

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Prediction of precipitate evolution and martensite transformation in Ti-Ni-Cu shape memory alloys by computational thermodynamics

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 123 012038 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1757-899X/123/1/012038) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 77.119.130.216 This content was downloaded on 18/04/2016 at 05:50

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Prediction of precipitate evolution and martensite transformation in Ti-Ni-Cu shape memory alloys by computational thermodynamics

A Povoden-Karadeniz¹, D C Cirstea², E Kozeschnik¹

¹Vienna University of Technology – Institute of materials science and technology, Vienna, Austria

²National Institute for Research and Development in Electrical Engineering INCDIE ICPE-CA, Advanced Materials Department, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail: erwin.povoden-karadeniz@tuwien.ac.at

Abstract. Ti-50Ni to Ti-55Ni (at.%) can be termed as the pioneer of shape memory alloys (SMA). Intermetallic precipitates play an important role for strengthening. Their influence on the start temperature of the martensitic transformation is a crucial property for the shape memory effect. Efforts for increasing the martensite start temperature include replacement of a part of Ni atoms by Cu. The influence of Cu-addition to Ti-Ni SMA on *T*0- temperatures and the character of the austenite-martensite transformation is evaluated using a new thermodynamic database for the Ti-Ni-system extended by Cu. Trends of precipitation of intermetallic phases are simulated by combining the assessed thermodynamics of the Ti-Ni-Cu system with assessed diffusion mobility data and kinetic models, as implemented in the solid-state transformation software MatCalc and are presented in the form of time-temperature-precipitation diagrams. Thermodynamic equilibrium considerations, complemented by predictive thermo-kinetic precipitation simulation, facilitates SMA alloy design and definition of optimized aging conditions.

1. Introduction

Cold-forged Ti-Ni alloys close to Ti50Ni50 (at.%) have a martensitic B19' structure and transform thermo-elastically to their original austenitic B2-phase during re-heating [1,2]. Precipitation of intermetallic phases during special aging strengthens the shape memory alloy (SMA) [2-5]. Addition of Cu to Ti50Ni50 can stabilize the additional B19-phase at low temperatures, associated with a two-step transformation from austenite to B19' martensite via B19-phase [6-8]. Direct transformation of B2-phase to B19 martensite at higher Cu-contents showed significantly narrowed hysteresis [7].

However, the reported critical Cu-content limiting the single-stage from the two-stage the transformation characteristics is quite scattering. Heat-treated Ti50Ni50-*x*Cu*x* showed fine, nano-sized distributions of Cu-containing intermetallic phase of the type Ti(Cu,Ni)2 inside the grains [8,9].

However, the understanding of the effect of this phase on the transformation behaviour and the knowledge of required Cu-alloying to provoke its precipitation is scarce. Thermodynamic CALPHAD analysis [10,11] constrains the important parameters for transformation and precipitation, namely the critical Cu-content for two-step transformation and the thermodynamic stability of intermetallic precipitate phases theoretically. Povoden-Karadeniz et al. [12] established a thermodynamic Ti-Ni SMA database, containing the metastable precipitate phases Ti3Ni4 and Ti2Ni3 additionally to the

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

thermodynamic equilibrium phases of the Ti-Ni system. Two thermodynamic assessments of the Ti-Ni-Cu system are available [13,14], with two different descriptions of the austenitic B2-phase. Tang's [13] assessment focused on the SMA-relevant martensite phases and does not contain model parameters of the ternary Ti(Cu,Ni)2 solid solution. On the other hand, Zhang's assessment [14] lacks the low-temperature martensite phases, but comprises a comprehensive descriptions of all observed high-temperature phases [15] of the Ti-Ni-Cu system. In our present reassessment of thermodynamic model parameters, we aimed on merging these "partial" assessment to one consistent set of optimized model descriptions which allows computational thermodynamics calculations for all phases of the Ti-Ni-Cu system. In a computational thermo-kinetic evaluation of temperature- and aging time-dependent precipitation in Cu-alloyed Ti-Ni SMA, the assessed thermodynamics are combined with kinetic models for diffusion, nucleation and growth of precipitates, as implemented in the solid-state transformation software Matcalc [16].

2. Computational methods

2.1. Thermodynamic re-optimisation of model parameters

The Ti-Ni-Cu system contains ternary extensions of binary alloy and intermetallic phases, listed in Table 1. Their proposed structural CALPHAD model descriptions [14] were maintained. The use of SMA Ti-Ni database [12] in the Ti-Ni-Cu extension however required some re-adjustments of binary Ti-Cu parameters. For re-optimized Gibbs energies of their ternary extensions, also revised interaction parameters were added to the constitutive Ti-Ni [14], Ti-Cu [17] and Cu-Ni [18] end-member parameters. Model parameters of Tau1 to 6 [14] are ternary phases that are not constituted of stable binary end-member compounds were also re-optimized. These re-adjustments, listed in Table 1, were necessary to merge previous partial assessments to a consistent database of the whole Ti-Ni-Cu system.

Phase	Model type	Model formula	Parameter	Opimised values
fcc	Solution ^{a)}	(Cu,Ni,Ti)Va	0L(Cu,Ni,Ti:Va)	-200000+25T
B2	Ordering ^{b)}	(Cu,Ni,Ti)0.5(Cu,Ni,Ti)0.5(Va)3	Cu:Ti:Va	-7000
			Ti:Cu:Va	-7000
			0L(Cu,Ni:* ^d):Va)	-3000-3T
			0L(*:Cu,Ni:Va)	-3000-3T
			0L(*:Cu,Ti:Va)	+7000
			0L(Cu,Ti:*:Va)	+7000
TiCu	CEF	(Cu,Ni,Ti)(Cu,Ni,Ti)	Cu:Ti	G(Cu) ^{e)} +G(Ti)-19000+3.6T
			0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-83000+19.8T
Ti2Cu	CEF	(Cu,Ni)(Ti)2	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-15000
TiCu2	CEF	(Cu,Ni)2(Ti)	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-30000
Ti2Cu3	CEF	(Cu,Ni)3(Ti)2	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-65000
Ti3Cu4	CEF	(Cu,Ni)4(Ti)3	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-120000-6.7T
TiNi3	CEF	(Cu,Ni,Ti)(Cu,Ni,Ti)3	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-18000
Ti2Ni	CEF	(Cu,Ni,Ti)2(Cu,Ni,Ti)1	0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-18000
			0L(Ti:Cu,Ni)	-15000
			1L(Ti:Cu,Ni)	+15000
			2L(Ti:Cu,Ni)	-15000
Tau1	CEF	(Cu,Ni)2(Ti)	Cu:Ti	2G(Cu)+G(Ti)-25000+11T
			Ni:Ti	2G(Ni)+G(Ti)-95820-T
			0L(Cu,Ni:Ti)	-54500
Tau2	CEF	Cu0.175Ni2.825Ti2	Cu:Ni:Ti	0.175G(Cu)+2.825G(Ni)+2G(Ti)-180250+7.2T
Tau4	CEF	Cu0.05Ni0.7Ti0.25	Cu:Ni:Ti	0.05G(Cu)+0.7G(Ni)+0.25G(Ti)-35500
Tau6	CEF	Cu0.25Ni0.5Ti0.25	Cu:Ni:Ti	0.25G(Cu)+0.5G(Ni)+0.25G(Ti)-25000-3.5T
B19'	CEF	(Cu,Ni,Ti)0.5(Cu,Ni,Va)0.5	Cu:Ni	0.5G(Cu-bcc)+0.5G(Ni)+20000
			Ti:Cu	0.5G(Cu-bcc)+0.5G(Ti-bcc)-3900+15T
B19	CEF	(Cu,Ni,Ti)0.5(Cu,Ni,Va)0.5	Ti:Cu	0.5G(Cu-bcc)+0.5G(Ti-bcc)-
				7400+T
Liquid	Solution	(Cu,Ni,Ti)	Cu,Ti	-19330+7.3T

Table 1. Re-assessed model parameters of phases in the Ti-Ni-Cu system

*Regular solution model, *Split model of ordering [19], * denotes Cu, Ti or Ni, Gibbs energies of elements adopted from Dinsdale [20]

2.2 Thermo-kinetic precipitation simulation

A thermo-kinetic simulation of the precipitate evolution in Ti-Ni SMA and Ti-Ni SMA alloyed by Cu was performed with MatCalc [16]. The physical modeling base implemented in MatCalc is schematized in Figure 1. It comprises the formalisms of nucleation theory [21], the SFFK model for the growth of precipitates [22] and takes into account CALPHAD-assessed [10, 11] thermodynamic and diffusion mobility data stored in the assessed Ti-Ni-Cu Matcalc databases mc-sma.tdb and mc.sma.ddb supplemented to this paper.





Figure 1. Schematized physical fundament for the precipitation simulation with MatCalc

The rate of radius change and chemical composition change of each precipitate is evaluated by application of the thermodynamic extremum principle [23-25]. Real matrix-precipitate systems are typically in a highly non-equilibrated state and they have thus a high driving force to evolve towards minimum energy state as a function of time and temperature. In the SSFK model three parts contribute to the energy dissipation during the system evolution. These are dissipation due to diffusion inside of the precipitates. In order to evaluate the energy dissipation diffusivity data are thus required. Diffusivities in the matrix are stored in the form of a diffusion mobilities database. Diffusion mobilities contain activities for the diffusivities inside of precipitates were roughly related to the diffusivity in the matrix by a factor of 1/100. The numerical of the evolution equations were presented by Svoboda et al. [22] and Kozeschnik [26].

2.3. Assessment of diffusion mobilities in B2-ordered austenite matrix

For the definition of diffusion mobilities in the B2-ordered austenite matrix phase the modeling of activation energy Q for the diffusivity as suggested by Helander and Agren [27] was followed

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^{\rm dis} + \Delta \mathbf{Q}^{\rm ord} \tag{1}$$

where dis stands for disordered bcc-phase, i.e. the "parent" reference phase from which the thermodynamics of B2-phase is derived by CALPHAD model parameters describing chemical ordering [19] and ΔQ^{ord} thus denotes a contribution to the activation energy from chemical ordering

with

$$\Delta Q^{ord} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \Delta Q_{ij}^{ord} \left[y_i^a y_j^b - x_i x_j \right]$$
⁽²⁾

 x_i is the mole fraction of component I and y_i^a is the atomic fraction of component I on the crystallographic a sublattice sites of the B2-phase.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Equilibrium thermodynamics of the TI-Ni-Cu system

As shown in the isothermal section of Figure 2 (a) satisfactory reproduction of experimental high-temperature phase equilibria is obtained with our re-assessed database.



Figure 2. Calculated Ti-Ni-Cu equilibrium phase diagram (a) at 1143 K compared to experiments [15] (b) and (c) calculated Ti-Ni SMA equilibrium phase diagram with varying Cu-content, a small amount of Ti₂Ni is present in all phase regions of Fig.2 (c)

Figure 2 (c) shows the calculated equilibrium phase transformations among martensitic phases at low temperatures. The sequence of stable phase from low to high Cu-content goes along with the observed transformation sequence B19' – B19' + B19 – B19. Our calculation suggests x(Cu)>0.14 for the transition from two-step martensite formation to single B19 martensite.

3.2. Diffusivities in austenitic SMA matrix

In Figure 3 optimized diffusivities of Ti and Ni in B2-austenite are compared with experimental data. Note that for Cu-alloyed Ti-Ni-B2-phase no experimental data are available. As a first approximation we set the Cu-related ordering contributions to diffusivities of (metastable) binary Cu-Ti and Cu-Ni compounds of this phase to the same values as for the Ti-Ni compound. Assessed diffusivities of the ordered austenitic SMA matrix phase are used for the numerical solution of energy dissipation during precipitation, a pre-requisite of predictive simulation of the precipitate evolution discussed in the following section.



3.3. Precipitate evolution in TI-Ni and Cu-alloyed Ti-Ni SMA

Thermodynamic calculations of individual composition-temperature states, obtained by using the assessed Ti-Ni-Cu database allow pre-definitions of proper heat-treatments for controlled precipitation already prior to kinetic simulation. Computational thermodynamics suggest negligible formation of intermetallic precipitates in Ti50Ni50- γ Cu χ , χ <0.2. At χ >0.2 a significant equilibrium fraction of Ti₂Ni and tau1 was calculated at temperatures below 280°C. As a consequence in Ti50-Ni25-Cu25 (TI50CU25) the temperature of full solid solution treatment to form single B2-phase was strongly increased (680°C) relative to TI50CU20 (485°C). In both alloys intermetallic Ti-Cu phases and Ti₂Ni occurred. Ti-overstoichiometric Ti50.5CU25 revealed increased fractions of potential precipitate phases relative to TI50CU25 and allowd full solution treatment at 800°C. Second phase formation was neglilgible at a low temperature of 310°C for TI50CU20. Towards lower temperatures tau1 and Ti₂Ni became more stable. Testing composition deviations from equal atom fractions of Ti and (Ni+Cu) again, Ti-overstoichiometrix TI50.5CU20 represented an interesting composition in regard of solution treatment and precipitation. While being almost fully solution-treatable at $870^{\circ}C$ (0.01 mol.% Ti₂Ni) the Ti₂Ni-stability was strongly increased relative to Ti50Ni30 (at 500°C 3 mol.% Ti₂Ni). On the other hand in TI49CU20 tau2-phase formation was strongly increased, but this alloy would not be solutiontreatable towards high temperatures. After these initial equilibrium consideration predictive (all kinetic calibration parameters in MatCalc kept default) isothermal thermo-kinetic precipitation simulations for potentially precipitation-strengthened TI50Cu25, TI50.5CU25, TI50CU20 and TI50.5CU20 were performed stepwise from high to low temperatures. From our equilibrium pre-investigation we identified intermetallic phases occurring in those compositions and considered Cu_4Ti_3 , CuTi, Ti_2Cu , Ti₂Ni as potential precipitates. The resulting isolines of 10% precipitate fractions relative to maximum fraction are presented in the time-temperature-precipitation (TTP)-diagrams of Fig. 4. Isothermal aging steps with relevant precipitation were inserted to show absolute precipitate fractions in mol.%.



Figure 4. Simulated time-temperature-precipitation in TI50CU25 (a), TI5CU20 (b), TI50.5CU25 (c) and TI50.5CU20 (d)

The TTP-diagrams confirmed that the chosen Ti-overstoichiometry (c, d) shifted the start of precipitation towards higher temperatures and shorter aging times. The inserts in Fig. 5 (c, d) reveal a significant fraction of precipitates at the TTP-nose in TI50.5CU20 and TI50.5CU25, whereas precipitation in the TI50-variants (a, b) took too long to be of technological strengthening interest (TI50CU25), or was too weak (TI50CU20). In TI50.5CU25, Ti2Cu dominated, with precipitation starting around 780°C. Nucleation and growth at this temperature was rapid. At 400°C, the 10 % isoconcentration line was crossed after approx. 10 minutes of aging. Ti2Ni was the first precipitate to form in TI50.5CU20 at a high temperature with its TTP-nose around 850°C. Towards lower temperatures, Ti2Cu was precipitating prior to Ti2Ni. As in TI50.5CU25, kinetics became slower with decreasing temperatures, likely allowing better control of nucleation and growth during technological heat treatments: At 500°C, the 10 % iso-concentration line of Ti2Cu was crossed after approx. 10 minutes of aging.

For high-performance SMA, there is demand of combing single-step martensitic B2 to B19 transformation at relatively high temperatures with precipitation strengthening. This, in turn requires the examination of B2-matrix composition modulated by precipitation. Interestingly, calculated *T*0-temperatures (the highest theoretic temperature for the start of martensitic transformation, where Gm(B2-austenite) equals Gm(martensite) with the same composition) of TI50.5CU25 and TI50.5CU20 altered by precipitation were increased by 40°C and 20°C, respectively, relative to the TI50 variants.

Our simulation results thus indicate that an alloy composition between T50.5CU20 and T50.5CU25 may be a promising choice for both optimal martensitic transformation behavior and precipitation strengthening.

4. Conclusion

Based on CALPHAD-assessed thermodynamic and diffusion mobility databases, the kinetics of precipitation in Ti-Ni-Cu SMA was evaluated. Ti2Ni and metastable Ti2Cu were identified as most important precipitation phases. Computational thermodynamics confirmed that the martensitic transformations strongly depend on the amount of Cu-alloying. For single-step transformation associated with minimal transformation hysteresis, and thus optimal shape memory effect our calculation suggests alloying with Cu above approx. 15 at.%. Precipitation took place under strong control of alloying. Precipitation could change the mean matrix composition and associated martensite transformation strengthening in balance with high relatively martensite transformation temperatures. Slight Ti-overstoichiometric nominal composition (Ti around 50.5 at.%) increases precipitate fraction of Ti₂Ni and/or Ti₂Cu during aging of solution-treated SMA. Computational simulations with our compiled thermodynamic and diffusion mobility databases, combined with precipitate nucleation and growth models are suitable for defining ingenious heat treatments for advanced SMA performance.

References

- [1] Buehler, W J; Gilfrich, J W; Wiley, R C 1963 J. Appl. Phys. 34 1475
- [2] Otsuka, K.; Ren, X. 2005 Prog. Mater. Sci. 50 511
- [3] Khalil-Allafi, J, Ren, Xy; Eggeler, G 2002 Acta Mater. 50 793
- [4] Khalil-Allafi, J; Eggeler, G; Schmahl, W, Sheptyakov, D 2006 *Mater. Sci. Eng. A-Struct Mater. Prop. Microstruct. Process.* **438-440** 593
- [5] Akin, E. 2010, Thesis, Texas A&M University.
- [6] Moberly, W J; Proft, J L; Duerig, T W; Sinclair, R. 1990 Mater. Sci. Forum 56-58 605
- [7] Nam, T H; Saburi, T; Shimizu, K 1990 JIM 31 959
- [8] Fukuda, T; Kitayama, M; Kakeshita, T; Saburi, T 1996 Mater. Trans. JIM 37 1540

- [9] Cesari, E; Pons, J; Santamarta, R; Segui, C; Stroz, D; Morawiec, H In: Morawiec H, Stroz D, editors. Proc XVIII Conference on Applied Crystallography. Singapore: World Scientific; 2001. p. 171
- [10] Kaufmann, L; Bernstein, H 1970 Academic Press, New York
- [11] Saunders, N; Miodownik, A P 1998 Calphad Calculation of Phase Diagrams. *Pergamon Materials Series* **1** Elsevier Science Ltd.
- [12] Povoden-Karadeniz, E; Cirstea, D C; Lang, P; Wojcik, T; Kozeschnik, E 2013 CALPHAD 41 128
- [13] Tang, W; Sandstrom, R; Myazaki, S 2000 J. Phase Equilib. 21 227
- [14] Zhang, H; He, Y; Yang, F; Liu, H; Jin, Z 2013 Thermochim. Acta 574 121
- [15] Van Loo, V J J; Bastin, G F; Leenen, A.J H 1978 J. Less-Common Metals 57 111
- [16] http://matcalc.tuwien.ac.at/. Author: Kozeschnik, E. Last date of access: 2014-12-01; current MatCalc version is 5.61.057.
- [17] Wang, J; Liu, C.; Leinenbach, C.; Klotz, U. E.; Uggowitzer, P. J.; Löffler, J. F. 2011 CALPHAD 35 82
- [18] an Mey, S. 1992 *CALPHAD* **16** 255.
- [19] Ansara, I; Dupin, N; Lukas, H.L; Sundman, B. 1997 J. Alloys Cmpd. 247 20
- [20] Dinsdale, A T 1991 CALPHAD 15 317
- [21] Volmer, M; Weber, A. 1926 Z. Phys. Cemie 119 277
- [22] Svoboda, J; Fischer, F D; Fratzl, P, Kozeschnik, E 2004 Mater. Sci. Eng. A-Struct. Mater. Prop. Microstruct. Process. **385** 166
- [23] Onsager, L 1931 Phys. Rev. 37 405
- [24] Onsager, L 1931 Phys. Rev. 38 2265
- [25] Svoboda, J; Turek, I; Fischer, F D 2005 Philos. Mag. 85 3699
- [26] Kozeschnik, E; Janssens, K; Bataille, C 2012 Modeling Solid-State Precipitation. Momentum Press, New York.
- [27] Helander, T; Agren, J 1999 Acta Metal. 47 3291
- [28] Divinski, S V; Stloukal, I; Kral, L; Herzig, C 2009 Defect Diffusion Forum 289-292 377

Cesari E, Pons J, Santamarta R, Segu

ı C, Str

oz D, Morawiec H.