EUROPEAN COOPERATION
IN THE FIELD OF SCIENTIFIC
AND TECHNICAL RESEARCH

EURO-COST

COST 2100 TD(09)801
Valencia, Spain
May 18-19, 2009

SOURCE:
Institut für Nachrichten- und Hochfrequenztechnik, Technische Universität Wien, Vienna, Austria

Network-Load Dependent Partial Frequency Reuse for LTE

Bujar Krasniqi, Martin Wrulich, Christoph F. Mecklenbräuker

Institut für Nachrichten- und Hochfrequenztechnik
Gusshausstrasse 25/389
1040 Vienna
AUSTRIA
Phone: +43 1 58801 38996
Fax: +43 1 58801 38999
Email: bujar.krasniqi@nt.tuwien.ac.at

Network-Load Dependent Partial Frequency Reuse for LTE

Bujar Krasniqi, Martin Wrulich and Christoph F. Mecklenbräuker
Vienna University of Technology, Institute for Communications and Radio-Frequency Engineering
Gusshausstr. 25/389, A-1040 Vienna
email: {`bujar.krasniqi,mwrulich,cfm`}`@nt.tuwien.ac.at`

***Abstract*—Inter-cell Interference (ICI) is a key issue in Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) systems. Since OFDMA was proposed to be used in next generation networks several schemes have been investigated for mitigating the ICI. One of the techniques that promises improvement in reducing ICI is Partial Frequency Reuse (PFR). In this paper we investigate a Flexible Bandwidth Allocation (FBA) scheme for PFR depending on the network-load, which allocates bandwidth dynamically in the network. The scheme is based on the assumption that a cell is not loaded homogeneously. We develop a suitable network description to obtain the optimum PFR zone partitioning as a function of the dynamic bandwidth allocation. Thus, our paper presents a general framework for intelligent frequency planning in wireless networks. Compared to simpler PFR schemes, our simulation results show that the cell capacity can be increased by 5 b/s/Hz when our scheme is used by exploiting the inhomogeneities in the load.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Next generation cellular networks are based on Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA). OFDMA is used by WiMax, DVB-T, WLAN and 3GPP Long Term Evolution (LTE). An important feature of OFDMA is its flexibility in bandwidth scaling [1]. Generally in cellular networks, the users at the cell edge suffer from more Inter-Cell Interference (ICI) than users closer to their serving base station. If all base stations re-use the same frequency band (like e.g. in LTE), then ICI mitigation becomes a major concern and several ICI mitigation techniques for that have been discussed in the literature [2]. One of these techniques which is different from traditional frequency reuse (reuse-1 and reuse-3) is Soft Frequency Reuse (SFR) which has been explained in [3], [4], [5], [6]. Another scheme which is a different form SFR is Partial Frequency Reuse (PFR). This scheme was used in [2], [4], [6], [7], [8] and seems to be the most promising technique for 3GPP LTE. This technique consists of splitting the bandwidth into two parts: Full Reuse (FR) part and Partial Reuse (PR) part. The FR-part is like reuse-1 which is the same for all cells in the network. The PR-part is allocated to the cell edge users such that the signals are orthogonal to the neighbor users. A combination of PFR with Soft Handover (SH) is given in [4] which indicates that there is an improvement on the throughput in PR-zone but we loose in the throughput in FR-zone. This happen because of the resources in FR-zone are shared with the users which are in PR-zone. In [7] is used a modified PFR scheme which is named Two Level Power Control (TLPC). This scheme consists in allocation of different power in different zones. Chiu and Huang [4] have mentioned that the PR-band can be re-used for the FR-band whenever the cell edge users are idle. To our knowledge there is not any paper which explains the way of using the PR-band for FR-band. In this paper we have implemented Flexible Bandwidth Allocation (FBA) scheme which consist of using the PR-band for FR-band by assuming that the user load is higher in the center cell region. The system model is formulated in section II which is similar to [8]. In section III the bandwidth allocation of the partial re-use scheme is explained. Section IV formulates the optimization problem for maximizing the cell capacity. Simulation results are discussed in Section V and we formulate the conclusions in Section VI.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

The system model which is considered [8] contains a base station in the center BS_0 and a ring of six hexagonal neighbor base stations $BS_1 ... BS_6$. This model is shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Cell cluster

Base stations are equipped with omnidirectional antennas. As it is shown in the figure, the user $U(X, Y)$ is located at the distance $r = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$ from the center of BS_0. Generally speaking, the Signal-to-Noise and Interference Ratio (SINR) is given by

$$\text{SINR} = \frac{P_r}{P_{intra-cell} + P_{inter-cell} + N_0}, \quad (1)$$

where P_r is the received power density from user, $P_{intra-cell}$ is the interference that comes from users inside the cell, $P_{inter-cell}$ is the interference from neighbor cells and N_0 is the noise power. LTE as modulation technique uses OFDMA in the downlink [1] hence there is no intra-cell interference present. We are interested to mitigate the inter-cell interference. The received power density from the user can be described as [8]

$$P_r = p\,G(r), \quad (2)$$

where p is the power spectral density which is given as ratio of total power and total bandwidth $p = P_{tot}/B_{tot}$ and $G(r)$ is the pathloss. We use the pathloss exponent model $G(r) = L/r^\alpha$, where L denotes loss and α denotes the pathloss exponent. Accordingly [8], the average SINR can be evaluated by

$$\Gamma(X,Y) = \frac{pL/r^\alpha}{N_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} pL/r_i^\alpha}, \quad (3)$$

where $r_i, i = 1...6$ are the distances of user U from the neighbor cells. In the following, let us normalize the coordinates (X,Y) to the radius of the cell R, denoted by (x,y). The expression for the SINR in normalized coordinates according to [8] is

$$\gamma(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma_e}{(x^2+y^2)^{\alpha/2}\left[1+\Gamma_e S(x,y)\right]}, \quad (4)$$

where Γ_e is the edge SNR defined by

$$\Gamma_e = \frac{pL}{N_0 R^\alpha}. \quad (5)$$

The sum of all pathloss distances r_i defined by $S(x,y)$ is given by expression

$$S(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(x-x_i)^2 + (y-y_i)^2\right]^{-\alpha/2}. \quad (6)$$

The ICI is usually critical for cell edge users because the neighbor cells may use the same carriers in a simple frequency network. Also the users in the center of the cell use the same carriers but they are more isolated from ICI because of the macro-scale pathloss. In order to minimize the ICI, a common approach is to split the cells into two regions: in a so-called FR-region and a PR-region. The FR-region is located around the base station, and the PR-region is located at the cell edge. Implying a suitable frequency planning of the PR frequency bands, the PR-region can be considered ICI-free because the frequencies which are allocated for users in this region are different from the frequencies which are allocated to the users in neighbor cells. Let us denote the boundary between these two regions in polar coordinates as $\beta(\theta)$, with θ specifying the azimuth angle. Furthermore let us approximate that the azimuth angle is $\theta = 0$. Now the boundary between these two regions is defined by $\beta(0) = \rho$. The SINR for these two regions in polar coordinates can then be given by

$$\gamma_\rho(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^\alpha[1+\Gamma_e S(r)]}, & 0 < r \le \rho, \\ \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^\alpha}, & \rho < r \le 1, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and the capacity density in bps/m^2 that can be achieved by a user is [8]

$$c_\rho(r) = b(r)\log_2[1+\gamma_\rho(r)], \quad (8)$$

where $b(r)$ is the bandwidth allocation density which is allocated to the user.

III. BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION

An exemplary frequency reuse pattern model is shown in Fig. 2



Fig. 2. Frequency reuse pattern and bandwidth partitioning

Let us now assume all cells to be populated homogeneously with users over their area. This means that in average all cells utilize the same transmit power. The equation for the total bandwidth based on the model which is shown in Fig. 2 is given by

$$B_{tot} = B_{FR} + 3B_{PR}, \quad (9)$$

where B_{FR} denotes the bandwidth used in FR-region and B_{PR} the bandwidth used in PR-region. Here is assumed the ratio between bandwidths as $B_{FR}/B_{PR} = 3$. The cell capacity is defined as sum of capacities in these two regions for considered cell

$$C = C_{FR} + C_{PR}, \quad (10)$$

where C_{FR} denotes the capacity in FR-region and C_{PR} the capacity in PR-region in considered cell. The expressions for these capacities can be calculated by integrating the capacity density given in Eq. (8) over the corresponding region area. We assume the bandwidth density allocated in each region is constant for given ρ, thus $b(r)$ becomes B_{FR} in FR-region and B_{PR} in PR-region. Now the expressions for C_{FR} and C_{PR} become

$$C_{FR} = 2\pi \int_0^{\rho} B_{FR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}\left[1 + \Gamma_e S(r)\right]}\right) r\mathrm{d}r, \quad (11)$$

$$C_{PR} = 2\pi \int_{\rho}^{1} 3B_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r. \quad (12)$$

We are interested to maximize the cell capacity for given ρ so the optimization problem becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} maximize & C \\ subject\ to & 0 \le \rho \le 1. \end{array} \quad (13)$$

IV. FLEXIBLE BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION

In practical systems over the area of cells users are not distributed uniformly which means that more users can be concentrated in the area of FR-region than in the area of PR-region. In this case we can say that the cell is not loaded homogeneously over its area. Now we are interested how to allocate the bandwidth in FR-region by taking some bandwidth from PR-region. In order to do this we introduce the parameter t in Eq. (12) and split the PR-bandwidth in two parts, so

$$\begin{aligned} C_{PR} = {} & 2\pi \int_{\rho}^{1} 3tB_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r \\ & + 2\pi \int_{\rho}^{1} 3(1-t)B_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Also we write ρ for the upper bound and zero for the lower bound of the first integral in Eq. (14). Now the expression for capacity in PR-region becomes

$$\begin{aligned} C_{PR}(t) = {} & 2\pi \int_{0}^{\rho} 3tB_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r \\ & + 2\pi \int_{\rho}^{1} 3(1-t)B_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The way of allocating the bandwidth from PR-region in FR-region is shown in the model presented in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Proposed model for frequency reuse pattern and bandwidth partitioning

The bandwidth which is used from PR-bandwidth for FR-bandwidth is considered to be ICI-free. Now the equation for the capacity of considered cell is

$$\begin{aligned} C(t,\rho) = {} & 2\pi \int_0^{\rho} B_{FR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}\left[1 + \Gamma_e S(r)\right]}\right) r\mathrm{d}r \\ & + 2\pi \int_0^{\rho} 3tB_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r \\ & + 2\pi \int_{\rho}^{1} 3(1-t)B_{PR} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^{\alpha}}\right) r\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By increasing the parameter t we allocate more bandwidth from PR-region in FR-region. By considering the parameter t as constraint the optimization problem becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll} maximize & C(t,\rho) \\ subject\ to & 0 \le \rho \le 1 \\ & 0 \le t < t^{*}. \end{array} \quad (17)$$

Based on Eq. (16) one may think that by increasing the parameter t up to 1 we can use all the bandwidth resources from PR-region for FR-region. To evaluate how much bandwidth resources we can use from the PR-region for the FR-region we set the first derivative of Eq. (16) w.r.t. ρ and equal it to zero. The resulting optimum frequency partitioning radius is denoted by $\hat{\rho}$. The equation for $\hat{\rho}$ depending on parameter t is

$$3\log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{\hat{\rho}^{\alpha}\left[1 + \Gamma_e S(\hat{\rho})\right]}\right) = (1-2t)\log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma_e}{\hat{\rho}^{\alpha}}\right). \quad (18)$$

Eq. (18) tells us that the cell capacity can be maximized for a given t when spectral efficiency in FR-region times the reuse factor is equal with the spectral efficiency in PR-region times the factor $(1-2t)$. From Eq. (18) we define the theoretical results for the upper bound t^{*} of parameter t and we find that $t < 0.5$. However we are interested to see if the simulation results approaches with theoretical results.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

By using the data given in Table I and Eq. (18) we have simulated the optimal frequency partitioning radius versus

TABLE I
SIMULATION PARAMETERS

parameters	value
Total bandwidth B_{tot}	20 MHz
Maximum total power p	1 W
Noise spectral density N_0	-174 dBm/Hz
Center frequency f	3.5 GHz
Pathloss exponent α	3.6
Cell radius R	100 m

parameter t for constant power $p = 1\,\mathrm{W}$ which is shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. Optimal frequency partitioning radius versus parameter t and total power

From simulation results shown in Fig. 4 we see that values of $\hat{\rho}$ are in $[0\,,1]$ when values of parameter $0 \leq t \leq 0.4$. We conclude that simulation results for $\hat{\rho}$ approach with theoretical results when the values of parameter t are in $[0\,,0.4]$. Based on the upper bound for the parameter t we see that we can not re-allocate more than 40% of the bandwidth from PR-region in FR-region. This is a boundary which is important for simulating the cell capacity C. To get the simulation results for cell capacity we use the data given in Table I and the Eq. (16). The simulation results are shown in Fig. 5.

From the simulation results that are shown in Fig. 5 we see that by increasing the parameter t also the cell capacity increases. Also based on Fig. 5 we conclude that optimization problem given by Eq. (17) is quasiconcave [9], because if we look at the graphical representation we see that $C(t, \rho) > \delta$, where δ is the sub level set of $C(t, \rho)$. In Fig. 6 are shown the simulation results for cell capacity in FR and PR-regions versus parameter t for a constant value of $\rho = 0.8$. From the simulation results shown in Fig. 6 we see that by increasing the parameter t, the capacity in FR-region increases and at the same time the capacity in PR-region decreases.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have proposed and analysed a novel FBA scheme for PFR depending on the network-load, which allocates the bandwidth in the cell in response to the traffic load. We have formulated a semi-analytical model for this scheme and the numerical evaluation is carried out based on this semi-analytical model. We have shown that our scheme provides improved performance for the cell capacity compared to the simpler PFR scheme for the values of the parameter t between 0 and 0.4.



Fig. 5. Cell capacity versus frequency-reuse partitioning radius for different values of parameter t



Fig. 6. Cell capacity versus parameter t in FR-region and PR-region for considered cell

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work on this paper was partially supported by Symena through an ”Innovationscheck” by FFG and we would like to acknowledge Thomas Neubauer and Martin Töltsch for helpful comments. Also the authors would like to thank Joakim Jalden for his comments.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Dahlman, S. Parval, J. Skold, and P. Beming, “3G evolution, HSPA and LTE for mobile broadband.” Elsevier, 2007.

[2] K. Son, S. Chong, and G. d. Veciana, “Dynamic association for load balancing and interference avoidance in multi-cell networks,” in *Proc. 5th International Symposium on Modeling and Optimization in Mobile, Ad Hoc and Wireless Networks (WiOpt 2007)*, 16–20 April 2007.

[3] X. Xiang, F. Liu, and Y. Ji, “Simulation based performance evaluation of ICI mitigation schemes for broadband wireless access networks.”

[4] C. S. Chiu and C. Huang, “Combined partial reuse and soft handover in ofdma downlink transmission.”

[5] S. Huawei, “Soft frequency reuse scheme for UTRAN LTE,” in *3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #41, Tdoc (05)0507*.

[6] Y. Xiang, J. Luo, and C. Hartman, “Inter-cell interference mitigation through flexible resource reuse in OFDMA based communication networks.”

[7] P. Goldewski, M. Maqbool, and M. Coupechoux, “Analytical evaluation of various frequency reuse schemes in cellular OFDMA networks.”

[8] A. Alsawah and I. Fialkow, “Optimal frequency-reuse partitioning for obiquitous coverage in cellular systems.”

[9] S. Boyd and L. Vanderberghe, “Convex optimzation.” Cambridge, 2004.