

Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

10021

Subseries of Lecture Notes in Computer Science

LNAI Series Editors

Randy Goebel

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Yuzuru Tanaka

Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

Wolfgang Wahlster

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany

LNAI Founding Series Editor

Joerg Siekmann

DFKI and Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/1244>

Loizos Michael · Antonis Kakas (Eds.)

Logics in Artificial Intelligence

15th European Conference, JELIA 2016
Larnaca, Cyprus, November 9–11, 2016
Proceedings

Editors

Loizos Michael
Open University of Cyprus
Nicosia
Cyprus

Antonis Kakas
University of Cyprus
Nicosia
Cyprus

ISSN 0302-9743 ISSN 1611-3349 (electronic)
Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence
ISBN 978-3-319-48757-1 ISBN 978-3-319-48758-8 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-48758-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016955503

LNCS Sublibrary: SL7 – Artificial Intelligence

© Springer International Publishing AG 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

These are the proceedings of the 15th European Conference on Logics in Artificial Intelligence (JELIA 2016), held during November 9–11, 2016, in Larnaca, Cyprus, and organized by the University of Cyprus and the Open University of Cyprus.

The European Conference on Logics in Artificial Intelligence (or Journées Européennes sur la Logique en Intelligence Artificielle — JELIA) began back in 1988, as a workshop, in response to the need for a European forum for the discussion of emerging work in this field. Since then, JELIA has been organised biennially, with proceedings published in the Springer series *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*. Previous meetings took place in Roscoff, France (1988), Amsterdam, The Netherlands (1990), Berlin, Germany (1992), York, UK (1994), Évora, Portugal (1996), Dagstuhl, Germany (1998), Málaga, Spain (2000), Cosenza, Italy (2002), Lisbon, Portugal (2004), Liverpool, UK (2006), Dresden, Germany (2008), Helsinki, Finland (2010), Toulouse, France (2012), and Madeira, Portugal (2014).

The aim of JELIA is to bring together active researchers interested in all aspects concerning the use of logics in artificial intelligence (AI) to discuss current research, results, problems, and applications of both theoretical and practical nature. JELIA strives to foster links and facilitate cross-fertilization of ideas among researchers from various disciplines, among researchers from academia and industry, and between theoreticians and practitioners.

The increasing interest in this forum, its international level with growing participation of researchers from outside Europe, and the overall technical quality have turned JELIA into a major biennial forum for the discussion of logic-based approaches to AI.

For the 2016 edition of JELIA, authors were invited to submit papers presenting original and unpublished research in all areas related to the use of logics in AI. To encourage a discussion of the links and synergies between AI and cognitive psychology, this year's edition of JELIA encouraged submissions on logics in AI and cognition, and included invited talks related to this topic.

There were 88 submissions, each reviewed by three Program Committee members. The committee decided to accept 32 full papers for regular presentations or system demonstrations, and ten short papers for spotlight/poster presentations. The accepted papers span a number of areas within logics in AI, including: belief revision, answer set programming, argumentation, probabilistic reasoning, handling inconsistencies, temporal logics and planning, description logics, and decidability and complexity results. The program also included five invited talks by Costas Bekas, Tarek R. Besold, Marc Denecker, Torsten Schaub, and Keith Stenning.

We would like to thank the authors of all the submitted papers and the members of the Program Committee and the additional experts who helped during the reviewing process, for contributing and ensuring the high scientific quality of JELIA 2016.

We would also like to acknowledge the support of the University of Cyprus, the Open University of Cyprus, the Cyprus Tourism Organisation, Austrian Airlines, IBM, Springer, and EasyChair.

September 2016

Loizos Michael
Antonis Kakas

Organization

Conference Chair

Antonis Kakas University of Cyprus, Cyprus

Program Chair

Loizos Michael Open University of Cyprus, Cyprus

Program Committee

Natasha Alechina	University of Nottingham, UK
Jose Julio Alferes	CENTRIA, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Leila Amgoud	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Carlos Areces	National University of Córdoba, Argentina
Franz Baader	Technical University of Dresden, Germany
Peter Baumgartner	NICTA, Australia
Salem Benferhat	CRIL-CNRS, University of Artois, France
Philippe Besnard	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Alexander Bochman	Holon Institute of Technology, Israel
Gerhard Brewka	University of Leipzig, Germany
Jan Broersen	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
Nils Bulling	Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
Pedro Cabalar	University of Coruna, Spain
Walter Carnielli	State University of Campinas, Brazil
Giovanni Casini	University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Mehdi Dastani	Utrecht University, The Netherlands
James Delgrande	Simon Fraser University, Canada
Marc Denecker	Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Didier Dubois	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Barbara Dunin-Keplicz	Warsaw University and Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland
Paul Dunne	University of Liverpool, UK
Wolfgang Faber	University of Huddersfield, UK
Luis Farinas del Cerro	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Eduardo Fermé	University of Madeira, Portugal
Raul Fervari	Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina
Michael Fisher	University of Liverpool, UK
Nina Gierasimczuk	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Laura Giordano	University of Eastern Piemonte, Italy
Valentina Gliozzi	University of Turin, Italy

Lluís Godo	Artificial Intelligence Research Institute, CSIC, Spain
Valentin Goranko	Stockholm University, Sweden
Andreas Herzig	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Tomi Janhunen	Aalto University, Finland
Tommi Junttila	Aalto University, Finland
Gabriele Kern-Isberner	Technical University of Dortmund, Germany
Sébastien Konieczny	CRIL-CNRS, University of Artois, France
Roman Kontchakov	Birkbeck, University of London, UK
Jérôme Lang	LAMSADE-CNRS, University of Paris-Dauphine, France
Joohyung Lee	Arizona State University, USA
Joao Leite	CENTRIA, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Maurizio Lenzerini	University of Rome La Sapienza, Italy
Nicola Leone	University of Calabria, Italy
Vladimir Lifschitz	University of Texas, USA
Emiliano Lorini	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Pierre Marquis	CRIL-CNRS, University of Artois, France
Jérôme Mengin	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
George Metcalfe	University of Bern, Switzerland
Thomas Meyer	University of Cape Town and CAIR, South Africa
Luís Moniz Pereira	CENTRIA, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Angelo Montanari	University of Udine, Italy
Manuel Ojeda-Aciego	University of Malaga, Spain
Magdalena Ortiz	Vienna University of Technology, Austria
Jeff Z. Pan	University of Aberdeen, UK
David Pearce	Technical University of Madrid, Spain
Henri Prade	IRIT-CNRS, University of Toulouse, France
Maurício Reis	University of Madeira, Portugal
Christian Retoré	LIRMM-CNRS, University of Montpellier, France
Jussi Rintanen	Aalto University, Finland
Sebastian Rudolph	Technical University of Dresden, Germany
Vladislav Ryzhikov	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy
Torsten Schaub	University of Potsdam, Germany
Steven Schockaert	Cardiff University, UK
Theresa Swift	NOVALINKS, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Jakub Szymanik	University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands
Paolo Torroni	University of Bologna, Italy
Mirek Truszczynski	University of Kentucky, USA
Leon van der Torre	University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
Ivan Varzinczak	CRIL-CNRS, University of Artois, France
Joost Vennekens	Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium
Rineke Verbrugge	University of Groningen, The Netherlands
Carlos Viegas Damásio	CENTRIA, New University of Lisbon, Portugal
Toby Walsh	NICTA and UNSW, Australia
Mary-Anne Williams	University of Technology Sydney, Australia
Frank Wolter	University of Liverpool, UK
Stefan Woltran	Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Additional Reviewers

Alviano, Mario
Antoniou, Grigoris
Bogaerts, Bart
Cuteri, Bernardo
Dennis, Louise
Diller, Martin
Diéguez, Martín
Dvořák, Wolfgang
Fandinno, Jorge
Fernandez Gil, Oliver
Gonçalves, Ricardo
Hoffmann, Guillaume
Jansen, Joachim
Janssens, Laurent
Lagniez, Jean Marie
Lapauw, Ruben
Linsbichler, Thomas
Madrid, Nicolas
Manna, Marco
Maubert, Bastien

Mora Bonilla, Angel
Motik, Boris
Obermeier, Philipp
Ostrowski, Max
Peppas, Pavlos
Peñaloza, Rafael
Pieris, Andreas
Ricca, Francesco
Schellhorn, Sebastian
Schwind, Nicolas
Szalas, Andrzej
Tabatabaei, Masoud
Thiele, Sven
Valverde, Agustin
van der Hallen, Matthias
Velázquez-Quesada, Fernando R.
Vitacolonna, Nicola
Wheeler, Gregory
Zhuang, Zhiqiang

Abstracts of Invited Talks

Frontiers of Cognitive Computing

Costas Bekas

IBM Research - Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
bek@zurich.ibm.com

Cognitive Computing is the new frontier of the information age. Computers have evolved into indispensable tools of our modern societies, having modernized numerous aspects of our everyday lives. Computers have facilitated the acquisition, storage and access of huge amounts of data since the very first electronic general purpose machines of the 1940s. Since then, we learned how to program computers in order to allow uses that even the wildest imagination of computer pioneers of the 50s and 60s did not capture, such as the internet, social networks and simulations of nature of incredible fidelity. Cognitive computing turns our trusted programmable machines, into cognitive companions. The systems are not programmed to simply achieve a task, but rather they are developed to reason with us in ways that are natural for us. They can debate with us, test our ideas, as these are expressed in natural language, against incredible volumes of data and give us insights that ultimately free us and let us focus on and use our deepest of human capabilities: intuition and intelligence. Cognitive systems mimic the way we humans reason, allowing us to express in unstructured ways, such as speech and vision in order to achieve in a small fraction of the previously required time feats such as pharmaceuticals and materials discovery, attacking cancer, understand complex natural ecosystems as well as man-made ecosystems such as the economy and technology. We will discuss the remarkable progress of cognitive computing and give a glimpse of what the future may look like.

To the Extent that You Are Like a Grape: Symbolic Models of Analogy and Concept Blending in Cognitive AI

Tarek R. Besold

University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
tbesold@uni-bremen.de

Analogy is one of the most studied representatives of a family of non-classical forms of reasoning working across different domains, usually taken to play a crucial role in creative thought and problem-solving. In the first part of the talk, I will shortly introduce general principles of computational analogy models (relying on a generalisation-based approach to analogy-making). We will then have a closer look at Heuristic-Driven Theory Projection (HDTP) as an example for a theoretical framework and implemented system: HDTP computes analogical relations and inferences for domains which are represented using many-sorted first-order logic languages, applying a restricted form of higher-order anti-unification for finding shared structural elements common to both domains. The presentation of the framework will be followed by a few reflections on the “cognitive plausibility” of the approach motivated by theoretical complexity and tractability considerations.

In the second part of the talk I will discuss an application of HDTP to modeling essential parts of concept blending processes as current “hot topic” in Cognitive Science. Here, I will sketch an analogy-inspired formal account of concept blending — developed in the European FP7-funded Concept Invention Theory (COINVENT) project— which, among others, combines HDTP with mechanisms from Case-Based Reasoning.

The FO(.) Knowledge Base System Project

Marc Denecker

Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

Marc.Denecker@cs.kuleuven.be

The goal of this project is to build a Knowledge Base System for an expressive knowledge representation language. Such systems allow to separate declarative knowledge from the problems that arise in the application domain, allowing to reuse the knowledge base to solve different computational tasks by applying different forms of inference. On the logical level, we start from classical first order logic (FO) (the notation FO(.) is used here as a generic term to denote extensions of classical first order logic FO). In this logic, we integrate various language constructs from different computational logic paradigms: types, inductive definitions, aggregates, (bounded) arithmetic, ... The goal is to achieve an expressive, cleanly integrated knowledge representation language with possible world semantics and a well-understood informal semantics of mathematical precision. On the computational level, the project aims to integrate and extend technologies developed in various computational logic fields to build a Knowledge Base System that supports various forms of inference.

Motivations, principles and research questions raised by such a project will be discussed. I will give an overview and demonstration of the current IDP system and some applications. An application for interactive configuration will serve to highlight a principle that distinguishes declarative modelling from programming: the separation of knowledge from problems and the possibility to apply multiple forms of inference on the knowledge base to solve different computational tasks. We discuss how even interactive systems can be described and “run” within FO(.)

Hybrid Reasoning with Answer Set Programming

Torsten Schaub

University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
Inria Rennes, Rennes, France
torsten@cs.uni-potsdam.de

Answer Set Programming (ASP) provides an approach to declarative problem solving that combines a rich yet simple modeling language with effective Boolean constraint solving capacities. This makes ASP a model, ground, and solve paradigm, in which a problem is expressed as a set of first-order rules, which are subsequently turned into a propositional format by systematically replacing all variables, before finally the models of the resulting propositional rules are computed. ASP is particularly suited for modeling problems in the area of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning involving incomplete, inconsistent, and changing information due to its non-monotonic semantic foundations. From a formal perspective, ASP allows for solving all search problems in NP (and NP^{NP}) in a uniform way. Hence, more generally, ASP is well-suited for solving hard combinatorial search (and optimization) problems. Interesting applications of ASP include decision support systems for NASA shuttle controllers, industrial team-building, music composition, natural language processing, package configuration, phylogenetics, robotics, systems biology, timetabling, and many more.

However, despite its growing popularity, ASP is not a silver bullet. For instance, it became clear early on that ASP fails to handle large numeric domains. This was addressed by Gelfond et al. in 2005 by proposing an integration of ASP and Constraint Processing (CP). This influential work has given rise to the subarea of Constraint ASP (CASP). Although this is an exemplar of hybridizing ASP, the need for integrating special-purpose reasoning is omnipresent when it comes to attacking real-world applications. This includes the integration of ASP with linear programming in bio-informatics, with geometrical reasoning in robotics, simulation in hardware design, and many more. This reveals the need for a principled way of integrating ASP with dedicated reasoning formalisms, both at the semantic and implementation level. Although this development has already been anticipated in the area of Satisfiability Testing (SAT), leading to the subfield of SAT Modulo Theories (SMT), it only serves as a limited blueprint for ASP. This is because (i) it only deals with solving and ignores modeling and grounding and (ii) it is monotonic and thus follows different semantic principles.

The talk will start with an introduction to CASP and sketch important aspects and insights gained in the development of the CASP solver *clingcon*. Building on this, we will describe the general framework for integrating theory reasoning into ASP offered by the fifth generation of the ASP system *clingo*. And finally we sketch a novel semantic approach to integrating ASP and CP, called the logic of *Here-and-There with constraints*.

We Reason in Uncertainty, But of What Kinds?

Keith Stenning

The University of Edinburgh, Scotland, UK
k.stenning@ed.ac.uk

If logic is to be helpful in analysing human reasoning, we first need to acknowledge the heterogeneity of the kinds of reasoning that people do. There has been a strong shift in the study of human reasoning away from classical logic toward probability theory as the formal framework, and for many researchers probability is all that is needed to analyse any human reasoning. Reasoning in this respect is held to be homogeneous. We have argued elsewhere that this move is from the frying pan into the fire, not because probability (or classical logic) cannot be useful, but because homogeneity is empirically and formally disastrous (Stenning et al. (submitted); Stenning and van Lambalgen (2008); Besold et al. (submitted)). We take it that in AI, this is all commonplace. But some of the insights arising in cognition may be of interest to AI researchers. Engaging with logical multiplicity focusses attention on qualitatively different kinds of uncertainty, and how to characterise them. This talk will present some current thinking on that question. The idea is to use logics to individuate kinds of uncertainty. In particular we contrast Logic Programming (LP) as a nonmonotonic logic, here specialised for analysing human discourse processing, and with some track record in modelling discourse semantics, with, on the one hand classical logic, and on the other probability. When examined close up, it emerges just how what different kinds of things the uncertainties of these three systems are.

References

- Besold, T.R., Garcez, A., Stenning, K., Torre, L.V.D.: Reasoning in Non-probabilistic Uncertainty: Logic Programming and Neural-Symbolic Computing as Examples. *Minds and Machines* (submitted)
- Stenning, K., Martignon, L., Varga, A.: Adaptive Reasoning: Integrating Fast and Frugal Heuristics with a Logic of Interpretation. *Decision* (submitted)
- Stenning, K., van Lambalgen, M.: *Human Reasoning and Cognitive Science*. MIT Press, Cambridge (2008)
- Over, D.E.: New paradigm psychology of reasoning. *Think. Reason.* **15**(4), 431–438 (2009)

Contents

Full Papers

Metabolic Pathways as Temporal Logic Programs	3
<i>Jean-Marc Alliot, Martín Diéguez, and Luis Fariñas del Cerro</i>	
On Decidability of a Logic of Gossips.	18
<i>Krzysztof R. Apt and Dominik Wojtczak</i>	
Hilbert-Style Axiomatization for Hybrid XPath with Data	34
<i>Carlos Areces and Raul Fervari</i>	
Approximate Unification in the Description Logic \mathcal{FL}_0	49
<i>Franz Baader, Pavlos Marantidis, and Alexander Okhotin</i>	
Inconsistency-Tolerant Query Answering: Rationality Properties and Computational Complexity Analysis	64
<i>Jean François Baget, Salem Benferhat, Zied Bouraoui, Madalina Croitoru, Marie-Laure Mugnier, Odile Papini, Swan Rocher, and Karim Tabia</i>	
Temporal Here and There	81
<i>Philippe Balbiani and Martín Diéguez</i>	
On Logics of Group Belief in Structured Coalitions.	97
<i>Philippe Balbiani, David Pearce, and Levan Uridia</i>	
A Three-Value Abstraction Technique for the Verification of Epistemic Properties in Multi-agent Systems	112
<i>Francesco Belardinelli and Alessio Lomuscio</i>	
A Relaxation of Internal Conflict and Defence in Weighted Argumentation Frameworks	127
<i>Stefano Bistarelli, Fabio Rossi, and Francesco Santini</i>	
Decidability and Expressivity of Ockhamist Propositional Dynamic Logics. . .	144
<i>Joseph Boudou and Emiliano Lorini</i>	
On the Expressiveness of Temporal Equilibrium Logic	159
<i>Laura Bozzelli and David Pearce</i>	
Introducing Role Defeasibility in Description Logics	174
<i>Katarina Britz and Ivan Varzinczak</i>	

Opposition Frameworks	190
<i>Cosmina Croitoru and Kurt Mehlhorn</i>	
Prompt Interval Temporal Logic	207
<i>Dario Della Monica, Angelo Montanari, Aniello Murano, and Pietro Sala</i>	
Exploiting Contextual Knowledge for Hybrid Classification of Visual Objects	223
<i>Thomas Eiter and Tobias Kaminski</i>	
Reasoning About Justified Belief Based on the Fusion of Evidence	240
<i>Tuan-Fang Fan and Churn-Jung Liau</i>	
Writing Declarative Specifications for Clauses	256
<i>Martin Gebser, Tomi Janhunen, Roland Kaminski, Torsten Schaub, and Shahab Tasharrofi</i>	
Standard Sequent Calculi for Lewis' Logics of Counterfactuals	272
<i>Marianna Giraldo, Björn Lellmann, Nicola Olivetti, and Gian Luca Pozzato</i>	
Incremental Computation of Deterministic Extensions for Dynamic Argumentation Frameworks	288
<i>Sergio Greco and Francesco Parisi</i>	
Revising Possibilistic Knowledge Bases via Compatibility Degrees	305
<i>Yifan Jin, Kewen Wang, Zhe Wang, and Zhiqiang Zhuang</i>	
Proving Craig and Lyndon Interpolation Using Labelled Sequent Calculi	320
<i>Roman Kuznets</i>	
Efficient Reasoning for Inconsistent Horn Formulae	336
<i>Joao Marques-Silva, Alexey Ignatiev, Carlos Mencía, and Rafael Peñaloza</i>	
Information Flow Under Budget Constraints	353
<i>Pavel Naumov and Jia Tao</i>	
A Tool for Probabilistic Reasoning Based on Logic Programming and First-Order Theories Under Stable Model Semantics	369
<i>Matthias Nickles</i>	
Pakota: A System for Enforcement in Abstract Argumentation	385
<i>Andreas Niskanen, Johannes P. Wallner, and Matti Järvisalo</i>	
Kinetic Consistency and Relevance in Belief Revision	401
<i>Pavlos Peppas and Mary-Anne Williams</i>	

DRAT Proofs for XOR Reasoning	415
<i>Tobias Philipp and Adrián Rebola-Pardo</i>	
Understanding the Abstract Dialectical Framework	430
<i>Sylwia Polberg</i>	
Extensional Semantics for Higher-Order Logic Programs with Negation.	447
<i>Panos Rondogiannis and Ioanna Symeonidou</i>	
Reactive Policies with Planning for Action Languages.	463
<i>Zeynep G. Saribatur and Thomas Eiter</i>	
Correct Grounded Reasoning with Presumptive Arguments	481
<i>Bart Verheij</i>	
Characterizability in Horn Belief Revision	497
<i>Jon Yaggie and György Turán</i>	
Short Papers	
Formalizing Goal Serializability for Evaluation of Planning Features.	515
<i>Reza Basseeda and Michael Kifer</i>	
Rule-based Stream Reasoning for Intelligent Administration of Content-Centric Networks	522
<i>Harald Beck, Bruno Bierbaumer, Minh Dao-Tran, Thomas Eiter, Hermann Hellwagner, and Konstantin Schekotihin</i>	
Inconsistency Management in Reactive Multi-context Systems	529
<i>Gerhard Brewka, Stefan Ellmauthaler, Ricardo Gonçalves, Matthias Knorr, João Leite, and Jörg Pührer</i>	
Iteratively-Supported Formulas and Strongly Supported Models for Kleene Answer Set Programs (Extended Abstract)	536
<i>Patrick Doherty, Jonas Kvarnström, and Andrzej Szalas</i>	
Forgetting in ASP: The Forgotten Properties.	543
<i>Ricardo Gonçalves, Matthias Knorr, and João Leite</i>	
On Hierarchical Task Networks	551
<i>Andreas Herzig, Laurent Perrussel, and Zhanhao Xiao</i>	
Refinement of Intentions	558
<i>Andreas Herzig, Laurent Perrussel, Zhanhao Xiao, and Dongmo Zhang</i>	
GenB: A General Solver for AGM Revision.	564
<i>Aaron Hunter and Eric Tsang</i>	

A Two-Phase Dialogue Game for Skeptical Preferred Semantics 570
Zohreh Shams and Nir Oren

Measuring Inconsistency in Answer Set Programs 577
Markus Ulbricht, Matthias Thimm, and Gerhard Brewka

Author Index 585