thereby are performed underlying the same input data regarding costs, saving options and performance of heating systems. For each of the methods the resulting savings in useful and final energy demand compared to the actual state are calculated and compared, as well as the mix of supply technologies in the resulting cost optimal solutions.

### Results

The main differences between both methods is the indicator for the comparison of energy savings and supply (useful vs. final demand) and the combined vs. separated calculation of costs of savings and supply. It is expected that this leads to slight differences in the resulting optimal levels of savings and changes in heating systems. Also the visualization in form of cost curves is different in both methods: in method 1 cost curves for all different classes of buildings are derived, thus allowing an easy visualization of the resulting least cost combinations for each building; in method 2 one cost curve is derived allowing for an easy visualization of the costs and overall savings of the least cost combinations of savings and supply options for all buildings.

A detailed analysis and discussion of the difference in the results for both methods will be presented in the full paper.