New aspects of geometric phases in experiments with polarized neutrons
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Abstract

Geometric phase phenomena have been observed with single neutrons in polarimeter and interferometer experiments. Interacting with static and time-dependent magnetic fields, the state vectors acquire a geometric phase tied to the evolution within spin subspace. In a polarimeter experiment the non-additivity of quantum phases for mixed spin input states is observed. In a Si perfect-crystal interferometer experiment appearance of geometric phases, induced by interaction with an oscillating magnetic field, is verified. The total system is characterized by an entangled state, consisting of neutron and radiation fields, governed by a Jaynes–Cummings Hamiltonian. In addition, the influence of the geometric phase on a Bell measurement, expressed by the Clauser–Horne–Shimony–Holt (CHSH) inequality, is studied. It is demonstrated that the effect of the geometric phase can be balanced by an appropriate change of Bell angles.
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1. Introduction

The total phase acquired during an evolution of a quantum system generally consists of two components: the usual dynamical phase $\phi_d$ and the geometric phase $\phi_g$. The dynamical phase, which depends on the dynamical properties, such as energy or time, is given by $\phi_d = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int \langle H(t) \rangle \, dt$. The peculiarity of the geometric phase lies in the fact that it does not depend on the dynamics of the system, but purely on the evolution path of the state.
Considering a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ system, the geometric phase is given by minus half the solid angle ($\phi_g = -\Omega/2$) of the curve traced out. Since its discovery by Berry in 1981 [1] the topological concept has been widely expanded and has undergone several generalizations.

The first experimental evidence of an adiabatic and cyclic geometric phase, commonly called the Berry phase, was achieved with photons in 1986 [2] and later with neutrons [3]. Non-adiabatic [4] and non-cyclic [5] geometric phases as well as the off-diagonal case, where initial and final states are mutually orthogonal [6], have been considered. In addition to an early approach by Uhlmann [7], an alternative concept of the geometric phase for mixed input states based on interferometry was developed by Sjöqvist et al [8]. Here, each eigenvector of the initial density matrix independently acquires a geometric phase. The total mixed state phase is a weighted average of the individual phase factors. This concept is of great significance for all experimental situations or technical applications in which pure state theories oversimplify the description. Theoretical predictions have been tested using NMR and single-photon interferometry [9, 10]. The idea has also been extended to the off-diagonal case [11, 12].

Neutron interferometry [13, 14] provides a powerful tool for investigations of quantum phenomena, particularly in the field of geometric phases, where the spatial as well as the spinor evolution leads to geometric phases. In the spatial case the two-dimensional Hilbert space is spanned by the two possible paths in the interferometer. It has been experimentally verified that a geometric phase for cyclic [15], as well as non-cyclic evolutions [16], can be induced. In the case of spinor evolution, where the geometric phase is generated in spin subspace, the spinor rotations are carried out independently in each sub-beam due to the macroscopic separation of the partial beams in the interferometer [17]. Geometric phase effects are observed when the two sub-beams are recombined at the third plate of the interferometer followed by a spin analysis. For instance in [18, 19], spin flippers in both beams clearly demarcate the separate contributions of the dynamical and geometric phases acquired in the spin subspace.

The geometric phase in a single-particle system has been studied widely over the past two and a half decades. Nevertheless its effect on entangled quantum systems is less investigated. The Berry phase is an excellent candidate to be utilized for logic gate operations in quantum communication [20] due to its robustness against noise. This has been tested recently using superconducting qubits [21], and trapped polarized ultracold neutrons [22]. Entanglement is the basis for quantum communication and quantum information processing. Therefore, studies on systems combining both quantum phenomena, the geometric phase and quantum entanglement, are of great importance [23–25]. In the case of neutrons, entanglement is achieved between different degrees of freedom and not between different particles. Using neutron interferometry, with spin polarized neutrons, single-particle entanglement between the spinor and the spatial part of the neutron wavefunction [26], as well as full tomographic state analysis [27], has already been accomplished.

In this paper we report on miscellaneous geometric phase phenomena in neutron polarimetry as well as interferometry. In section 2 polarimetric measurements of noncyclic geometric, dynamical and general phases are presented [28]. In particular, our experiment demonstrates that the geometric and dynamical mixed state phases $\Phi_g$ and $\Phi_d$, resulting from separate measurements, are not additive [29] in the sense that the total phase resulting from a single, cumulative, measurement differs from $\Phi_g + \Phi_d$ [30, 31]. Furthermore, we report on observation and manipulation of the geometric phase generated in one of the Hilbert spaces in a spin-path entangled single-neutron system, namely the spin subspace. Section 3 focuses on the geometric phase generation due to time-dependent interaction with a radio-frequency (rf) field. Here the system is characterized by an entangled state, consisting of neutron and radiation field, governed by a Jaynes–Cummings Hamiltonian. In section 4 the influence of the geometric phase on a spin-path entangled single-neutron system is described. We demonstrate
in detail how the geometric phase affects the Bell angle settings, required for a violation of a Bell-like inequality in the Clauser–Horne–Shimony–Holt (CHSH) formalism.

2. Experimental observation of non-additivity of mixed-state phases

2.1. Neutron polarimeter scheme for phase measurement

Consider the experimental setup shown in figure 1. The polarizer \( P \) prepares the beam in the \( |\uparrow\rangle \) spin state. Subsequently, a coil carries out a \( \pi/2 \)-rotation about the \( x \)-axis \( (U_1) \) creating a coherent superposition \( 1/\sqrt{2} (|\uparrow\rangle - i|\downarrow\rangle) \) of spin eigenstates that acquire an opposite dynamical phase due to Zeeman splitting within the field \( B_z \). Alternatively, one could say that the polarization vector \( \mathbf{r}' \) rotates in the \( x, y \) plane after \( U_1 \). The second coil and some arbitrarily chosen propagation distance within \( B_z \) implement a spin evolution \( U_\phi \) for both eigenstates and thereby induce a pure state Pancharatnam (total) phase \( \phi \) \([32]\). The third coil \( (U_1^\dagger) \) carries out a \( -\pi/2 \)-rotation in order to observe spin interference in the detector \( D \) after the analyser \( A \) (both \( P \) and \( A \) project the spin towards the +\( z \) direction). To obtain these interferences a phase shift \( \eta \) is implemented by linear translation of the second coil. It was first stated in \([33]\) that with such an apparatus one can obtain phases \( \phi \) between spin eigenstates of neutrons, induced by an \( SU(2) \) transformation

\[
U_\phi(\xi, \delta, \zeta) = e^{i\delta} \cos \xi |\uparrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| - e^{-i\zeta} \sin \xi |\uparrow\rangle \langle \downarrow| + e^{i\zeta} \sin \xi |\downarrow\rangle \langle \uparrow| + e^{-i\delta} \cos \xi |\downarrow\rangle \langle \downarrow|. \tag{1}
\]

Equation (1) describes a general evolution of the system within static magnetic fields. The resulting total phase \( \phi = \arg \langle \uparrow | U_\phi | \uparrow \rangle = \delta \) can be written as a function of the maximum \( I_{\text{max}} \) and minimum \( I_{\text{min}} \) intensity of the oscillations, exhibited by applying the phase shift \( \eta \). The intensity is proportional to \( \cos^2 \xi \cos^2 \delta + \sin^2 \xi \cos^2 (\zeta - \eta) \). This only depends on the \( SU(2) \) parameters \( \xi, \delta \) and \( \zeta \) set by choosing the spin rotation angles in the second coil and the additional propagation distance within the guide field \( B_z \), respectively.
A neutron beam with incident purity $r' = |\vec{r}'|$ along the $+z$-axis ($\vec{r}' = (0, 0, r')$) is described by the density operator $\rho_{\text{in}}(r) = 1/2(\mathbb{I} + r' \sigma_z)$. For mixed input states, $0 \leq r' < 1$. In this case [34] we find the intensity oscillations to be proportional to
\[
I^\rho = \frac{1 - r'}{2} + r' \left( \cos^2 \xi \cos^2 \delta + \sin^2 \xi \cos^2(\zeta - \eta) \right).
\] (2)

Considering again the maxima and minima of the intensity oscillations, one obtains the mixed state phase
\[
\Phi(r') = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{I^\rho_{\text{min}}/I^\rho_{\text{max}} - 1/2(1 - r')}{r'}}
\] (3)

with a normalization factor $I^\rho_{\text{max}} = 2I^\rho_{\text{min}}/(1 + r')$. $I^\rho_0$ is the intensity measured with $U_\phi = \mathbb{I}$.

The noncyclic geometric phase is given by $\phi_g = -\Omega/2$, where $\Omega$ is the solid angle enclosed by an evolution path and its shortest geodesic closure on the Bloch sphere [5]: $\phi_g$ and the total phase $\phi$ are related to the solid angle, which is parameterized by the polar and azimuthal angles $2\xi$ and $2\delta$ respectively (see, evolution paths depicted in figures 1(a) and (b)) so that the pure state geometric phase in our case can be written as
\[
\phi_g = \phi - \phi_d = \delta(1 - \cos(2\xi)).
\] (4)

where $\phi_d$ is the dynamical phase. By a proper choice of $2\xi$ and $2\delta$, $U_\phi$ can be set to generate purely geometric, purely dynamical or arbitrary combinations of both phases, e.g. in figures 1(b) and (c). For instance, we can choose to induce a purely geometric phase by selecting $2\xi$ to be equal to $\pi/2$.

The theoretical prediction for the mixed state phase is [8, 34]
\[
\Phi = \arctan(r' \tan \delta).
\] (5)

Note that equation (5) only depends on the parameter $\delta$ and the purity $r'$. Again, as can be seen also from equation (4), the parameter $\xi$ only determines the portion of the dynamical phase $\phi_d$ contained in the total phase $\phi$.

2.2. Experiment

To access equation (5) experimentally $r'$ has to be varied. In addition to the dc current, which effects the transformation $U_1$, random noise is applied to the first coil, thereby changing $B_z$ in time. Neutrons, which are part of the ensemble $\rho_{\text{in}}(r')$, arrive at different times at the coil and experience different magnetic field strengths. We are left with the system in a mixed state $\vec{r} = (0, -r, 0)$ where $r < 1$ [35].

A neutron beam—incident from a pyrolytic graphite crystal—with a mean wavelength $\lambda \approx 1.98$ Å and spectral width $\Delta \lambda/\lambda \approx 0.015$ was polarized up to 99% by reflection from a bent Co-Ti supermirror array. The final maximum intensity was about 150 counts s$^{-1}$ at a beam cross-section of roughly 1 cm$^2$. A $^3$He gas detector was used. Spin rotations around the $+x$-axis were implemented by the magnetic fields $B_z$ from dc coils on frames with rectangular profile ($7 \times 7 \times 2$ cm$^3$). $B_z$ was realized by two rectangular coils of 150 cm length in Helmholtz geometry. Low coil currents of about 2 A corresponding to field strengths of up to 1 mT were required for the spin rotations and to prevent unwanted depolarization. The noise from a standard signal generator consisted of random dc offsets varying at a rate of 20 kHz. The experimental data shown in figure 2 reproduce well the $r'$-dependence predicted by equation (5).
2.3. Non-additivity

Our experiment focuses on a special property of the mixed state phase: its non-additivity. The Sjöqvist mixed state phase [8] is defined as a weighted average of phase factors rather than one of the phases. So it is true only for pure states that phases accumulated in separate experiments can be added up to the usual total phase in the following sense. Suppose a geometric pure state phase $\phi_g$ is induced in the first, and a dynamic pure state phase $\phi_d$ in the second measurement. Applying (4) we can also choose a combination of the angles $2\xi$ and $2\delta$ leading to a transformation $U_{tot}$, so that we measure the total pure state phase $\phi_g + \phi_d$ in the third experiment. However, the result of this latter experiment for the system initially in a mixed state is $\Phi_{tot}(r) = \arctan[r \tan(\phi_g + \phi_d)]$. The total phase is not equal to $\Phi_g(r) + \Phi_d(r)$, with $\Phi_g(r) = \arctan(r \tan \phi_g)$ and $\Phi_d(r) = \arctan(r \tan \phi_d)$. Two examples of data confirming this prediction are shown in figure 3.

3. Geometric phase generation in an oscillating magnetic field

The evolution of a system consisting of neutron, static magnetic field and quantized rf-field can be described by a photon–neutron state vector, which is an eigenvector of a Jaynes–Cummings (J-C) Hamiltonian [36, 37], adopted for this particular physical configuration [38]. Since two
rf-fields (the reason for the second rf-field is explained in section 3.1), operating at frequencies $\omega$ and $\omega/2$, are involved in the actual experiment, the modified corresponding J-C Hamiltonian is denoted as

$$
\hat{H}_{J-C} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu B_0(r) \sigma_z + \hbar \left( \omega a_\omega^\dagger a_\omega + \frac{\omega}{2} a_\omega^{\dagger 2} a_\omega/2 \right)
+ \mu \left( \frac{B_{(a)}^{(\omega)}(r)}{\sqrt{N_\omega}} \left( a_\omega^\dagger \tilde{\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \frac{B_{(a/2)}^{(\omega)}(r)}{\sqrt{N_\omega/2}} \left( a_\omega^{\dagger 2} \tilde{\sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right).
$$

with $\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_x + i \sigma_y)$. The first term accounts for the kinetic energy of the neutron. The second term leads to the usual Zeeman splitting of $2|\mu|B_0$. The third term adds the photon energy of the oscillating fields of the frequencies $\omega$ and $\omega/2$, by the use of the creation and annihilation operators $a_\omega^\dagger$ and $a_\omega$. Finally, the last term represents the coupling between photons and the neutron, where $N_\omega = \{a_\omega^\dagger a_\omega\}$ represents the mean number of photons with frequencies $\omega_j$ in the rf-field.

The state vectors of the oscillating fields are represented by the coherent states $|\alpha\rangle$, which are the eigenstates of $a_\omega^\dagger$ and $a_\omega$. The eigenvalues of coherent states are complex numbers, so one can write $a|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle = |\alpha\rangle e^{i\phi}|\alpha\rangle$ with $|\alpha| = \sqrt{N}$. Neutrons interacting with electromagnetic quanta are usually described by the ‘dressed-particle’ formalism [38], in analogy to the dressed-atom concept [39] developed nearly two decades before. Using equation (7) one can define a total state vector including not only the neutron system $|\Psi_N\rangle$, but also the two quantized oscillating magnetic fields:

$$
|\Psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle = |\alpha_\omega\rangle \otimes |\alpha_{\omega/2}\rangle \otimes |\Psi_N\rangle.
$$

In a perfect Si-crystal neutron interferometer the wavefunction behind the first plate, acting as a beam splitter, is a linear superposition of the sub-beams belonging to the right ($|\text{I}\rangle$) and the left path ($|\text{II}\rangle$), which are laterally separated by several centimetres. The sub-beams are recombined at the third crystal plate and the wavefunction in the forward direction then reads $|\Psi_N\rangle \propto |\text{I}\rangle + |\text{II}\rangle$, where $|\text{I}\rangle$ and $|\text{II}\rangle$ only differ by an adjustable phase factor $e^{i\chi}$ ($\chi = -N_{\text{ps}} b_\lambda \lambda_D$, with the atom number density $N_{\text{ps}}$ in the phase shifter plate, the coherent scattering length $b_\lambda$, the neutron wavelength $\lambda$, and the thickness of the phase shifter plate $D$). By rotating the plate, $\chi$ can be varied. This yields the well-known sinusoidal intensity oscillations of the two beams emerging behind the interferometer, usually denoted as O- and H-beams [13].

In our experiment, only the beam in path II is exposed to the rf-field of frequency $\omega$, resulting in a spin flip (see figure 4(a)). Interacting with a time-dependent magnetic field, the total energy of the neutron is no longer conserved after the spin flip [40-46]. Photons of energy $\hbar \omega$ are exchanged with the rf-field.

The time-dependent entangled state, which emerges from a coherent superposition of $|\text{I}\rangle$ and $|\text{II}\rangle$, is expressed as

$$
|\Psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle = |\alpha_\omega\rangle \otimes |\alpha_{\omega/2}\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\text{I}\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\omega t} e^{i\phi} |\text{II}\rangle \otimes e^{i\phi} |\downarrow\rangle),
$$

for a more detailed description of the generation of $|\Psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle$ see [47].

The effect of the first rf-flipper, placed inside the interferometer (path II), is described by the unitary operator $\hat{U}(\phi_1)$, which induces a spinor rotation from $|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$, we denoted $\hat{U}(\phi_1)|\uparrow\rangle = e^{i\phi_1}|\downarrow\rangle$. The rotation axis encloses an angle $\phi_1$ with the $\hat{x}$-direction, in the
rotating frame, and is determined by the oscillating magnetic field $B_{\text{rf}}^{(1)} = B_{\text{rf}}^{(0)} \cos(\omega t + \phi_I) \cdot \hat{\gamma}$.
Formally one can insert a unity operator, given by $\mathbb{I} = \hat{U}^\dagger(\phi_0) \hat{U}(\phi_0)$, yielding
\[
\hat{U}(\phi_1) |\uparrow\rangle = \hat{U}(\phi_2) \hat{U}^\dagger(\phi_0) \hat{U}(\phi_0) |\uparrow\rangle = e^{i \gamma} |\downarrow\rangle,
\]
where $\hat{U}(\phi_0)$ can be interpreted as a rotation from $|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$, with the $\hat{x}$-direction being the rotation axis ($\phi_0 = 0$), and $\hat{U}^\dagger(\phi_0)$ describes a rotation about the same axis back to the initial state $|\uparrow\rangle$. Consequently, $\hat{U}(\phi_2) \hat{U}^\dagger(\phi_0)$ can be identified to induce the geometric phase $\gamma$, along the reversed evolution path characterized by $\phi_0$ ($|\downarrow\rangle$ to $|\uparrow\rangle$), followed by another path determined by $\phi_1$ ($|\uparrow\rangle$ to $|\downarrow\rangle$), see figure 4(b). In the rotating frame of [48] the two semi-great circles enclose an angle $\phi_I$ and the solid angle $\Omega_1 = -2 \phi_I$, yielding a pure geometric phase
\[
\gamma = -\Omega/2 = \phi_I,
\]
which is depicted in figure 4(b). The entangled state, as described in [23], is represented by
\[
|\Psi_{\text{Exp}}(\gamma)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\text{I}\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |\text{II}\rangle \otimes e^{i \gamma} |\downarrow\rangle),
\]
including the geometric phase $\gamma = \phi_I$.

3.1. Experimental setup

As in a previous experiment [47], the spin in path $|\text{II}\rangle$ is flipped by an rf-flipper, which requires two magnetic fields: a static field $B_0 \cdot \hat{z}$ and a perpendicular oscillating field $B_{\text{rf}}^{(1)} = B_{\text{rf}}^{(0)} \cos(\omega t + \phi_1) \cdot \hat{\gamma}$ satisfying the amplitude and frequency resonance condition
\[
B_{\text{rf}}^{(0)} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{\tau |\mu|} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \frac{2 |\mu| B_0}{\hbar} \left( 1 + \frac{B_0^2}{16 B_0^2} \right),
\]
where $\mu$ is the magnetic moment of the neutron and $\tau$ denotes the time the neutron is exposed to the rf-field. The second term in $\omega$ is due to the Bloch–Siegert shift [49]. The oscillating field is produced by a water-cooled rf-coil with a length of 2 cm, operating at a frequency of $\omega/2\pi = 58$ kHz. The static field is provided by a uniform magnetic guide field $B_0^{(0)} \sim 2$ mT, produced by a pair of water-cooled Helmholtz coils.
In this section the influence of the geometric phase on a Bell measurement is discussed. Following the notation given
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Figure 5. (a) Typical interference patterns of the O-beam, when rotating the phase shifter plate (χ). (b) A phase shift occurs by varying φ₁ determining the geometric phase γ. The sign of the geometric phase γ⁺ depends on the chosen initial polarization.

The O-beam passes the second rf-flipper, operating at \( \omega/2\pi = 29 \text{ kHz} \), which is half the frequency of the first rf-flipper. The oscillating field is denoted as \( B_{\text{rf}}^{(\omega/2)} \cos((\omega/2)t + \phi_1) \cdot \hat{y} \), and the strength of the guide field was tuned to \( B_0^{(\omega/2)} \sim 1 \text{ mT} \) in order to satisfy the frequency resonance condition. This flipper compensates the energy difference between the components from the two interfering paths, by absorption and emission of photons of the energy \( E = h\omega/2 \). By choosing a frequency of \( \omega/2 \) for the second rf-flipper, the time dependence of the state vector is eliminated since both components acquire a phase \( e^{\pm i\omega/2(t+T)} \), depending on the spin orientation. Only a constant phase offset of \( e^{iT} \), where \( T \) is the propagation time between the centres of the first and second flipper coils, remains in the stationary state vector. This phase contribution, together with a dynamical phase contribution, resulting from Larmor precession within the guide field regions \( B_0^{(\omega)} \) and \( B_0^{(\omega/2)} \) (pointing in +\( \hat{z} \)-direction), is omitted here because they remain constant during the entire experiment. Finally, the spin is rotated by an angle \( \delta = \pi/2 \) (in the \( \hat{x}, \hat{z} \) plane) with a static field spin turner, and analysed due to the spin-dependent reflection within a Co-Ti multi-layer supermirror along the \( \hat{z} \)-direction. Intensity oscillations in the forward direction (O-beam) are plotted in figure 5(a).

In a non-dispersive arrangement of the monochromator and the interferometer crystal the angular separation can be used such that only the spin-up (or spin-down) component fulfills the Bragg condition at the first interferometer plate (beam splitter). Therefore it is possible to invert the initial polarization simply by rotating the interferometer by a few seconds of arc, which is expected to lead to an inversion of the geometric phase. Figure 5(b) shows a plot of the geometric phase \( \Delta \gamma^\pm \) versus \( \phi_1 \), with \( \phi_1 = 0 \). As expected, the slope \( s \) is positive for initial spin-up orientation \( (s = 1.007(8)) \), and negative for the spin-down case \( (s = -0.997(5)) \), as predicted in equation (11).

4. Geometric phase effects on a spin-path entangled system

In this section the influence of the geometric phase on a Bell measurement is discussed. Following the notation given
in [23], the neutron’s wavefunction is defined via the tensor product of two Hilbert spaces: one
Hilbert space is spanned by two possible paths in the interferometer given by $|I\rangle$ and $|II\rangle$, and
the other one by spin-up and spin-down eigenstates, denoted as $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$, with respect to a
quantization axis along a static magnetic field. For this experiment we focus on the neutron
part of equation (9) and omit all phases but the geometric phase $\gamma$:

$$|\Psi_N(\gamma)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|I\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle + |II\rangle \otimes e^{i\gamma}|\downarrow\rangle).$$ (14)

As in common Bell experiments, a joint measurement for spin and path is performed, thereby
applying the projection operators for the path

$$\hat{P}^\beta(\alpha) = |\pm\alpha\rangle \langle \pm\alpha|,$$ (15)

with

$$|+\alpha\rangle = \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} |I\rangle + e^{i\alpha}\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} |II\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\mp\alpha\rangle = -\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} |I\rangle + e^{i\alpha}\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} |II\rangle,$$ (16)

where $\alpha_1$ denotes the polar angle and $\alpha_2$ the azimuthal angle for the path. This is done in
analogous manner for the spin subspace with $\beta_1$ as the polar angle and $\beta_2$ as the azimuthal
angle for the spin. Introducing the observables

$$\hat{A}^\alpha(\alpha) = \hat{P}^\alpha(\alpha) - \hat{P}^{\mp\alpha}(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B}^\beta(\beta) = \hat{P}^\beta(\beta) - \hat{P}^{\mp\beta}(\beta)$$ (17)

one can define an expectation value for a joint measurement of spin and path along the
directions $\alpha$ and $\beta$:

$$E(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \Psi| \hat{A}^\alpha(\alpha) \otimes \hat{B}^\beta(\beta)|\Psi\rangle = -\cos \alpha_1 \cos \beta_1 - \cos(\alpha_2 - \beta_2 + \gamma) \sin \alpha_1 \sin \beta_1$$

$$= -\cos(\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \text{ for } (\alpha_2 - \beta_2) = -\gamma.$$ (18)

Next, a Bell-like inequality in CHSH-formalism [51] is introduced, consisting of four
expectation values with the associated directions $\alpha$, $\alpha'$ and $\beta$, $\beta'$ for joint measurements of
spin and path, respectively

$$S(\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma) = |E(\alpha, \beta) - E(\alpha, \beta') + E(\alpha', \beta) + E(\alpha', \beta')|.$$ (19)

Without loss of generality one angle can be eliminated by setting, e.g., $\alpha = 0 (\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0)$,
which gives

$$S(\alpha', \beta, \beta', \gamma) = | -\sin \alpha_1'(\cos(\alpha_1' - \beta_2 - \gamma) \sin \beta_1 + \cos(\alpha_2' - \beta_2 - \gamma) \sin \beta_1')$$

$$- \cos \alpha_1'(\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_1') - \cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_1'|.$$ (20)

The boundary of equation (19) is given by the value 2 for any noncontextual hidden-variable
theories [53]. Keeping the polar angles $\alpha_1'$, $\beta_1$ and $\beta_1'$ constant at the usual Bell angles $\alpha_1' = \frac{\pi}{4}$,
$\beta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\beta_1' = \frac{3\pi}{4}$ (and azimuthal parts fixed at $\alpha_1' = \beta_2 = \beta_2' = 0$) reduces $S$ to

$$S(\gamma) = | -\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}\cos\gamma|,$$ (21)

where the familiar maximum value of $2\sqrt{2}$ is reached for $\gamma = 0$. For $\gamma = \pi$ the value of $S$
approaches zero.

4.1. Polar angle adjustment

Here we consider the case when the azimuthal angles are kept constant, e.g. $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = \beta_2' = 0$
($\alpha_2 = 0$), which is depicted in figure 6. The $S$-function reads

$$S(\alpha_1', \beta_1, \beta_1', \gamma) = | -\sin \alpha_1'(\cos \gamma \sin \beta_1 + \cos \gamma \sin \beta_1')$$

$$- \cos \alpha_1'(\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_1') - \cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_1'|.$$ (22)
The polar Bell angles $\beta_1$, $\beta'_1$ and $\alpha'_1$ ($\alpha_1 = 0$), yielding a maximum $S$-value, can be determined, with respect to the geometric phase $\gamma$, by calculating the partial derivatives (the extremum condition) of $S$ in equation (22) (see [23] for more elaborated deduction). The solutions are given by

$$\beta_1 = \arctan(\cos \gamma), \quad \beta'_1 = \pi - \beta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_1 = \frac{\pi}{2},$$

(23)

which are plotted in figure 7(b) (denoted as theoretical predictions). With these angles the maximal $S$ decreases from $S = 2\sqrt{2}$ for $\gamma : 0 \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ and touches at $\gamma = \frac{\pi}{2}$ even the limit of the CHSH inequality $S = 2$. Within the interval $\gamma : \frac{\pi}{2} \to \pi$ the value of $S$ increases again and returns to the familiar value $S = 2\sqrt{2}$ at $\gamma = \pi$.

Experimentally, the probabilities of joint (projective) measurements are proportional to the following count rates $N_{ij}$ with $(i, j = +, -)$, detected after path $\alpha$ and spin $\beta$ manipulation:

$$E(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{N_{++}(\alpha, \beta) - N_{+-}(\alpha, \beta) - N_{-+}(\alpha, \beta) + N_{--}(\alpha, \beta)}{N_{++}(\alpha, \beta) + N_{+-}(\alpha, \beta) + N_{-+}(\alpha, \beta) + N_{--}(\alpha, \beta)},$$

(24)

with for example

$$N_{++}(\alpha, \beta) = N_{++}(\alpha, (\beta_1, 0)) \propto \langle \Psi_N(\gamma) | \hat{P}_P^{\alpha}(\alpha) \otimes \hat{P}_S^{\beta}(\beta_1, 0) | \Psi_N(\gamma) \rangle.$$  

(25)

In the case of $N_{--}(\alpha, \beta)$ the count rate is given by $N_{++}(\alpha, (\beta_1, 0))$, where $\beta'_1 = \beta_1 + \pi$. The procedure is applied to the count rates $N_{++}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $N_{--}(\alpha, \beta)$. With these expectation values $S$ can be calculated as defined in equation (19).
Projective measurements are performed on parallel planes defined by \( \alpha_2 = \alpha'_2 = \beta_2 = \beta'_2 = 0 \). For the path measurement the directions are given by \( \alpha : \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0 \), and \( \alpha' : \alpha'_1 = \pi/2, \alpha'_2 = 0 \).

The angle \( \alpha \), which corresponds to +\( z \) (and −\( z \) for \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_1 + \pi = \pi, \alpha_2 = 0 \)) is achieved by the use of a beam block which is inserted to the stop beam II (I) in order to measure along +\( z \) (and −\( z \)). The corresponding operators are given by

\[
\hat{P}_c^\beta(\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 0) = |I\rangle|I\rangle
\]

\[
\hat{P}_c^\beta(\alpha'_1 = \pi, \alpha'_2 = 0) = |II\rangle|II\rangle.
\]

The angle \( \alpha' \) is set by a superposition of equal portions of \( |I\rangle \) and \( |II\rangle \), represented on the equator of the Bloch sphere. The interferograms are achieved by a rotation of the phase shifter plate, associated with a variation of the path phase \( \chi \). All path scans are repeated at different values of the spin analysis direction \( \delta \) in order to determine \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta'_1 \) for a maximal violation of the Bell-like CHSH inequality. The projective measurement for \( \alpha_1 = \pi/2, \alpha_2 = 0 \) corresponds to a phase shifter position of \( \chi = 0 \) (and \( \alpha'_1 = \alpha'_1 + \pi = 3\pi/2, \alpha'_2 = 0 \) to \( \chi = \pi \)).

Projection operators read

\[
\hat{P}_c^\beta(\alpha'_1 = \pi/2, \alpha'_2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2}(|I\rangle + |II\rangle)(|I\rangle + |II\rangle)
\]

\[
\hat{P}_c^\beta(\alpha_1 = 3\pi/2, \alpha_2 = 0) = \frac{1}{2}(|I\rangle - |II\rangle)(|I\rangle - |II\rangle).
\]

Using the measurement curves from equation (26) and equation (27), the \( S \)-value is calculated according to equation (19) as a function of the parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta'_1 \), which are varied independently. The local maximum of \( S(\beta_1', \beta_1) \) is determined numerically and plotted in figure 7(a), with the corresponding values for \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta'_1 \) in figure 7(b).

### 4.2. Azimuthal angle adjustment

Next we discuss the situation where the standard maximal value \( S = 2\sqrt{2} \) can be achieved by keeping the polar angles \( \alpha'_1, \beta_1 \) and \( \beta'_1 \) constant at the Bell angles \( \alpha'_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \beta_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \beta'_1 = \frac{3\pi}{4} \), \( \alpha_1 = 0 \), while the azimuthal parts, \( \alpha'_2, \beta_2 \) and \( \beta'_2 \) \( \alpha_2 = 0 \), are varied. A Bloch-sphere description of this configuration can be seen in figure 8. The corresponding \( S \) function is denoted as

\[
S(\alpha'_2, \beta_2, \beta'_2, \gamma) = \left| -\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} (\cos(\alpha'_2 - \beta_2 - \gamma) + \cos(\alpha'_2 - \beta'_2 - \gamma)) \right|.
\]

The maximum value \( 2\sqrt{2} \) is reached for

\[
\beta_2 = \beta'_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha'_2 - \beta'_2 = \gamma \pmod{\pi}.
\]

For convenience \( \beta_2 = 0 \) is chosen.

Experimentally the angle between the measurement planes is adjusted by one azimuthal angle \( \alpha'_2 \), which is deduced by phase shifter \( (\chi) \) scans.

For the spin measurement the directions are fixed and given by \( \beta : \beta_1 = \pi/4, \beta_2 = 0 \) and \( \beta' : \beta'_1 = 3\pi/4, \beta'_2 = 0 \) (together with \( \beta'^{-1}_1 = -3\pi/4, \beta'^{-1}_2 = -\pi/4 \)). For the projective path measurement the fixed directions read \( \alpha_1 = 0 \) \( (\alpha'^{-1}_1 = \pi) \), for measurements with beam block, and \( \alpha'_1 = \pi/2 \) \( (\alpha'^{-1}_1 = 3\pi/2) \). Phase shifter \( (\chi) \) scans are performed in order to determine \( \alpha'_2 \) for a maximal violation of the Bell-like CHSH inequality yielding \( S = 2\sqrt{2} \).
Figure 8. Bloch-sphere description includes the measurement settings of $\alpha$ and $\beta(\delta)$, determining the projection operators, used for joint measurement of spin and path.

Figure 9. (a) Azimuthal-adjusted $S$-values versus geometric phase $\gamma$ with balanced Bell angle ($\alpha'_2$) according to the geometric phase $\gamma$, and without corrections. (b) The corresponding modified Bell angle is plotted versus the geometric phase $\gamma$.

As predicted by equation (30) the constant maximal $S$ value of $2\sqrt{2}$ (see figure 9(a)) is found for $\alpha'_2 = \gamma$, which is plotted in figure 9(b). In figure 9(a), the case is included where no corrections are applied to the Bell angles. According to equation (21) the familiar maximum value of $2\sqrt{2}$ is reached only for $\gamma = 0$, and at $\gamma = \pi$ the value of $S = 0$ is found.

This experiment demonstrates, in particular, that a geometric phase in one subspace does not lead to a loss of entanglement. Two schemes, polar and azimuthal adjustment of the Bell angles, are realized, balancing the influence of the geometric phase. The former scheme yields a sinusoidal oscillation of the correlation function $S$ such that it varies in the range between 2 and $2\sqrt{2}$ and, therefore, always exceeds the boundary value 2 between quantum mechanical and noncontextual hidden-variable theories. The latter scheme results in a constant, maximal violation of the Bell-like CHSH inequality, where $S$ remains $2\sqrt{2}$ independent of the value of the geometric phase $\gamma$.

5. Concluding remarks

Neutron optical experiments are used for studying characteristics of phases of geometric origin. First, non-additivity of the mixed state phase has been observed in a polarimetric experiment. Since the purity of quantum states in real experiments is always smaller than 1, non-additivity is of importance in all applications of quantum phases. Thinking about phase gates, it means that the purity of the utilized quantum system has to be considered when
inducing phases for further processing. Second, a technique for geometric phase generation has been established by means of a precise spin manipulation due to interaction with rf-fields, in an interferometric setup. Applying the formalism of the Jaynes–Cumming Hamiltonian to the patterns in the observed outgoing beam of the interferometer, we find good agreement between experiment and theory. This technique is also applied to phase manipulations of the spin subspace in a triple-entanglement experiment with neutrons, which will be the topic of a forthcoming publication. Finally, the effect of the geometric phase on the entanglement of the system has been analysed in detail using a Bell-like CHSH inequality. It is demonstrated, how the effects of the geometric phase on the outcome of a Bell measurement can be balanced by an appropriate change of Bell angles. Neutrons have proved to be a suitable quantum system for studying topological effects. Interferometric as well as polarimetric techniques will lead to further investigations, relevant for possible applications of the geometric phase. For instance, we are planning a polarimetric experiment, in which the geometric phase for non-unitary evolutions is considered.
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