The $^{234}$U neutron capture cross section measurement at the n$_{\text{TOF}}$ facility
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Abstract. The neutron capture cross-section of $^{234}$U has been measured for energies from thermal up to the keV region in the neutron time-of-flight facility n$_{\text{TOF}}$, based on a spallation source located at CERN. A 4r BaF$_2$ array composed of 40 crystals, placed at a distance of 184.9 m from the neutron source, was employed as a total absorption calorimeter (TAC) for detection of the prompt $\gamma$-ray cascade from capture events in the sample. This text describes the experimental setup, all necessary steps followed during the data analysis procedure. Results are presented in the form of R-matrix resonance parameters from fits with the SAMMY code and compared to the evaluated data of ENDF in the relevant energy region, indicating the good performance of the n$_{\text{TOF}}$ facility and the TAC.

1 Introduction

At an international level several issues accompany the long-term development of nuclear science and its applications: nuclear waste management, new reactor design, increasing safety requirements, public acceptance, etc. This has triggered many new R&D activities, such as Accelerator Driven Systems or next generation reactor concepts and has also enhanced the ongoing effort to expand and improve the existing nuclear data. Among other measurements, the neutron capture cross section determination for several isotopes related to the thorium based nuclear fuel cycle is of special interest. The $^{234}$U measurements were performed at the n$_{\text{TOF}}$ facility [1] (CERN) using TAC as the detection device. The neutron beam characteristics [2,3] were determined after applying available detection techniques, in order to have an accurate knowledge of the beam portion that interacts with the sample. The n$_{\text{TOF}}$
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Data Acquisition System [4] was designed on the basis of high performance flash ADCs, making it a hardware dead-time free system capable of recording the full detector event series.

The capture yield is the primary quantity to be determined from the procedure. The accuracy of this process depends on many parameters such as: detection efficiency, canning effect, detector’s neutron sensitivity, etc. Thus numerous additional measurements had to be done in order to estimate these parameters and all background components related to the data analysis procedure.

2 Experimental setup

The most important features concerning the facility, the detection apparatus and the data acquisition system are listed below, giving special attention to those aspects relevant to our data analysis procedure.

The main characteristics of the proton beam, the lead target, and the neutron beam are underlined below. The proton beam is supplied by the CERN Proton Synchrotron (PS) and is carried up to the spallation target. Features:

- 20 GeV/c momentum corresponding to the maximum attainable energy with a repetition frequency of 1 pulse every 2.4 s,
- single proton bunch intensity of 7 × 10^{12} protons or 4 × 10^{12} protons depending on the PS operating status.

Several devices are used to provide additional information about the beam.

The spallation mechanism relies on the highly energetic proton beam hitting on the heavy element target. The neutron production efficiency for one 20 GeV/c proton impinging on a lead target is approximately 300 neutrons. The n_TOF neutron source is a lead block with a total volume of 80 × 80 × 60 cm³ [3]. In order to reduce the neutron beam contamination from γ-rays and high energy charged particles produced during the spallation reactions, protons hit on the target at an angle of 10 degrees respect to the TOF tube axis. A 5 cm water layer is surrounding the target as a moderator and a cooling system.

The neutron beam delivers approximately 10⁶ neutrons per pulse integrated over the whole beam surface, at the sample position. The generated neutrons travel nearly 186 m inside a vacuum tube divided in several sections, with a progressively reduced diameter. The pressure in the vacuum tube is less than 1 mbar. Two collimators are placed at 136 m and 175 m to shape the neutron beam that reaches the Experimental Area (EA). A “sweeping magnet” is used to cut down charged particles contaminating the neutron beam. The energy range is wide, starting from thermal up to several hundreds of MeV.

The 4π assembly is formed by 12 pentagonal and 30 hexagonal shaped crystals. However, the total number of crystals at n_TOF was reduced to 40 in order to have entrance and exit points of the neutron beam tube. Those two removed were of hexagonal shape. Detection efficiency for capture events is nearly 100%. The crystals’ energy calibration and resolution were monitored on a regular basis, using proper γ-radioactive sources (\(^{137}\)Cs, \(^{60}\)Co, \(^{88}\)Y and Pu\(^{+12}\)C). The measured relative resolution values (FWHM) for the 662 keV and 6.2 MeV lines were 12% and 7% respectively.

3 Data analysis

The main aim of the data analysis procedure is the capture yield determination, \(Y(E_n)\), given by the following formula

\[
Y(E_n) = \frac{S(E_n) - B(E_n)}{\Phi(E_n) \cdot \epsilon_{\text{TAC}}(E_n)}
\]

where

\(S(E_n)\) is the number of events detected in the TAC

\(B(E_n)\) is the background
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Fig. 3. Capture events (arbitrary units) of the $^{234}$U (black) along with the sample’s activity (blue), empty Ti canning (red) and empty frame (green).

Fig. 4. TAC energy response for different conditions.

Fig. 5. Capture yield (black) along with n_TOF SAMMY fit (red) and ENDF /B-VI.8 (green) for the first resonance of $^{234}$U.

Fig. 6. Comparison between experimental data (black), SAMMY fit (red) and ENDF (green) for the neutron energy region up to 100 eV.

$\Phi(E_n)$ is the neutron beam flux and $\epsilon_{TAC}(E_n)$ is the “global” efficiency of the TAC.

All different sources of background had to be identified and then analyzed regarding their influence on the capture measurements. These are the following:

- as mentioned earlier, background due to neutrons scattered at the samples and captured in the detector materials: mainly in the BaF$_2$ and with lower probability, in less abundant surrounding structural materials.
- background due to gamma rays and neutrons emitted in the fission process at the sample. Negligible in our case ($\sigma_f \ll \sigma_g$).
- background due to in-beam gamma rays present in the n_TOF neutron beam. These are produced by neutrons captured in the hydrogen of the moderator water of the lead spallation target.
- the sample’s radioactivity.
- the ambient background.

Several measurements were performed in order to determine each of the previous (fig. 3):

- measuring under the status “beam off”, having just the target inside TAC (sample activity)
- having everything switched off (ambient measurement)
- Carbon sample measurements (TAC neutron sensitivity)
- Lead target measurements (in-beam gammas effect)
- having inside TAC an empty Ti canning.

Additionally during the analysis, one can apply different selection criteria rules in order to improve signal to background ratio. Defining neighboring crystals as one cluster, we demanded to register events that were detected in two clusters or more ($N_{\text{cluster}} > 1$). This criteria proved to be the best in our case.

The neutron beam flux was estimated by means of Monte Carlo simulations [5] and measured using different available techniques. The neutron flux that was considered in our analysis is the one called n_TOF standard flux [3].

The last term of equation (1), $\epsilon_{TAC}(E_n)$ is called “global” efficiency because it corresponds to the detector’s overall efficiency. It is equal to the product of three factors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Resonance parameters for the 1st resonance of $^{234}$U.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_r$ (eV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDF/B-VI.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JENDL 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n_TOF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Results

For the fitting process of our capture yield, we used the SAMMY software package [6] using ENDF/B-VI.8 resonance parameter set. Prior to the fitting procedure, our capture yield was normalized in order to reproduce the first resonance of 234U. The comparison between our fit (red) and ENDF/B-VI.8 (green), for the first resonance is shown in figure 5, while figure 6 displays the fit for neutron energy up to 100 eV. In this second plot one can notice a resonance at 67.5 eV, not listed in ENDF/B-VI.8.

The average radiation width was found to be equal to 40.3 ± 2.3 meV, considering resonances up to the energy of 200 eV. The precise number of resonances for the resolved resonance region was determined after applying the Porter-Thomas distribution on our experimental data (fig. 7). Using that value (135.4 ± 2.6) we calculated the average spacing level, \( \langle D_0 \rangle \approx 11.04 \pm 0.2 \) eV while Mughabghab gives 10.92 ± 0.47 eV [7]. The reduced averaged neutron width obtained from this fit is: \( \langle \Gamma_n^0 \rangle = (1.02 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3} \) eV.

5 Conclusions

Measurements of the neutron capture in 234U were performed at the n_TOF facility (CERN), using the Total Absorption Calorimeter as the detection system. Resonance parameters for the resolved resonance energy region were determined after following the fitting process with SAMMY. Calculations of the average radiation width and mean level spacing validate the good performance of the experimental facility and the adequacy of the data reduction procedure.
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