

4th - 6th October, 2017

MICI 2017

Edited by Nenad Zrnić, Srđan Bošnjak and Georg Kartnig

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY (TU WIEN)
Institute for Engineering Design and Logistics Engineering
BELGRADE, SERBIA, 2017





XXII INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON MATERIAL HANDLING, CONSTRUCTIONS AND LOGISTICS"

4th - 6th October, 2017

MICI 2017

Edited by

Nenad Zrnić, Srđan Bošnjak and Georg Kartnig

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

VIENNA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY (TU WIEN)
Institute for Engineering Design and Logistics Engineering

BELGRADE, SERBIA, 2017

INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Co-Chairmen:

Prof. Dr. Nenad Zrnić, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Srdan Bošnjak, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Georg Kartnig, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Members of Scientific Committee:

Prof. Dr. Bidanda Bopaya, University of Pittsburgh, USA

Prof. Dr. Bogdevicius Marijonas, Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, Lithuania

Prof. Dr. Bošnjak Srđan, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Ceccarelli Marco, University of Cassino, Italy

Prof. Dr. Chondros Thomas, University of Patras, Greece

Prof. Dr. Clausen Uwe, TU Dortmund, Fraunhofer Institute for Material Flow and Logistic, Germany

Prof. Dr. Ćuprić Nenad, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Czmochowski Jerzy, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Poland

Prof. Dr. Dentsoras Argiris, University of Patras, Greece

Prof. Dr. Dragović Branislav, University of Montenegro, Kotor, Montenegro

Prof. Dr. Đukić Goran, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Prof. Dr. Edl Milan, University of West Bohemia, Plzen, Czech Republic

Prof. Dr. Ekren Banu, Yaşar University, Turkey

Prof. Dr. Furmans Kai, Karsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany

Prof. Dr. Gašić Milomir, University of Kragujevac, Kraljevo, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Gašić Vlada, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Georgiev Martin, Technical University Sofia, Bulgaria

Prof. Dr. Georgijević Milosav, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Gerhard Detlef, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Guenthner Wilibald, TU Munich, Germany

Prof. Dr. Hrabovsky Leopold, Technical University of Ostrava, Czech Republic

Prof. Dr. Illes Bela, University of Miskolc, Hungary

Prof. Dr. Jančevski Janko, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

Prof. Dr. Jerman Boris, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Jodin Dirkt, Graz University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Jovanović Miomir, University of Niš, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Kartnig Georg, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Katterfeld Andre, Otto-von-Guericke-Universitat Magdeburg, Germany

Prof. Dr. Kessler Franz, Montan University of Leoben, Austria

Prof. Dr. Kosanić Nenad, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Lerher Tone, University of Maribor, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Markusik Sylwester, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

Prof. Dr. Mitrović Radivoje, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Ognjanović Milosav, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Oguamanam C.D. Donatus, Ryerson University Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Prof. Dr. Overmeyer Ludger, Leibniz University Hannover, Germany

Prof. Dr. Park Nam Kyu, Tongmyong Univ. of Information Technology, Busan, South Korea

Prof. Dr. Popović Vladimir, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Potrč Iztok, University of Maribor, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Rakin Marko, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Rosi Bojan, University of Maribor, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Rogić Miroslav, University of Banja Luka, Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Prof. Dr. Rusinski Eugeniusz, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland

Prof. Dr. Sari Zaki, University of Tlemcen, Algeria

Prof. Dr. Savković Mile, University of Kragujevac, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Sawodny Oliver, University of Stuttgart, Germany

Prof. Dr. Schmidt Thorsten, Dresden University of Technology, Germany

Prof. Dr. Schott Dingena, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Prof. Dr. Sihn Wilfried, Vienna University of Technology, Fraunhofer Austria, Austria

Prof. Dr. Singhose William, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA

Prof. Dr. Solazzi Luigi, University of Brescia, Italy

Prof. Dr. ten Hompel Michael, TU Dortmund, Fraunhofer Institute for Material Flow and Logistic, Germany

Prof. Dr. Vidović Milorad, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Vladić Jovan, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Wehking Karl-Heinz, University of Stuttgart, Germany

Prof. Dr. Weigand Michael, Vienna University of Technology, Austria Prof. Dr. Wimmer Wolfgang, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Wypych Peter, University of Wollongong, Australia

Prof. Dr. Zrnić Nenad, University of Belgrade, Serbia

President of Honorary Scientific Committee and Conference Founder:

Prof. Dr. Đorđe Zrnić, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Members of Honorary Scientific Committee:

Prof. Dr. Babin Nikola, University of Novi Sad, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Hoffmann Klaus, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Mijajlović Radić, University of Niš, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Oser Joerg, Graz University of Technology, Austria

Prof. Dr. Ostrić Davor, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Petković Zoran, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Severin Dietrich, Technical University of Berlin, Germany

Prof. Dr. Zrnić Đorđe, University of Belgrade, Serbia

ORGANIZING COMMITEE

Presidents of the Organizing Committee:

Prof. Dr. Nenad Zmić, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Georg Kartnig, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Vice President of the Organizing Committee:

Prof. Dr. Branislav Dragović, University of Montenegro, Montenegro

Members of Organizing Committee:

Gašić Vlada, University of Belgrade, Serbia Gnjatović Nebojša, University of Belgrade, Serbia Milojević Goran, University of Belgrade, Serbia Đorđević Miloš, University of Belgrade, Serbia Milenović Ivan, University of Belgrade, Serbia Stefanović Aleksandar, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Reviewers:

Prof. Dr. Bošnjak Srđan, Serbia

Prof. Dr. Dragović Branislav, Montenegro

Prof. Dr. Jerman Boris, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Kartnig Georg, Austria

Prof. Dr. Lerher Tone, Slovenia

Prof. Dr. Papadimitriou Stratos, Greece

Prof. Dr. Zrnić Nenad, Serbia

Publisher:

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Printout:

"Planeta Print" d.o.o., Belgrade, Serbia

CIRCULATION: 100 copies

ISBN 978-86-7083-949-6

Michael Eder

Teaching Assistant
Vienna University of Technology
Faculty of Mechanical and Industrial
Engineering
Institute for Engineering Design and
Logistics Engineering

Georg Kartnig

Professor
Vienna University of Technology
Faculty of Mechanical and Industrial
Engineering
Institute for Engineering Design and
Logistics Engineering

Calculation method to determine the throughput and the energy consumption of S/R shuttle systems

Shuttle systems are used in high performance automated storage/retrieval unit load systems. Each storage level is serviced by one shuttle. On the front side of the rack there is a lift for storage and retrieval. A different number of buffer slots can be located between the lifts and the respective levels. These systems are already treated in various scientific papers. In this paper, the calculation of the throughput of a shuttle system and, more particularly, the calculation of the energy consumption for the handling of a tote in such a system is presented. The results of these calculation methods are shown.

Keywords: shuttle-system, storage/retrieval unit load systems, performance, analytical calculation, energy consumption

1. INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM DEFINITION

Due to the development of the economy to demandoriented delivery and storage of consumables and products, the requirements for the storage technology are becoming higher and higher. In order to meet these requirements, shuttle systems are increasingly installed. They are flexible and achieve highest performances. The focus of this paper is not only on the calculation of the throughput, but on the calculation of the energy consumption for storage and retrieval.

A number of research papers have been published in the past to investigate these systems [3, 4, 5].

In detail, the following research questions will be treaded:

- Which throughput can be achieved by shuttle systems?
- What is the energy consumption for one double cycle?

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE SHUTTLE SYSTEM

The shuttle system treated here is a system with container lifts. This means that the shuttles can not leave the individual levels and the lifts transport only the totes. So there must be a shuttle in each level of the system. Between lifts and shuttles there is a buffer installed. See Figure 1.

3. ANALYTICAL CALCULATION OF THE THROUGHPUT

In order to calculate the throughput of an entire shuttle system the utilization rate of a single level must be determined first. This is done with the following equation:

$$\rho = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} = \frac{t_o}{t_a} \tag{1}$$

Correspondence to: DI Michael Eder, teaching assistant Vienna University of Technology Institute for Engineering Design and Logistics Engineering Getriedemark 9/BD/4.OG, 1060 Vienna, Austria E-mail: michael.e307.eder@tuwien.ac.at

It includes the relationship between the interarrivaltime of the lift

s t_a and the operationg time of the shuttles t_o .




Figure 1. Shuttle-System

The interarrival time is the mean cycle time of the lifts converted to the individual levels. This conversion is done by the multiplication of the cycle time by the number of levels in the rack:

$$t_a = t_{lift} \cdot n_{level} \tag{2}$$

The mean cycle time of the lifts is defined as follows [1]:

$$t_{lift} = \frac{H}{v_{lift}} + 2\frac{v_{lift}}{a_{lift}} + t_{load/unload_lift}$$
 (3)

The second part in determining the utilization rate of one level is the mean operating time of the should. The is calculated as follows [1].

$$t_{shuttle} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{L}{v_{shuttle}} + 3 \frac{v_{shuttle}}{a_{shuttle}} + t_{load/unload_shuttle}$$
 (5)

In order to calculate the throughput a queuing system M|G|1|K is used. The throughput of one level is given by the following equation [2]:

$$\mathcal{G}_{level} = \frac{1}{t_a} (1 - p_K) = \frac{1}{t_b} (1 - p_0) \tag{6}$$

The result of this queuing must be converted with the following relationship, to get the result for the whole system.

$$\mathcal{G}_{system} = \mathcal{G}_{level} \cdot n_{level} \tag{7}$$

Equation (6) shows two methods for determining the throughput. On the one hand by the interarrivaltime and the blocking probability, on the other hand, via the operating time and the vacancy probability.

The basic equation to calculate the blocking probability is [2]:

$$p_{K} = \frac{\rho^{\left(\frac{\sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho} + 2K}{2 + \sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho}}\right)} \cdot (\rho - 1)}{\rho^{\left(2\frac{\sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho} + K + 1}{2 + \sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho}}\right)} - 1}$$
(8)

The vacancy probability is quite similar [3]:

$$p_{0} = \frac{(\rho - 1)}{\rho^{\left(2\frac{\sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho} + K + 1}{2 + \sqrt{\rho} \cdot s_{s}^{2} - \sqrt{\rho}}\right)} - 1}$$
(9)

These two equations contain the utilization rate of the individual levels, the variance of the operating times of the shuttles and the capacity of the considered system. The capacity K of the queuing system can be defined as follows:

$$K = n_{buffer} + n_{capShuttle}$$
 (10)

This means that the capacity of the considered waiting system is always the number of input buffer slots in one level $(n_{buffer}=1)$ plus the capacity of the shuttle $(n_{capshuttle}=1)$. In this case, the capacity of the shuttle itself is always provided with one tote.

The variance of the operating times by the shuttle results from the probability distribution of the operating times. These operating times are subjected to a triangular distribution [2]. The variance can therefore be calculated using the following equation.

Based on the data in Table 1 the throughput results are shown in Figure 2 for single deep racks with a length of 100 m. The result could be confirmed by simulation with SIMIO.

Table 1. System parameters

	lift	shuttle	
velocity	5 m/s	2 m/s	
acceleration	7 m/s ²	2 m/s ² 4.0 s	
oad-/unload time	2.8 s		
vertical pitch	p _v =0.4 m p _h =0.5 m		
horizontal pitch			




Figure 2. Throughput of a shuttle-system

4. ANALYTICAL CALCULATION OF THE ENERGY CONSUMPTION

The calculation of the energy consumption of a shuttle system consists of the energy consumption for the acceleration or decceleration, the energy for a ride with constant speed, the energy for lifting movement and the consumptions for the storage and retrieval. These individual consumptions are listed below. Also the limits of validity for the given equations are shown. This calculation method has been introduced to compare different systems and configurations.

4.1 Energy consumption of the whole shuttle-system

The energy consumption of the whole shuttle system attributed to one double cycle of a tote is as follows. In addition to the energy consumption of the lifts and the shuttle, the standby consumptions of all lifts and shuttles including the energy consumption of the control of the whole shuttle system, are divided by the handling performance.

$$E_{system} = s_s = \frac{\sqrt{\left(2\frac{L}{v_{shuttle}}\right)^2}}{\frac{18}{t_{shuttle}}}$$
(11)

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathit{lift}} + \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathit{shuttle}}$$

$$+ \frac{E_{\textit{lifts} tan \textit{dby}} n_{\textit{lift}} + E_{\textit{shuttles} tan \textit{dby}} n_{\textit{shuttle}} + E_{\textit{control}}}{\mathcal{G}_{\textit{system}}}$$
 (12)

4.2 Energy consumption for the vehicles

In this part the equations are shown for the two main parts of a shuttle system, the lift and the shuttle. These two equations relate to one storage and retrieval process including the energy consumption of the vehicles when riding without any load.

Lift single cycle:

$$\begin{split} E_{lift} = \\ + E_{Ahvu} + E_{Rhvu} + E_{liftup} + E_{Dvu} \\ + (E_{Avd} + E_{Rvd} + E_{down} + E_{Dhvd}) \cdot \eta_{reklift} \\ + E_{Ahvu} + E_{Rhvu} + E_{liftup} + E_{Dvu} \\ + (E_{Avd} + E_{Rvd} + E_{down} + E_{Dhvd}) \cdot \eta_{reklift} \\ + 2 \cdot E_{load/unload_lift} \end{split}$$

Shuttle double cycle:

$$\begin{split} E_{\textit{shuttle}} &= \\ &+ E_{\textit{Ahvu}} + E_{\textit{Rhvu}} + E_{\textit{Dhvd}} \cdot \eta_{\textit{reklift}} \\ &+ E_{\textit{Ahvu}} + E_{\textit{Rhvu}} + E_{\textit{Dhvd}} \cdot \eta_{\textit{reklift}} \\ &+ E_{\textit{Ahvu}} + E_{\textit{Rhvu}} + E_{\textit{Dhvd}} \cdot \eta_{\textit{reklift}} \\ &+ 2 \cdot E_{\textit{load/unload_shuttle}} \end{split} \tag{14}$$

4.3 Energy consumption for acceleration an decceleration

The special thing about energy consumption for accelerating and decceleration is that there is also negative consumption. This happens for example when the lift accelerates downwards and the acceleration is less than the gravity. There are four different equations to calculate the energy consumption for acceleration depending on the riding direction.

First the acceleration in horizontal directon or vertical direction upwards is described.

$$E_{Ahvu} = + \left(m_{tote} + m_{veh} + m_{redveh}\right) \frac{v_{veh}^2}{2} \frac{1}{\eta_{veh}} \tag{15}$$

Next the acceleration in the vertical direction downwards is shown.

$$E_{Avd} = + \left(m_{tote} + m_{veh} + m_{redveh}\right) \frac{v_{veh}^2}{2} \eta_{veh}$$
 (16)

The following equation relates to the decceleration process downwards or in horizontal direction.

$$E_{Dhvd} = -\left(m_{tote} + m_{veh} + m_{redveh}\right) \frac{v_{veh}^2}{2} \eta_{veh} \tag{17}$$

The fourth and last equation includes the decceleration process in vertical direction upwards.

$$E_{Dvu} = -\left(m_{tote} + m_{veh} + m_{redveh}\right) \frac{v_{veh}^2}{2} \frac{1}{\eta_{veh}}$$
(18)

For vertical transportation the following limit is relevant:

$$(m_{tote} + m_{veh} + m_{redveh}) \cdot a_{veh} \leq$$

$$(m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g \cdot \mu_{veh} + (m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g$$

$$(19)$$

This means that the mass of the vehicle, including the tote and the reduced rotating mass, multiplied by the acceleration has to be less than the mass of the vehicle including the tote multiplied by the acceleration due to gravity and increased by the coefficient of friction.

4.4 Energy consumption for constant speed ride

The energy consumption of a ride with constant speed is the energy consumption required to overcome friction work.

Equation 20 defines this energy consumption for a ride in horizontal direction or a ride vertically upwards. In this case, energy always must be put into the system, so the efficiency of the vehicle is in the denominator.

$$E_{Rhvu} = (m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g \cdot \mu_{veh} \cdot L \cdot \frac{1}{\eta_{veh}}$$
(20)

Equation 21 defines this energy consumption for a ride vertically downwards. Here the efficiency is in the numerator, because this energy consumption reduces the output from the system.

$$E_{Rvd} = -(m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g \cdot \mu_{veh} \cdot L \cdot \eta_{veh}$$
 (21)

The limit for the validity of the second part of the energy consumption equation is that the friction force is less than the weight force of the vehicle including the tote.

$$(m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot \mu_{veh} \cdot g \le (m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g$$
 (22)

4.5 Energy consumption for lifting and ride downwards

For the lifting movement the potential energy must be added to the system and therefore the efficiency of the vehicle is in the denominator.

$$E_{liftup} = (m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g \cdot H \cdot \frac{1}{\eta_{veh}}$$
 (23)

When riding downwards, the potential energy is released from the system, so the efficiency is here in the numerator.

$$E_{down} = -(m_{tote} + m_{veh}) \cdot g \cdot H \cdot \eta_{veh}$$
 (24)

5. RESULTS FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Based on the data in Table 1 and Table 2 the energy consumption and the throughput results are shown in Figure 3 for single deep racks with a length of 100 m.

Table 2. System parameters

	lift	shuttle
mass	5 m/s	2 m/s
red. rot. mass	7 m/s²	2 m/s²
coefficient of friction	0.1	0,1
efficiency	0.7	0.75
recuperation efficiency	0.5	0,5
load/unload energy	300 J	800 J
standby energy	0.010 kWh/h	0.010 kWh/h
control energy	0.5 kWh/h	
mass of the tote	25 kg	

On the right side of the figure the influence of the lift is dominating and so the energy comsumption increases with increasing height of the rack. On the left side the constant parts of the energy comsumption are dominating and so the curve is decreasing with increasing throughput. The two curves are nearly mirrored. This is because, in one calculation, the lengths of the rack is in the denominator and in the other it is in the numerator. There is a difference in the position of the extremes (the minimum energy consumption or the maximum handling capacity). This difference results from the waiting time in the calculation of the troughput.




Figure 3. Energyconsumption and throughput of a shuttle-system

6. CONCLUSION

With the present calculation methods the throughput and the energy consumption of shuttle systems can be calculated. These two calculation methods are shown here for single deep racks. They are also available for multi deep racks. In future these methods shall be used to optimize the geomety of the racks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eder, M.; Kartnig, G.: Throughput Analysis of S/R Shuttle Systems and Ideal Geometry for High Performance. In: FME Transactions 44 (2016), S.174-179. DOI 10.5937/fmet1602174
- [2] Eder, M.; Kartnig, G.: Throughput optimization of shuttle systems using an analytical calculation model. In: Logistics Journal: Proceedings 2016, DOI 10.2195/lj_Proc_eder_de_201610_01
- [3] Lerher, T.: Throughput and energy Related Performance Calculations for Shuttle Based Storage and Retrieval Systems. In: Nova Science Publishers 2016
- [4] Lerher, T.; Edl, M.; Rosi, B.: Energy efficiency model fo the mino-load automated storage and retrieval systems. In: The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 70 (2014), Nr. 1-4, S.97-115
- [5] Tappia, E.; Marchet, G.; Melacinci, M.; Perotti, S.: Incorporation the environmental dimension in the assessment of automated warehouses.. In: Production Planning & Control 26 (2015), Nr. 10, S824-838

NOMENCLATURE

a_{lift}	acceleration of the lift
a _{shuttle}	acceleration of the shuttle
a_{veh}	acceleration of the vehicle
$E_{{\scriptscriptstyle Ahvu}}$	Energy consumption for acceleration in horizontal and vertical direction upwards
$E_{{\scriptscriptstyle A}{\scriptscriptstyle V}{\scriptscriptstyle d}}$	Energy consumption for acceleration in vertical direction downwards
$E_{\it control}$	Energy consumption of the control station of the shuttle system
$E_{\it Dhvd}$	Energy consumption for deceleration in horizontal and vertical direction downwards
$E_{\scriptscriptstyle down}$	Energy consumption for lifting a tote downwards

$E_{\scriptscriptstyle Dvu}$	Energy consumption for deceleration in vertical direction	n	m_{veh}	mass of the vehicle
$E_{\it life}$	upwards Energy consumption for one cycle of the lift		$n_{\it buffer}$	number of buffer slots between lifts and shuttle in one storage level
$E_{\it lifts}$ tan $\it dby$	Energy consumption of the lift while waiting		N _{capshuttle}	transporting capacity of a shuttle
$E_{\it liftup}$	Energy consumption for lifting tote upwards	a	n _{level}	number of storage levels
$E_{\it load /\it unload _\it lift}$	Energy consumption for loadin and unloading the lift	g	n _{lift}	number of lifts in the system
$E_{\it load l unload _ \it shuttle}$	Energy consumption for loading and unloading the shuttle	g	n _{shuttle}	number of shuttles in the system
$E_{_{Rhvu}}$	Energy consumption for constaride in horizontal and vertical direction upwards	nt	p_0	Unconditional probability that there is no tote in the service channel
E_{Rvd}	Energy consumption for consta	III	p_{k}	blocking probability
	ride in vertical direction upward Energy consumption for one		$t_{load/unload_lift}$	loading/unloading time of the lifts
$E_{shuttle}$	cycle of the shuttle Energy consumption of the		$t_{load/unload_shuttle}$	loading/unloading time of the shuttles
$E_{shuttles\ an\ dby}$	shuttle while waiting		t_a	interarrival time
E_{system}	Energy consumption for one handling cycle of the whole shuttle system		t_o	operating time
		i	t_{lift}	cycle time of the lifts
		i	t _{shuttle}	cycle time of the shuttles
g	gravitational acceleration		S_s	Variance of the operation time
Н	Height of the rack		${oldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{lift}}$	velocity of the lift
K	capacity of the queuing system			•
L	Length of the rack		V _{shuttle}	velocity of the shuttle
m_{lift}	Mass of the lift	,	V _{veh}	velocity of the vehicle
$m_{redlift}$	reduced rotating masses of the lift	(Greek symbols	
m _{redshuttle}	reduced rotating masses of the shuttle	1	9 _{level}	throughput of a storage level
m _{redveh}	reduced rotating masses of the vehicle		\mathcal{G}_{system}	throughput of the whole shuttle system
m _{tote}	Mass of the tote		ρ	utilization rate
m _{shuttle}	Mass of the shuttle		λ	arrival rate
		•	/ v	

μ	service rate	$\eta_{\it reklift}$	efficiency of recuperation
	friction coefficient of the	: г гекцт	of the lift
μ_{lift}	lift	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle rekshuttle}$	efficiency of recuperation of the shuttle
$\mu_{ extit{shuttle}}$	friction coefficient of the shuttle	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle veh}$	efficiency of the vehicle
$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle veh}$	friction coefficient of the vehicle		
${oldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathit{lift}}}$	efficiency of the lift		
$\eta_{shuttle}$	efficiency of the shuttle		