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Artefact reduction for cell migration visualization using spectral domain optical coherence tomography
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Visualization of cell migration during chemotaxis using spectral domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) requires non-standard processing techniques. Stripe artefacts and camera noise floor present in OCT data prevent detailed computer-assisted reconstruction and quantification of cell locomotion. Furthermore, imaging artefacts lead to unreliable results in automated texture based cell analysis.

Here we characterize three pronounced artefacts that become visible when imaging sample structures with high dynamic range, e.g. cultured cells: (i) time-varying fixed-pattern noise; (ii) stripe artefacts generated by background estimation using tomogram averaging; (iii) image modulations due to spectral shaping. We evaluate techniques to minimize the above mentioned artefacts using an 800 nm optical coherence microscope. Effect of artefact reduction is shown exemplarily on two cell cultures, i.e. Dictyostelium on nitrocellulose substrate, and retinal ganglion cells (RGC-5) cultured on a glass coverslip. Retinal imaging also profits from the proposed processing techniques.

1. Introduction

Biology-motivated biosciences necessitate cell imaging in 3D and 4D (3D cell observation over time). Substrates involved are often opaque which causes difficulties for conventional cellular imaging modalities such as differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Therefore optical coherence tomography (OCT) has been proposed to be used for time lapse cell imaging in 3D scaffolds [1–5]. Difficulties arise since OCT signals from cell samples exhibit high dynamic range. This can cause problems when using standard signal processing routines for image formation.

Recent advances in resolution and speed have enabled use of OCT for cell imaging. Small volumes
have been demonstrated with time domain (TD) systems at resolutions of $<1$ μm [6, 7]. Optical coherence microscopy (OCM) using en face TD detection offers the benefit of coherence gating [1, 8]. Acquisition speed and sensitivity was increased by using spectral domain (SD) OCT [9] and with numerical techniques transversal resolution could be retained outside focus region [10, 11]. One of the limits of 3D deconvolution is that it tends to reduce the sensitivity by the introduction of noise [11] and because of the required high phase stability it could not be applied to in vivo imaging [10]. SD-OCT has been applied to cellular imaging [2–5] and also offers the benefit of increased phase stability [12–15].

Swept source (SS) OCT benefits from dual balanced detection and does not necessarily require signal processing for background elimination (autocorrelation of source spectrum and fixed-pattern noise). SD-OCT in the 800 nm region currently outperforms SS-OCT in terms of resolution due to the availability of broadband lasers. Also SD-OCT now achieves ultra high-speed, comparable to the fastest available SS-OCT systems due to advances in camera technology [16–18]. However, SD-OCT requires a significant amount of signal processing for background handling and resampling.

Background handling for SD-OCT was previously proposed and successfully applied for retinal imaging. An independent measurement by blocking light in the sample arm was proposed [9] but does not account for source fluctuations during acquisition of 3D datasets or complicates measurement procedure when a shutter needs to be included. In fact, we investigated measuring the background light intensity independently, but found it not reliable. The results were strongly depending on the current light source stability. In time-lapse measurements over longer time periods (2 hours), a 3D volume was measured every 2 minutes [5]. Manually blocking in order to measure the background is impractical in this case. For this reason, we used the following approach: The background signal is estimated directly from the tomogram data [19]. By using the mean of the spectral B-scan data as estimate for source spectrum and fixed-pattern noise, background elimination by subtraction can be effectively achieved. This procedure assumes that heterogeneous sample structures will average out due to random phase contributions.

We have found that for OCT signals with high dynamic range the averaged background signal features a significant bias which can cause distortions in tomograms [20]. We verified experimentally that the reason for this bias is a skewed probability distribution of the detected signal, i.e. the phase distribution in the A-lines is not random. The averaged spectrum still contains structural information, originating from the strong scatterers. This is the motivation for proposing a novel background elimination procedure that uses median-based background signal estimation that better accounts for the sample statistics. We also show that retinal imaging can benefit from this scheme.

Furthermore we demonstrate reduction of camera noise floor by spread-domain filtering. In tomograms this noise might be negligible and not very obvious because of masking by sample structure. However, the proposed techniques are necessitated to achieve more robust automated image evaluation. Quantification of cell locomotion and pattern analysis partially failed otherwise. Results were strongly dependent on the actual position of the individual cells. In addition we adapt spectral shaping in order to prevent modulation artefacts.

2. Theoretical background

With spectral domain OCT the signal $S(\omega, x)$ detected by the spectrometer depending on optical frequency $\omega$ and transversal position $x$ can be expressed as [9, 21]

$$S(\omega, x) = \tilde{S}(\omega, x) + \tilde{S}(\omega, x) + N(\omega, x) + W(\omega) + \tilde{W}(\omega, x) \tag{1}$$

where $\tilde{S}(\omega, x)$ is the interference spectrum required for tomogram generation, $\tilde{S}(\omega, x)$ represents interferometric autocorrelation terms, $N(\omega, x)$ is stochastic measurement noise (e.g. thermal and shot noise), $W(\omega)$ is fixed-pattern noise of the camera, and $\tilde{W}(\omega, x)$ is time-varying fixed-pattern (TVFP) noise. $W(\omega)$ and $\tilde{W}(\omega, x)$ can easily be suppressed by filtering since both terms are deterministic. The constant term $\tilde{W}(\omega, x)$ can be predetermined and subtracted from $\tilde{S}(\omega, x)$; alternatively, it can be included when estimating and subtracting the autocorrelation signal $\tilde{S}(\omega, x)$. The camera specific time-varying term $\tilde{W}(\omega, x)$ can be suppressed by applying a time/spread-frequency filter (spread domain filter) that can be predetermined for each individual choice of transversal scan number $N_t$ and acquisition speed.

Considering a simple model with a sample comprised of several reflective sites (with index $k$, not to be confused with the wavenumber); with $I_x^{(k)}(\omega, x)$ and $\tau_x(x)$ respectively denoting the frequency-dependent intensities and position-dependent optical delays, the interference signal in (1) can be written as

$$\tilde{S}(\omega, x) = 2 \Re \left\{ \sum_k \sqrt{I_x^{(k)}(\omega, x) I_x^{(k)}(\omega, x) e^{i(\omega \tau_x(x) + \phi(\omega))}} \right\} \tag{2}$$

1 More precisely, position-varying.
Here, $q(t)$ is the dispersive phase and $I_{r}(\omega, x) = |E_{r}(\omega, x)|^2$ is the reference arm intensity that depends on acquisition time (related to $x$ via camera line rate). For the autocorrelation terms we likewise obtain

\[
S(\omega, x) = |E_{r}(\omega, x)|^2 + |E_{s}(\omega, x)|^2 = I_{r}(\omega, x) + \sum_{k} \sum_{m} \sqrt{I_{r}^{(k)}(\omega, x) I_{s}^{(m)}(\omega, x)} e^{j[\omega t(x) - \tau_{n}(x)]}.
\]  

(3)

When the sample arm intensities are small, $|E_{s}(\omega, x)|^2$ may be neglected and $S(\omega, x)$ can be well approximated by the time-averaged electric field $|E_{r}(\omega, x)|^2$ returning from the reference arm, i.e., $S(\omega, x) \approx I_{r}(\omega, x)$ does not depend on the specific sample structure. The time dependence of $S(\omega, x)$ due to source fluctuations may also be neglected, when the acquisition time for a tomogram is short (e.g., the experimental setup described below requires 26 ms to capture $N_{t} = 512$ depth scans). Although it is possible to directly measure $I_{g}(\omega)$ and $W(\omega)$ by blocking the sample arm before B-scan acquisition, a typical approach based on the above assumptions is to simply average the data from all depth scans within a tomogram, i.e., $\bar{S}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{t}} \sum_{t} S(\omega, x)_{t}$ given that $\overline{|S(\omega, x)|} \approx 0$. However, practical samples do not have a fully heterogeneous structure and $S(\omega, x)$ will not be zero mean, resulting in background artefacts that become visible in the final tomograms.

3. Methods

A fibre based spectral domain OCT system adapted for high bandwidth throughput of 130 nm at 800 nm was used for acquisition of experimental data [5]. A scanning microscope was employed as sample probe with the objective having 10 mm working distance, a numerical aperture of ~0.1 and power on sample with 2 mW. Sensitivity close to zero delay position was 94 dB, signal loss at 1000 μm was about ~8 dB, and resolution quantified as $2.9 \pm 0.05$ μm in air. Signal loss at maximum depth range of 1370 μm was 17 dB.

A block diagram of the various signal processing tasks involved in spectral domain OCT tomogram generation is shown in Figure 1. Please note, that we are interested in suppression of two components:

1. time varying camera noise, i.e. TVFP,
2. and artefacts due to wrong background estimation.

TVFP reduction needs to be processed before resampling, because otherwise the TVFP noise would spread out in spatial domain after Fourier transform. On the other hand, background estimation and correction has to be computed after resampling, because the artefacts originate from actual sample structure.

Current implementations typically have fixed-pattern noise reduction and background correction combined to a single processing step (cf. [19, 22]) which is followed by spectral resampling. With the proposed procedure, suppression of TVFP noise (i.e. the camera specific variation of noise between sequential A-lines) becomes an independent first processing step.

Background correction and resampling are shown as a combined processing task. Contrary to current approaches, where resampling happens after background subtraction, our novel median-based background estimation operates on the resampled data to achieve best results.

The resulting interference spectrum is passed to the final correction block. Here, spectral shaping adjusts the envelope of the interference spectrum to minimize sidelobes of signal peaks; furthermore, dispersion compensation changes the phase of the interference spectrum to maximize tomogram resolution. The corrected interference spectrum is transformed to the spatial domain via inverse FFT and the final tomograms are obtained by viewing signal magnitude on a logarithmic scale.

**TVFP noise reduction** The proposed TVFP noise reduction scheme is depicted in Figure 2. The schematic in Figure 2(a) shows connections of different representations of the data via Fourier transform. With blocked light source, the output signal of the spectrometer only contains fixed-pattern noise terms when neglecting stochastic noise $N(\omega, x)$, i.e., $S(\omega, x) = W(\omega) + W(\omega, x)$. The tomograms shown in Figure 2 have $N_{t} = 1024$ depth pixels, $N_{x} = 512$ A-lines, and amplitudes shown in dB using a gray scale representing a dynamic range of 35 dB.

Conventional processing determines the fixed-pattern noise by averaging all depth scans, i.e., $\bar{W}(\omega) = \frac{1}{N_{t}} \sum_{t} W(\omega, x)$, $\bar{S}(\omega, x) = 0$; $\bar{S}(\omega, x) = 0$, because the light source is blocked), and computing the TVFP noise from the raw data as $\hat{W}(\omega, x) = S(\omega, x) - \bar{S}(\omega, x)$. After spectral resampling of the data
from pixel-dependent optical frequency \( \omega = \omega_p \) to uniformly spaced frequencies \( \omega_u \) [21], the TVFP tomogram \( T_{TVFP}(\tau, x) = F_{x \rightarrow \omega}^{-1}(W(\omega, x)) \) is obtained via a Fourier transformation (see Figure 2(b)). Since the camera background noise is not spatially uniform, disturbing horizontal bands with larger average intensity become visible. Often, camera-specific TVFP will be covered by shot noise of typical tomograms (see the discussion below).

Figure 2(d) shows the TVFP tomogram \( \tilde{T}_{TVFP}(\tau, x) \) obtained by omitting the spectral resampling. Here, TVFP noise is spatially concentrated on a few horizontal lines, demonstrating that the depth-broadening of TVFP noise in Figure 2(b) is caused by the resampling. Figure 2(e) shows the depth-spread representation \( V_{TVFP}(\tau, v) = F_{x \rightarrow v}(\tilde{T}_{TVFP}(\tau, x)) \) that is obtained by applying a transversal Fourier transform to the TVFP tomogram \( T_{TVFP}(\tau, x) \). Here, the horizontal TVFP noise tomogram lines manifest themselves as strong peaks (indicated by red arrows). To reduce TVFP noise, we designed a binary filter mask (cf. Figure 2(f)) as

\[
U(\tau, v) = \begin{cases} 
0 & |V_{TVFP}(\tau, v)| > \gamma V_{0.5} \\
1 & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( V_{0.5} \) denotes the median of \( |V_{TVFP}(\tau, v)| \) and the thresholding factor was empirically chosen as \( \gamma = 5 \) (with this choice, 0.4% of the depth-spread-domain pixels are suppressed). The depth-spread domain filter for TVFP reduction may be describes as binary notch filter and involves the following steps:

- TVFP noise suppression using the filter mask:
  \[
  \tilde{V}(\tau, v) = V(\tau, v) U(\tau, v)
  \]

- transformation of the filter output to the spectral domain:
  \[
  \tilde{S}(\omega, x) = F_{\tau \rightarrow \omega} \{ F_{v \rightarrow \omega}^{-1}(\tilde{V}(\tau, v)) \} \approx S(\omega, x) - W(\omega, x)
  \]

All operations in (4)–(7) are performed before resampling, resulting in minimal distortion of tomogram data through the sparse filter mask. The resulting tomogram after resampling and subtraction of \( W(\omega) \) is shown in Figure 2(c). Compared with Figure 2(b) the noise floor is substantially reduced.

**Background elimination** As described in Section 2, with standard processing the background signal \( S(\omega) + W(\omega) \) is estimated by transversally averaging the raw data [19], i.e.,

\[
B_{\text{mean}}(\omega) = \langle S(\omega, x) \rangle_x
\]

and afterwards subtracted (cf. Figure 3(a)) according to

\[
\tilde{S}(\omega, x) = S(\omega, x) - B_{\text{mean}}(\omega) f(x)
\]

where the coefficients

\[
f(x) = \frac{\langle B_{\text{mean}}(\omega) S(\omega, x) \rangle_x}{\langle B_{\text{mean}}(\omega) \rangle_\omega}
\]

account for energy fluctuations of the background signal between successive tomogram lines. These fluctuations are caused by timing issues within the CCD-electronics of the camera and by laser instabilities [21]. Background subtraction is then followed by spectral resampling from \( \omega = \omega_p \) to \( \omega_u \).

The block diagram of the proposed background elimination scheme is depicted in Figure 3(b).

---

[2] Here, \( v \) denotes spread frequency [23].
Contrary to the previously described background subtraction, here, the raw data is first resampled which amounts to obtaining a sharp time-domain representation of the sample structures in the tomogram $T(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}_{w \rightarrow t}\{S(w, x)\}$. A complex-valued background signal is then estimated in the time domain using transversal median values and transformed back to the frequency domain:

$$B_{\text{median}}(\omega) = \mathcal{F}_{t \rightarrow \omega}\{\text{median}_t\{\Re\{T(r, x)\}\}\} + j \text{median}_t\{\Im\{T(r, x)\}\}$$ (11)

The background signal is then subtracted in the frequency domain according to (9), (10) with $B_{\text{mean}}(\omega)$ replaced with $B_{\text{median}}(\omega)$ (subtraction directly on complex tomogram data is equivalently possible). While the averaging in (8) can equivalently be performed in the time-domain, computing the (complex) median in the spectral domain is not equivalent to (11) since the median is a non-linear operation that does not commute with the Fourier transform.

To illustrate the procedure and describe the difference to standard background estimation, a black nitrocellulose filter was measured. The tomogram of resampled raw data consisting of 1024 depth pixels and 512 transversal pixels is shown in Figure 3(d); horizontal lines are due to the background signal. The slightly tilted surface of the filter is highly scattering. Mean background subtraction introduces horizontal line artefacts above the filter surface and strongly disturbs parts of the tomogram, cf. Figure 3(e). Figure 3(c) shows a scatter-plot of the complex tomogram data at the depth indicated by the orange arrow in Figure 3(e). The high intensity signal parts corresponding to the filter surface cause the distribution of the real and imaginary part of $T(r, x)$ to be strongly skewed, resulting in a significant bias when estimating the background signal via (8). Viewing the desired strong signal components as outliers with regard to the background distribution suggests the use of more robust nonlinear estimators. Specifically, median-based background estimation according to (11) after resampling of the data is...
insensitive to strong signal components and provides a robust estimate of the background signal. This is illustrated in the zoomed portion in Figure 3(c): the median (green circle) better represents the centroid of the actual background whereas the mean (red triangle) features a significant bias. Using the median-based background estimate (11) for background subtraction results in an improved tomogram that is free of horizontal line artefacts, see Figure 3(f). We verified for different samples that simply replacing mean by median in (8) results in poorer background artefact suppression than with the proposed procedure. This can be attributed to the fact that high-intensity peaks are better localized after resampling and can then be better suppressed by the median-based background estimator. We note that TVFP noise suppression was not used for the tomograms in Figure 3. TVFP noise is visible in the slightly increased noise floor above the filter surface in Figure 3(f); this will be further verified in Figure 4.

Spectral shaping A Gaussian-shaped source spectrum is advantageous in OCT since it results in a narrow axial point-spread function (PSF) with minimal side-lobes. However, the spectrum of available light sources often deviates from Gaussian or other suitable smooth spectral shapes. Source spectral shaping is possible but complicates the optical setup [24, 25]. Therefore, several numerical apodization techniques have been proposed for PSF optimization on data from time-domain OCT [26–30] and frequency-domain OCT [31, 32]. All techniques involve deconvolution with an estimated or measured spectral envelope. Whereas in early approaches the spectrum was determined from measurements of a single reflecting surface [26–28], more recent approaches demonstrate that source fluctuations can be handled with estimation from tomogram data [29, 31, 32]. When reduction of axial measurement range can be accepted, apodization on individual depth scans can be performed even for very unstable light sources; here, spatial domain filtering allows extraction of the source spectrum as demonstrated in [31].

We adapt the shaping procedure proposed for FD-OCT data in [32] which is also suitable for full range imaging and accounts for polarization mismatch and source fluctuations on individual tomograms. According to [32], the interference signal

![Figure 4 Spectral shaping without modulation artefact. (a) Gaussian shape with conventional estimate of spectral envelope, modulation artefacts indicated by red arrows; (b) shaping with Gaussian and Kaiser window; (c) No shaping, blue arrow indicates TVFP noise; (d) resulting estimates of spectral envelopes and shape functions; (e) achieved sidelobe suppression ratio (SSR) at nitrocellulose filter surface.](image-url)
\( \hat{S}(\omega, x) \) in (2) can be rewritten using the spectral envelope \( \hat{S}(\omega) \), the local scattering amplitude \( a_k(x) \) and phase \( \varphi_k(\omega, x) = \omega r_k(x) + \varphi(\omega) \) as

\[
\hat{S}(\omega, x) = \hat{S}(\omega) 2 \sum_k a_k(x) \cos(\varphi_k(\omega, x))
\]

(12)

Assuming that \( \varphi_k(\omega, x) \) is uniformly distributed within \([-\pi, \pi]\), that \( a_k(x) \) is Rayleigh distributed with variance \( a/2 \), and that \( a_k(x) \) and \( \varphi_k(\omega, x) \) are statistically independent, \( \hat{S}(\omega) \) can be estimated from tomogram data as

\[
\hat{S}(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2a} \langle \hat{S}(\omega, x)^2 \rangle_x}
\]

(13)

since we have the ensemble averages \( \langle a_k(x)^2 \rangle = a \) and \( \langle \cos(\varphi_k(\omega, x)) \rangle^2 = 1/2 \). Spectral shaping using a Wiener filter approach amounts to

\[
\hat{S}_{\text{shape}}(\omega, x) = G(\omega) \frac{\hat{S}(\omega)}{\hat{S}(\omega)^2 + \eta} \hat{S}(\omega, x)
\]

(14)

with the apodization window \( G(\omega) \) determining resolution and sidelobe suppression and the parameter \( \eta \) trading off bias versus noise amplification. Eq. (13) cannot be used if the tomogram data exhibits structural correlations e.g., those arising from reflective substrate surfaces such as the nitrocellulose filter shown in Figure 3. Thus, we propose to estimate the spectral envelope by replacing \( \hat{S}(\omega, x) \) in (13) with the analytic interference signal \( \hat{S}_A(\omega, x) = |\hat{S}(\omega, x) + j \mathcal{H}(\hat{S}(\omega, x))|/\sqrt{2} \).

To explain the difference, we consider the spectral fringes from the nitrocellulose filter surface (cf. Figure 3(c)). From (12) the simplified interference signal of the plain surface can be expressed as \( \hat{S}(\omega, x) = a(x) \hat{S}(\omega) 2 \cos(\omega(t_0 + \Delta t)) \), where \( t_0 \) corresponds to the surface position on the left side of the tomogram, and surface tilt is determined by \( \Delta t \). Here, we obtain

\[
\langle |\hat{S}_A(\omega, x)|^2 \rangle_x = 2aS^2(\omega) \langle 1 + \langle \cos(2\omega(t_0 + \Delta t)) \rangle_x \rangle
\]

(15)

Thus, the average contains modulation frequencies \( G(\omega) \) because of the deterministic surface tilt \( \Delta t \). In contrast, despite the structural correlation the average power of the analytic interference signal \( \hat{S}_A(\omega, x) = \sqrt{2a(x)} \hat{S}(\omega) \exp(j\omega(t_0 + \Delta t)) \) reads

\[
\langle |\hat{S}_A(\omega, x)|^2 \rangle_x = 2aS^2(\omega) (e^{0.5j\omega(t_0 + \Delta t)} e^{-0.5j\omega(t_0 + \Delta t)})
\]

(16)

The improvement of the proposed envelope estimation procedure over conventional envelope estimation is illustrated in Figure 4. Using a Gaussian window and the conventional estimate, (13) results in reduced sidelobes (Figure 4(a)), however the modulation terms \( G(\omega) \) impinging on the spectral envelope (blue curve in Figure 4(d)) cause stripe artefacts indicated by red arrows in Figure 4(a). With the “analytic” envelope estimation, modulation terms on the spectral envelope are substantially reduced (red curve in Figure 4(d)) and spectral shaping can be achieved without introducing artefacts as seen by comparing Figure 4(b) (tomograms with shaping) and Figure 4(c) (tomogram without shaping). Average signals from the strongly reflecting nitrocellulose filter surface are depicted in Figure 4(e), which demonstrates the achievable sidelobe suppression ratio (SSR) at the first (strongest) sidelobe of the surface achieved with \( \eta = 0.01 \) (obtained empirically for the current system). Without spectral shaping, an SSR of 15 dB is observed. A Kaiser shaping window improves the SSR by 6 dB without resolution loss. With a Gaussian window, the SSR is increased by 9 dB at the cost of a 0.5 \( \mu \)m resolution loss. The spectral envelopes shaped using the Wiener filter (14) with a Kaiser window (magenta curve) and Gaussian window (green curve) are shown in Figure 4(d). The tomograms have been obtained with TVFP noise reduction; without TVFP noise reduction, an increased noise floor above the filter surface (marked by blue arrow) remains (see the zoom in Figure 4(c)).

## 4. Results and discussion

Table 1 presents background suppression ratio (BSR), SSR and computational time for different processing methods on data from black nitrocellulose filter (1024 depth pixels, 512 A-lines). BSR is calculated as ratio of average surface signal to average background signal within a region of interest (ROI) above the first sidelobe (yellow dotted frame in Figure 3(d)). Processing without background correction serves as a baseline and includes resampling, FFT and dB conversion (cf. Table 1(a), Figure 3(d)). With background subtraction based on blocking the sample light \( [9] \) an increase of BSR by 4.7 dB is achieved (cf. Table 1(b), Figure 5(a)). The median based background reduction technique (cf. Table 1(c)) resulted in the same BSR increase and a nearly identical tomogram (cf. Figure 3(f)) at the cost of a 35% increase in computation time.

Median based background estimation on individual tomograms is more robust than measuring the background before imaging of each 3D dataset since spectrum fluctuations of the employed light source (Integral, Femtolasers, Vienna, Austria) can occur within volume acquisition (cf. Figure 7(d)). Also the employed scanning microscope prevented implementation of a shutter which would have been necessary for rapid automated background measurement in time-lapse imaging \([5]\). Fixed pattern suppression ac-
according to [22] (cf. Table 1(c), Figure 5(b)) and mean background subtraction [19] achieve a BSR increase of about 1 dB but both methods exhibit similar horizontal line artifacts (cf. Figures 3(e) and 5(b) for mean background subtraction) and therefore cannot be used with our samples. The highest BSR increase by 5.9 dB is achieved with additional application of the TVFP reduction scheme (cf. Table 1(f), Figure 5(c)) at the cost of a 63% increase in computation time. If the background spectrum (11) is used for spectral shaping, the SSR is increased by 3 dB/1.5 dB with a Gaussian/Kaiser window. By employing the spectral envelope according to (13) a SSR increase of 9.1 dB/6 dB is achieved with a Gaussian/Kaiser window at the cost of a further 28% increase in computation time as compared to shaping with the background spectrum. Spectral shaping reduces the maximum achievable BSR increase by about 0.5 dB because higher order sidelobes are slightly amplified due to the reduced bandwidth of the shaping window.

The proposed processing steps as described in the previous section have been experimentally evaluated using Dictyostelium discoideum [5] on a white nitrocellulose filter in Figure 6 and RCG-5 cells [33] on glass coverslips in Figure 7. Dynamic range in Figures 6 and 7 is 60 dB. Further results on visualization of cell migration achieved with the here presented artefact reduction techniques can be found in [5].

As described in [5] Dictyostelium is a social unicellular amoeba that forms multicellular structures of 10000 cells when starved of nutrients. Such a multicellular structure forming a mound on the filter surface is depicted in Figure 6. Here TVFP noise was masked by the bright appearance of the scattering filter structures as can be seen from comparing the zoomed regions in Figure 6(a, b), the expected position of TVFP noise is indicated by the blue arrow. Horizontal background artefacts are caused by the filter surface and the bright top of the mound as indicated by the orange arrows in Figure 6(b). A clean image is obtained via median based background estimation (Figure 6(c)). Since polarization was matched well already without spectral shaping a good image quality could be obtained. A small improvement is achieved when using Kaiser window apodization (magenta framed zoom in Figure 6(c)) and the filter surface as well as the mound top appear somewhat sharper due to reduced sidelobes. Positions of verti-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>processing method</th>
<th>BSR [dB]</th>
<th>SSR [dB]</th>
<th>comp. time [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) No correction</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Blocked sample [9]</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Fixed pattern suppression [22]</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Mean background subtraction [19]</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Median background subtraction (11)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) TVFP reduction followed by (c)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(g) (f) and background shaping with Gaussian</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(h) (f) and background shaping with Kaiser</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) (f) and spectral envelope shaping Gaussian</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j) (f) and spectral envelope shaping Kaiser</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cally zoomed regions are indicated by orange lines on left and right tomogram borders. They also indicate the ROI for en face view generation.

The en face image using spectrum energy (Figure 6(d)) provides an image that allows easy judgment of the field of view of the scanning microscope. The laser power was stable during imaging, thus no vertical intensity variations are visible in Figure 6(d). Background artefacts are visible on single and ROI summed en face images indicated by orange arrows in Figures 6(e) and (f) respectively. With median based estimation the background artefacts vanish (Figures 6(g) and (h)).

The camera induced TVFP noise floor causes a bright band on tomograms from RCG-5 cells grown on a microscope slide as can be seen in Figure 7(a). Thereby visualization of cells is disrupted (indicated by blue arrows) which causes problems for texture based cell analysis. With spread-domain filtering TVFP noise can be greatly reduced as depicted in Figure 7(b). A horizontal background artefact on the upper surface is indicated by orange arrows in Figure 7(b), which disappears when using the median based technique (Figure 7(c)). Spectral shaping does not effect the image quality much as can be seen in the zoom-in of Figure 7(c). The noise floor is slightly reduced when using a Kaiser window, since noise from the spectral tails will be suppressed through the apodization.

Due to source power fluctuations the en face view from spectrum energy is disturbed by vertically varying intensity (Figure 7(d)). Median and mean en face views from a single plane or from averaging the ROI are almost identical since there are only a few background artefacts from the upper surface, therefore only the median based images are depicted in Figure 7(e), (f). Whereas in Figure 7(e) with a single parallel plane at a certain depth $t_b$ (just below the blue arrows in Figure 7(a)) only a small portion of the cells on the right side can be visualized, an aver-
A tilted en face view directly below the microscope slide surface was extracted from the time domain 3D data set and does allow inspection of the cells with reduced blur (Figure 7(i)), processing time was about 12 minutes (Intel 2 GHz dual core processor, 8 GB RAM).

Figure 7(g) depicts the single bin discrete Fourier transform (DFT) based scheme [34]. It was adapted for direct calculation of the tilted en face view. For application of the scheme a fast and slow axis tomosgram were extracted first. Segmentation of the microscope slide surface on these two perpendicular cross sections allowed calculation of a depth index map \( t(x,y) \) that defines the tilted surface. By adding a small offset the absolute depth was positioned to provide an en face image through the cells below the surface. The index map was then used to choose an individual DFT basis function for each position \( x \) and \( y \), which was correlated with the specific raw data line and the resulting magnitude value was filled at the corresponding en face view pixel.

The resulting en face view from the tilted plane is shown in Figure 7(h), using mean based background correction (no TVFP noise reduction). Besides an increased noise level (indicated by blue arrows), Figures 7(h) and (i) are comparable.

For \( N_x \times N_y = 1024 \times 512 \) pixels the processing time was reduced to about 47 seconds through direct application of the DFT. Further reduction can be expected by using specialized operations [34] or dedicated processing architectures. For real time view implementation, subsampling might be an option, i.e., when restricting the en face image to \( N_x \times N_y = 256 \times 256 \) pixels and correlating only 768 samples around the center frequency \( (\omega_p \in \omega_{640} \ldots \omega_{1498}) \), which comprise most of the signal energy, processing times readily reduce to \( \sim 1 \) second without the need for dedicated hardware (implementation in Labview, Intel 2 GHz dual core processor, 8 GB RAM).
Also, retinal imaging benefits from the proposed background correction scheme as demonstrated in Figure 8. *In vivo* imaging was performed using two different systems at 800 nm and 1060 nm which have been described previously in [21, 35]. After standard processing with mean based background estimation horizontal line artefacts indicated by orange arrows arise from highly reflecting layers, i.e., inner limiting membrane in Figure 8(a) or retinal pigment epithelium in Figure 8(c). Clean tomograms are obtained after application of the proposed median based background estimation technique as depicted in Figure 8(c), (d).

5. Conclusion

Median based background elimination procedure greatly reduces transversal artefacts prominent in cell imaging using reflective substrates such as agar or nitrocellulose filters. High dynamic range of images also unveiled increased noise floor caused by camera specific TVFP noise that could be greatly reduced through application of a spread domain filter while minimally influencing morphology in final images. In cell-culture substrates with reflective interfaces, modulation artefacts from spectral shaping can be avoided by estimation of the effective spectral envelope from analytic signals. Specifically choosing a Kaiser window for envelope shaping results in high sidelobe suppression while retaining high axial resolution. Retinal imaging also benefits from the new background processing procedure.
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Figure 8 Retinal imaging *in vivo*. (a), (b) macula at 800 nm, (c), (d) optic disc at 1060 nm. (a), (c) Standard mean based background estimation with horizontal line artefacts indicated by orange arrows. (b), (d) Clean tomograms after applying the proposed median based background correction procedure. No additional image processing or noise reduction algorithms have been applied.
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