High-harmonic spectra from time-dependent two-particle reduced-density-matrix theory
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The ab initio description of the nonlinear response of many-electron systems to strong-laser fields remains a major challenge. In order to address larger systems, alternative methods need to be developed that bypass the exponential scaling with particle number inherent to conventional wave-function-based approaches. In this paper we present a fully three-dimensional implementation of the time-dependent two-particle reduced-density-matrix (TD-2RDM) method for many-electron atoms. We benchmark this approach by a comparison with multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree-Fock results for the harmonic spectra of beryllium and neon. We show that the TD-2RDM is very well suited to describe the nonlinear atomic response and to reveal the influence of electron-correlation effects.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for driven many-electron systems poses, to date, a major challenge. The long-range Coulomb interactions induce distant multiparticle correlations precluding the application of many approximations relying on short-range interactions from the outset. Numerically exact solutions have become available only for small systems, notably two-electron systems such as helium [1–5] or H2 [6–12]. As the numerical effort grows factorially with the number of electrons, an analogous treatment for large systems becomes prohibitive. Therefore, alternative strategies and approximations, most frequently the single-active-electron approximation [13,14], are invoked. For large systems time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) and the time-dependent Hartree-Fock (TDHF) approximation (e.g. [15,16]) have proven to provide an effective approach to electron dynamics on the mean-field level. The efficiency of TDDFT, however, comes with the price of introducing exchange-correlation functionals, which are only known approximately and hard to improve systematically. Moreover, readout functionalities for two-particle observables are still largely unknown [17–19]. Extension to the direct solution of the N-electron Schrödinger equation beyond the two-particle problem employs the multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree-Fock (MCTDHF) method [20–22]. In principle, the MCTDHF method converges to the numerically exact solution if a sufficient number of orbitals is used. However, the factorial scaling with the number of particles limits its applicability. A recently proposed variant, the time-dependent complete active space self-consistent-field method [23,24], which, in analogy to its ground-state counterpart, decomposes the state space into frozen, dynamically polarized, and dynamically active orbitals, can considerably reduce the numerical effort yet eventually still leads to a factorial scaling with the number of active electrons \( N^* \) \((N^* < N)\).

Further reduction of the numerical effort and, consequently, extension to larger systems appear possible, e.g., by applying the time-dependent occupation restricted multiple active space method [25].

The present approach intends to bridge the gap between full wave-function-based \( N \)-electron descriptions such as the MCTDHF method and the time-dependent reduced one-particle density \( \rho(r,t) \)-based TDDFT. The underlying idea is to strike a compromise between the accuracy of electron-electron correlations achieved by wave-function-based methods and the ease to treat larger and, eventually, extended systems afforded by density-based approximations [26]. Our working variable is the time-dependent two-particle reduced density matrix (2RDM) \( D(r_1, r_2; r_1', r_2'; t) \). As a hybrid between the electron density and the full wave function, the 2RDM contains the complete information on two-particle correlations but still scales polynomially with particle number [27–29]. Starting with the pioneering work in the 1950s [30,31], the stationary 2RDM method for the ground state has matured to accuracies that often outperform those of coupled-cluster singles and doubles with perturbative triples at similar or smaller numerical cost (see, e.g., [32–36]). Extensions to the propagation of time-dependent systems have been pursued since the 1990s [37–42]. They have been plagued, however, by numerical instabilities that could be traced back to the approximations invoked for the three-particle reduced density matrix (3RDM). In a previous paper [43] we developed a time-dependent two-particle reduced-density-matrix (TD-2RDM) theory that is based on a contraction consistent reconstruction of the 3RDM, as required for the proper closure of the equations of motion for the TD-2RDM. It ensures that all constants of motion associated with symmetries of the Hamiltonian are conserved during time propagation. Contraction consistency of the reconstruction leads to a significant increase in the accuracy of the reconstruction, thereby achieving an accurate and stable propagation.

In the present paper we apply the TD-2RDM method to the nonlinear response of many-electron atoms to strong few-cycle laser pulses. The four electrons of beryllium and the ten electrons of neon are treated in their full dimensionality.
We benchmark the resulting high-harmonic generation (HHG) against accurate spectra obtained by the MCTDHF method. High-harmonic generation can be qualitatively well captured by the so-called three-step model \([13,44]\) in which an electron is first tunnel ionized by the strong field, then accelerated in the laser field, and finally radiatively recombines with the parent ion emitting an energetic photon. For an accurate quantitative laser field, and finally radiatively recombines with the parent ion. In particular, the collective polarization response of the residual \((N-1)\)-electron system to the external field and the ionized electron as well as the relaxation of the excited electron-hole pair is expected to be sensitive to correlation effects. We show that the TD-2RDM method is well suited to account for these subtle many-body effects and is superior to time-dependent mean-field or effective-field descriptions such as the TDHF approximation or TDDFT. Going beyond our previous work \([43]\), we include in the collision operator diagrammatic corrections of second order in line with the suggestions by Nakatsui and Yasuda \([45]\) and Mazziotti \([46]\). These second-order corrections turn out to be crucial for the accurate description of correlated HHG.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we briefly review key ingredients of the TD-2RDM theory. In Sec. III we summarize the methodological advances for reconstruction of the collision operator and purification of the 2RDM, main ingredients for an accurate and stable propagation of the TD-2RDM. First applications of the TD-2RDM theory to full three-dimensional (3D) Be and Ne atoms are presented in Sec. IV. We show the time-dependent dipole moment and HHG spectra for the interaction with few-cycle laser pulses with varying intensities. We also compare to TDHF and TDDFT calculations. In Sec. V we give a short summary and outlook to future developments. Additional technical details are given in Appendixes A–C.

## II. BRIEF REVIEW OF THE TD-2RDM METHOD

The \(p\)-particle reduced density matrix (pRDM) 
\[
D(x_1, \ldots, x_p; x'_1, \ldots, x'_{p}; t) = \frac{N!}{(N-p)!} \int \Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_N) dx_{p+1}, \ldots, dx_N \Psi^*(x'_1, \ldots, x'_{p}, x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_N, t)
\]
where \(x_1 = (r_1, \sigma_1)\) comprises the 3D space coordinate \(r_1\) and the spin coordinate \(\sigma_1 \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\}\). With this definition the pRDM is normalized to \(\frac{N!}{(N-p)!}\), which is a convenient choice for most calculations. Following \([42]\), we use for the pRDM the shorthand notation
\[
D_{1, \ldots, p} = D(x_1, \ldots, x_p; x'_1, \ldots, x'_{p}; t),
\]
where also the time dependence in the notation of the RDMs is dropped for simplicity. The significance of the pRDM is that it allows the calculation of all joint \(p\)-particle observables. The RDMs thus contain in condensed form the full information on the \(p\)-particle correlations. This reduction of complexity compared to the \(N\)-electron wave function \(\Psi\) is the key aspect making the propagation of the 2RDM attractive and potentially useful for applications. In particular, the 2RDM carries the information on electron correlation at the two-particle level and thus on total energy and two-particle excitation probabilities. The explicit use of any exchange-correlation functional as in density-functional theory is avoided. The electron density \(\rho(r)\) and the pair density \(\rho(r_1, r_2)\) are the diagonal elements of the 1RDM \(D_1\),
\[
\rho(r) = \sum_\sigma D(r_1 \sigma; r_1 \sigma),
\]
and of the 2RDM \(D_{12}\),
\[
\rho(r_1, r_2) = \sum_{\sigma, \sigma'} D(r_1 \sigma r_2 \sigma'; r_1 \sigma r_2 \sigma'),
\]
measuring the probability to find simultaneously one of the particles at \(r_1\) and another one at \(r_2\).

The equations of motion of the RDMs belong to the Bogoliubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon (BBGKY) hierarchy frequently invoked in classical and quantum statistical mechanics. The first two equations of the hierarchy read
\[
i \partial_t D_1 = [h_1, D_1] + Tr_2[W_{12}, D_{12}],
\]
\[
i \partial_t D_{12} = [H_{12}, D_{12}] + Tr_3[W_{13} + W_{23}, D_{123}],
\]
where \(H_{12}\) is the reduced two-particle Hamiltonian
\[
H_{12} = h_1 + h_2 + W_{12},
\]
consisting of the one-particle part \(h_1\) containing the kinetic energy, the ionic and the external potential \(V(r, t)\), and the electron-electron interaction \(W_{12}\). The time derivative of the \(p\)RDM depends on the next higher \((p+1)\)RDM via the \(p\)-particle collision operator \(C_{1, \ldots, p}\). The lowest two members of the hierarchy are
\[
C_{1}[D_{12}] = Tr_2[W_{12}, D_{12}],
\]
\[
C_{12}[D_{123}] = Tr_3[W_{13} + W_{23}, D_{123}].
\]
The coupling to higher-order members of the hierarchy makes the complete solution of the equations of motion as prohibitively complicated as the original time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the \(N\)-particle problem. Therefore, any useful application invokes closure, i.e., approximating higher-order members of the pRDM hierarchy through functionals of lower-order members. In this work we close the equations by approximating the 3RDM in terms of the 2RDM
\[
D_{123} \approx D^R_{123}[D_{12}].
\]
We will refer to this approximation as the reconstruction functional of the 3RDM. The equation of motion to be solved is thus
\[
i \partial_t D_{12} = [H_{12}, D_{12}] + C_{12}[D^R_{123}],
\]
Recently, we have shown \([43]\) that contraction consistency
\[
D_{12} = \frac{1}{N-2} Tr_3 D^R_{123}[D_{12}]
\]
of such a reconstruction $D_{123}^{R}$, i.e., that the 2RDM used as the input to the reconstruction must be recovered after tracing out the coordinate of the third particle [Eq. (12)], is of central importance for an accurate and consistent closure of the equation of motion for the 2RDM. It ensures that constants of motion originating from symmetries of the Hamiltonian are conserved during propagation. Important examples are energy conservation for a time-independent Hamiltonian and spin conservation for a spin-independent Hamiltonian. In fact, proper spin conservation requires further contraction relations given in Appendix A. Equation (12) ensures the contraction consistency of the first two members of the BBGKY hierarchy, Eqs. (5) and (11), i.e., the second equation in the BBGKY hierarchy, Eq. (11), reduces to the first equation, Eq. (5), when taking the trace over the second particle.

III. METHODOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

A. Reconstruction

For uncorrelated particles the 3RDM is given by the antisymmetrized tensor product of the 1RDM:

$$D_{123}^{HF} = 6\hat{A}D_{1}D_{2}D_{3},$$

where $\hat{A}$ is the antisymmetrization operator normalized to be idempotent and the factor 6 originates from the number of distinct contributions. Here and in the following $D_{i}$ denotes the one-particle density matrix of the $i^{th}$ particle. Equation (13) is referred to as the Hartree-Fock approximation to the 3RDM, $D_{123}^{HF}$. For correlated particles, corrections to Eq. (13) are crucial. We introduce the corrections in terms of the proper correlation term $\rho_{\sigma\sigma'}$, i.e., that the 2RDM used as the input to the reconstruction must be recovered after tracing out the coordinate of the third particle [Eq. (12)], is of central importance for an accurate and consistent closure of the equation of motion for the 2RDM. It ensures that constants of motion originating from symmetries of the Hamiltonian are conserved during propagation. Important examples are energy conservation for a time-independent Hamiltonian and spin conservation for a spin-independent Hamiltonian. In fact, proper spin conservation requires further contraction relations given in Appendix A. Equation (12) ensures the contraction consistency of the first two members of the BBGKY hierarchy, Eqs. (5) and (11), i.e., the second equation in the BBGKY hierarchy, Eq. (11), reduces to the first equation, Eq. (5), when taking the trace over the second particle.

$$D_{12} = 2\hat{A}D_{1}D_{2} + \Delta_{12} = D_{12}^{HF} + \Delta_{12}.$$ (14)

Explicitly, the Hartree-Fock approximation to $D_{12}$ is given by

$$D_{12}^{HF} = 2\hat{A}D_{1}D_{2} = D(x_{1};x'_{1})D(x_{2};x'_{2}) - D(x_{1};x'_{2})D(x_{2};x'_{1}).$$ (15)

The term $\Delta_{12}$, referred to as the two-particle cumulant, is a sensitive measure for electron correlation and vanishes if and only if the particles are uncorrelated. It should be noted that the so-called Pauli correlation resulting from antisymmetrization, i.e., exchange, is already contained in the Hartree-Fock term $D_{12}^{HF}$ and is thus not part of $\Delta_{12}$. This decomposition applied to the diagonal elements of $D_{12}$ allows the identification of the proper correlation contributions to the pair density. For independent and distinguishable particles the pair density is a simple product of two individual one-particle probabilities $\rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2})$. For identical fermions the Pauli principle prohibits the coalescence of two particles with the same spin giving rise to the exchange hole $\rho^{ex}$ (see Fig. 1) and a deviation from a simple product

$$\rho^{HF}(r_{1},r_{2}) = \rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2}) + \rho^{ex}(r_{1},r_{2}) = \rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2}) - \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} [D(r_{1}\sigma;r_{2}\sigma)]^{2}.$$ (16)

Explicitly, the Hartree-Fock approximation to $D_{12}$ is given by

$$D_{12}^{HF} = 2\hat{A}D_{1}D_{2} = D(x_{1};x'_{1})D(x_{2};x'_{2}) - D(x_{1};x'_{2})D(x_{2};x'_{1}).$$ (15)

The term $\Delta_{12}$, referred to as the two-particle cumulant, is a sensitive measure for electron correlation and vanishes if and only if the particles are uncorrelated. It should be noted that the so-called Pauli correlation resulting from antisymmetrization, i.e., exchange, is already contained in the Hartree-Fock term $D_{12}^{HF}$ and is thus not part of $\Delta_{12}$. This decomposition applied to the diagonal elements of $D_{12}$ allows the identification of the proper correlation contributions to the pair density. For independent and distinguishable particles the pair density is a simple product of two individual one-particle probabilities $\rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2})$. For identical fermions the Pauli principle prohibits the coalescence of two particles with the same spin giving rise to the exchange hole $\rho^{ex}$ (see Fig. 1) and a deviation from a simple product

$$\rho^{HF}(r_{1},r_{2}) = \rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2}) + \rho^{ex}(r_{1},r_{2}) = \rho(r_{1})\rho(r_{2}) - \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} [D(r_{1}\sigma;r_{2}\sigma)]^{2}.$$ (16)

This contribution to the pair density (see Fig. 1) is directly given by the two-particle cumulant

$$\rho^{ex}(r_{1},r_{2}) = \sum_{\sigma,\sigma'} \Delta(r_{1}\sigma;r_{2}\sigma';r_{1}\sigma;r_{2}\sigma').$$ (18)

Using the decomposition, Eqs. (16) and (17), the exact total electron-electron interaction energy

$$E^{ee} = \int \frac{\rho(r_{1},r_{2})}{|r_{1} - r_{2}|} dr_{1}dr_{2}$$ (19)

follows as a sum of Hartree, exchange, and correlation energies. With the help of the cumulant expansion [Eq. (14)] the correlation contribution to other observables can be identified analogously.

The two-particle cumulant $\Delta_{12}$ can now be used to improve the reconstruction of $D_{123}$ beyond the Hartree-Fock level. Inclusion of corrections to first order yields

$$D_{123}^{\Delta} = D_{123}^{HF} + 9\hat{A}\Delta_{12}D_{3},$$ (20)

referred to as the Valdemoro (V) reconstruction, which has been derived using a variety of different techniques [47]. Going beyond first order by including second- or higher-order corrections has remained a challenge. Currently, no technique appears to be available that allows one to calculate the
second-order correction for all elements of the 3RDM in terms of the lower-order RDMs. However, for specific elements, second-order contributions can be calculated by following two different approaches developed by Nakatsuji and Yasuda [45] and Mazziotti [46].

The Nakatsuji-Yasuda approach is based on the diagrammatic expansion of the 3RDM in analogy to many-body Green’s functions [48] (lower line); diagrammatic representation of $D_{ij}$, Eq. (14) (upper line). Green arrows correspond to one-particle propagators and blue parts describe connected diagrams accounting for particle-particle interactions. The brackets represent all topologically distinct permutations of a given diagram ensuring antisymmetry of the 3RDM.

Among those the $\Delta_{12}$ component perpendicular to the kernel $D_{12}$ is a known functional of the 2RDM, only the kernel component needs to be approximated. To make a given reconstruction functional contraction consistent we use Eq. (26) and replace the unknown exact kernel component $D_{12,3}$ by the kernel component of the chosen approximate reconstruction $D_{12,3}^{R}$. In the following, we will apply this contraction consistency constraint to $D_{12}^{V}$, $D_{12}^{N}$, and $D_{12}^{M}$.

**B. $N$ representability and purification**

The realization of the goal to replace the propagation of the $N$-particle wave function by that of the 2RDM faces, in addition to the reconstruction (or closure) problem, a second and closely intertwined conceptual hurdle, known as the $N$-representability problem. While $\Psi(t)$ itself is not needed at any time during the propagation of the equations of motion [Eq. (11)], the solution of $D_{12}(t)$ must, at all times, satisfy Eq. (1), i.e., it must be representable as a partial trace over the bilinear form $\Psi^{*}(t)\Psi(t)$ of an (unknown) $N$-particle wave function. If the exact form of $D_{12}$ were to be used in Eq. (11), this would be trivially the case. However, as soon as approximations to $D_{12}$ are employed, the time-evolved $D_{12}(t)$ may leave the subspace of $N$-representable 2RDMs. While an explicit complete set of conditions for $N$ representability is still unknown, several necessary conditions are well established. Among those the $D$ condition and the $Q$ condition are the most important. They guarantee that the 2RDM and the two-hole reduced density matrix (2HRDM)

$$Q_{12} = 2A_{12} - 4A_{1}A_{2} + D_{12}$$

(27)

remain positive semidefinite (i.e., have non-negative eigenvalues) denoted by

$$D_{12} \geq 0,$$

(28)

$$Q_{12} \geq 0.$$

(29)

The positive semidefiniteness of these matrices represents mutually independent conditions, although the matrices are interconvertible by Eq. (27). The 2HRDM describes the pair distribution of holes rather than of particles and its positivity in combination with the positivity of the 2RDM ensures that the occupation number of a particle pair or a hole pair in any two-body state is always non-negative. Violation of the positive semidefiniteness obviously causes instabilities in the propagation that in turn are consequences of the approximation error in $D_{12}^{R}$. To avoid such instabilities we enforce the $D$ and

remain undetermined and are chosen to be zero, as suggested by Mazziotti [49].

All reconstruction functionals $D_{12}^{R}$, as introduced above are not contraction consistent, i.e., do not fulfill Eq. (12). Previously, we developed a generic method to enforce contraction consistency for an arbitrary reconstruction functional. This allows us to extend the reconstruction functionals $D_{12}^{V}$, $D_{12}^{N}$, and $D_{12}^{M}$ to contraction consistent form. Our method is based on the unitary decomposition of the 3RDM [43]

$$D_{12} = D_{12,12}^{V} + D_{12,3},$$

(26)

where the kernel $D_{12,3}$ is defined as the component of $D_{12}$ whose contractions vanish, $\text{Tr}_{3}D_{12,3} = 0$. Because the component perpendicular to the kernel $D_{12,12}^{V}$ is a known functional of the 2RDM, the only kernel component needs to be approximated. To make a given reconstruction functional contraction consistent we use Eq. (26) and replace the unknown exact kernel component $D_{12,3}$ by the kernel component of the chosen approximate reconstruction $D_{12,3}^{R}$. In the following, we will apply this contraction consistency constraint to $D_{12}^{V}$, $D_{12}^{N}$, and $D_{12}^{M}$.

![Diagram](image.png)

**FIG. 2. Diagrammatic representation of the Nakatsuji-Yasuda reconstruction for the 3RDM, Eq. (21), in analogy to the diagrammatic expansion of many-body Green’s functions [48] (lower line); diagrammatic representation of $D_{ij}$, Eq. (14) (upper line). Green arrows correspond to one-particle propagators and blue parts describe connected diagrams accounting for particle-particle interactions. The brackets represent all topologically distinct permutations of a given diagram ensuring antisymmetry of the 3RDM.**
Q conditions during propagation by a purification process. Additional N-representability conditions, in particular the G condition, were found to remain well satisfied if the D and Q conditions are simultaneously enforced.

We briefly describe the present purification scheme which improves the numerical efficiency compared to its predecessor [50]. To isolate the defective part of the 2RDM we decompose the Hermitian 2RDM \( D_{12} = D_{12}^< + D_{12}^> \) into components with negative eigenvalues \( D_{12}^< \) and non-negative eigenvalues \( D_{12}^> \).

\[
D_{12}^< = \sum_{\mu < 0} g_{\mu} |g_{\mu}\rangle \langle g_{\mu}|, \quad (30)
\]

\[
D_{12}^> = \sum_{\mu > 0} g_{\mu} |g_{\mu}\rangle \langle g_{\mu}|, \quad (31)
\]

where \( g_{\mu} \) are the eigenvalues and \( |g_{\mu}\rangle \) are the eigenfunctions (called geminals) of the 2RDM. Simply neglecting \( D_{12}^< \) in the decomposition of the 2RDM is not a viable option as it would lead to uncontrolled errors in the normalization as well as in the associated 1RDM. Instead, we employ the unitary decomposition of the 2RDM in analogy to Eq. (26),

\[
D_{12}^\perp = D_{12,\perp}^> |D_{12}\rangle + D_{12,K}^>, \quad (32)
\]

As above, the kernel \( D_{12,K}^> \) is by definition fully contraction free. Therefore, subtracting the kernel component from the 2RDM,

\[
D_{12}^\prime = D_{12} - D_{12,K}^>, \quad (33)
\]

leaves the norm and the 1RDM invariant. After a single purification step \( D_{12}^\prime \) has a significantly reduced negative eigenvalue. The same unitary decomposition can be applied to simultaneously enforce the approximate positivity of the 2HRDM

\[
D_{12}^\prime = D_{12} - D_{12,K}^> - Q_{12,K}^>. \quad (34)
\]

Since the negative eigenvalues of the 2HRDM have dominant contributions in the high occupation numbers of the 2RDM, the two matrices \( D_{12,K}^> \) and \( Q_{12,K}^> \) act on different subspaces and therefore do not destroy the purifying effect of the other but lead to a simultaneous enforcement of the D and Q conditions. The effectiveness of the purification can be further improved by applying it iteratively.

**C. Probing for the reconstruction error**

We test now different reconstruction functionals introduced in Sec. III A since choosing the most accurate reconstruction is key to obtaining highly accurate HHG spectra. Note that in this section we do not solve the closed equation of motion of the 2RDM [Eq. (11)]. Instead we use the exact 2RDM and 3RDM from an MCTDHF calculation to compare \( D_{123}^{R} \) [Eq. (10)] reconstructed from the exact 2RDM with the exact 3RDM at each time step. This allows for a direct measurement of the pure reconstruction error without admixture of any propagation error. We measure the distance between the reconstructed 3RDM \( D_{123}^{R} \) and the exact 3RDM \( D_{123} \) by the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm for matrices,

\[
\| D_{123} - D_{123}^{R} \|^2 = \text{Tr}_{123} (D_{123} - D_{123}^{R})^2. \quad (35)
\]

We find (Fig. 3) that, as expected, the largest error occurs for the Valdemoro reconstruction, which takes into account correlations only up to first order [Eq. (20)]. Improvements can be obtained by including second-order contributions. While the Mazziotti reconstruction \( D_{123}^{M} \) considerably improves upon the Valdemoro reconstruction, the Nakatsuji-Yasuda reconstruction \( D_{123}^{NY} \) [Eq. (21)] provides the most accurate results for the present atomic systems under investigation (Fig. 3). Irrespective of the perturbative order included, we consistently find for all three reconstructions additional significant improvement in the accuracy of the reconstruction when enforcing contraction consistency [Eq. (12)]. Contraction consistency is thus not only essential for preserving fundamental symmetries during propagation, but also leads to a significantly better reconstruction. As an aside we note that this observation suggests that contraction consistency should improve also the solution of the anti-Hermitian contracted stationary Schrödinger equation used to calculate the ground state of molecules (see, e.g., [51]) for which the basic approximation is also the reconstruction of the 3RDM. The remaining error in the reconstruction functional comes from additional second-order contributions not included in the Nakatsuji-Yasuda or Mazziotti approximation. The systematic inclusion of all second-order and possibly higher-order corrections is left for future work. In the following simulations we will apply the contraction-consistent Nakatsuji-Yasuda (NY-CC) approximation.

**IV. HIGH-HARMONIC GENERATION**

In this section we present the results of the TD-2RDM method as applied to full 3D multielectron atoms. To benchmark the TD-2RDM theory we use a state-of-the-art MCTDHF
near-IR pulse with wavelength dynamics and is therefore well suited to test the capabilities gauge in all our simulations. Favourable numerical behavior [24], we employ the velocity is gauge invariant, those with a finite number of time-invariant. While the present implementation (see Appendix B) the case for all the reconstructions discussed here. However, a specific numerical implementation is not necessarily gauge invariant. While the present implementation (see Appendix B) is gauge invariant, those with a finite number of time-independent spin orbitals are in general not. Due to the favorable numerical behavior [24], we employ the velocity gauge in all our simulations.

We particularly focus on the time-dependent dipole moment and the HHG spectrum that depends sensitively on the electron dynamics and is therefore well suited to test the capabilities of the TD-2RDM method. In our simulations we consider a near-IR pulse with wavelength \( \lambda = 800 \text{ nm} \) (\( \omega = 0.057 \text{ a.u.} \)), which is the central wavelength of the Ti:sapphire laser. We investigate the response of the Be atom for two laser pulses differing in intensity and in the number of cycles. One pulse has an intensity of \( I = 0.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) (\( F_0 = 0.038 \)) and a length of four cycles \( N_c = 4 \) showing a moderate amount of ionization. The second pulse with \( I = 4.0 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) (\( F_0 = 0.107 \)) and two cycles leads to significant ionization [see the insets of Figs. 4(a) and 4(c) for the pulse shape]. Because of the much larger ionization potential (\( I_f = 21.6 \text{ eV} \)) we employ in our simulation for neon a stronger pulse with \( I = 10^{15} \text{ W/cm}^2 \) (\( F_0 = 0.169 \)).

The HHG spectrum \( I_{\text{HHG}}^{\text{Hg}}(\omega) \) is determined using the classical Larmor formula

\[
I_{\text{HHG}}^{\text{Hg}}(\omega) = \frac{2}{3c} \left| \int \hat{d}(t) e^{i\omega t} dt \right|^2,
\]

where the expectation value of the dipole acceleration operator is calculated via

\[
\hat{d}(t) = -\langle \Psi(t) \rangle \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial r} \Psi(t) \rangle \\
= -\int \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial r} \rho(r,t) d^3r.
\]

As the HHG spectrum is a functional of the reduced one-particle density \( \rho(r,t) \), the TDDFT method can be directly applied without invoking any approximate readout functional.

The numerical implementation of the TD-2RDM method requires the simultaneous solution of the orbital equations of motion (B2) in Appendix B together with the equation of motion for the 2RDM matrix elements (B5). We solve the angular part of the orbital equations of motion by expanding the orbitals in spherical harmonics with a maximum angular momentum of \( L_{\text{max}} = 47 \). The radial part of the orbital equations of motion is numerically solved by employing a finite-element discrete-variable representation in radial direction with 50 finite elements and 20 basis points each. We use a total radial box size of 200 atomic units and absorbing boundary conditions implemented by a \( \cos^{1/4} \) mask function starting at a radius of 160 atomic units with a transition length of 40 atomic units. To obtain converged results for the time-dependent dipole moment in the presence of electrons absorbed by the absorbing boundary we calculate the dipole moment by a double integral over time of the dipole acceleration.

The time propagation of the orbitals is performed using a second-order split-step method treating the one-body part \( \hat{h} \) and the part containing the electron-electron interaction in Eq. (B2) separately. Further details on the propagation of the orbitals can be found in Ref. [24]. We apply this propagation in real and imaginary time, the latter for the determination of the MCTDHF ground state, which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation. It should be noted that the “exact” MCTDHF ground state is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11), which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation. It should be noted that the “exact” MCTDHF ground state is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11), which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation. It should be noted that the “exact” MCTDHF ground state is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11), which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation. It should be noted that the “exact” MCTDHF ground state is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11), which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation. It should be noted that the “exact” MCTDHF ground state is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11), which also serves as the initial state for the TD-2RDM propagation.

A. Beryllium

As the first application we study the nonlinear dipole response and HHG of Be for a laser pulse with \( I = 0.5 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2 \). Due to the spherical symmetry of the ground state, the initial dipole moment is zero. In the presence of the laser pulse the atom gets polarized and the dipole moment starts to oscillate [Fig. 4(a)]. Initially, the dipole moment nearly adiabatically follows the electric field of the external laser pulse, but soon after the first cycle nonlinear effects manifest themselves through the appearance of multiple frequencies. The near-perfect agreement between the TD-2RDM method and the MCTDHF method shows that the TD-2RDM method is capable of accurately describing excitation processes in strong laser fields. In clear contrast, the mean-field TDDFT and TDHF methods show marked deviations during the entire pulse duration. In particular, the overshoot of the first oscillations shows that the binding energy is underestimated within the TDHF approximation and TDDFT compared to the MCTDHF method. The TD-2RDM method, on the other hand, is capable of reproducing the initial oscillations, i.e., linear polarizability and binding energy, with high accuracy. The high-harmonic spectrum that probes the frequency-dependent electronic response yields excellent agreement between the TD-2RDM and MCTDHF methods [Fig. 4(b)]. The TDHF and TDDFT methods reproduce the overall structure of the
The stronger pulse ($I = 4.0 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$) features already significant ionization reflected in the pronounced slope of the dipole moment caused by the contribution of ionizing electrons [Fig. 4(c)]. Unlike for lower intensities where the high-harmonic spectra of the TDHF and MCTDHF methods differ only in the detailed structure [Fig. 4(b)], for this intensity we find a strong overestimate of the high-harmonic intensity predicted by the TDHF method [Fig. 4(d)] previously reported in [24]. This overestimate originates from the inability of mean-field theories such as the TDHF approximation or TDDFT to describe pure single-electron ionization as the TDHF approximation and TDDFT inevitably introduce artificially enhanced double ionization whenever single ionization occurs [4]. The, at first glance, somewhat counterintuitive consequence of the coupling between single and double ionization is that the overall probability for ionization occurring at all is reduced. This follows from the “contamination” of pure single ionization by ionization of the second, more deeply bound electron with binding energy of the second ionization potential. In turn, the lower probability for ionization leads to a larger bound-state component in the wave function of the residual ion enhancing the probability for coherent recombination of the returning electron prominently visible near the cutoff [Fig. 4(d)]. To trace back the overestimate of the HHG yield predicted by the TDHF approximation to the underestimated ionization probability we approximate the intensity of the high-harmonic radiation following the three-step model as

$$I_{HHG}^{\text{rec}} \propto -N_{\text{ion}}(t_{\text{ion}})P_{\text{rec}}(t_{\text{rec}}).$$

where $N_{\text{ion}}(t_{\text{ion}})$ is the number of electrons ionized per time interval at ionization time $t_{\text{ion}}$ and $P_{\text{rec}}(t_{\text{rec}})$ is the recombination probability at the recombination time $t_{\text{rec}}$. In this classical
The electron is ionized from the atom at time $t_{\text{ion}}$ and then accelerates in the external laser field before it recombines with the parent ion at time $t_{\text{rec}}$. The recombination probability $P_{\text{rec}}$ can be approximated by the survival probability, i.e., by the probability of the electron not being ionized. Using both quantities $N^\text{ion}(t_i)$ and $P^\text{rec}(t_i)$ from either a MCTDHF or TDHF calculation shows that, indeed, the overestimate of HHG radiation near the cutoff can be reproduced (Fig. 5).

The MCTDHF and TD-2RDM methods allow for variable occupation numbers of the orbitals permitting the decoupling of single and double ionization. In fact, for the process depicted in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) the occupation numbers of the natural orbitals change significantly. The accurate reconstruction in combination with the stabilization by purification results in the very good agreement between the TD-2RDM method and the MCTDHF method for the dipole oscillation as well as the high-harmonic spectrum [Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)]. To further investigate the structure of the high-harmonic radiation we perform a time-frequency analysis [55] by applying a short-time Fourier transformation with a Blackman window function [56] using the scaling parameter $\alpha = 0.16$, which features a continuous first derivative allowing a high temporal and spectral resolution. The time-frequency analysis shows at which time high-harmonic radiation of a given frequency is created (Fig. 6). In agreement with the results for the high-harmonic spectrum, we find that the TD-2RDM method is capable of almost perfectly reproducing the MCTDHF time-frequency distribution, whereas the TDHF approximation predicts a significantly stronger intensity at the high-energy cutoff.

Below the maximum energy each excursion gives rise to two branches corresponding to the short and long trajectories. The first excursion corresponds to electrons that have been accelerated in the strong center peak of the two-cycle laser pulse and the second excursion by electrons accelerated in the subsequent smaller peak. Obviously, high-harmonic radiation with energy near the cutoff at $\approx 55\hbar\omega$ is generated by recollisions after the first excursion. Comparison with the quantum mechanical calculation (Figs. 6 and 5) reveals a small shift to slightly higher cutoff energies in the quantum calculation. This shift is accounted for by the modified cutoff formula predicted by the Lewenstein model [57].

The present TD-2RDM method allows us to explore subtle many-body effects in the HHG process not visible in single-particle or mean-field descriptions. To this end, we analyze the time evolution of the joint particle-hole distribution function

$$\tilde{G}(z_p,z_h) = \langle z_p,z_h|K_{21}G_{12}K_{22}|z_p,z_h\rangle,$$

where

$$G_{12} = D_1 \delta_2 - D_{12}$$

is the particle-hole reduced density matrix [28] and

$$K_i = \sum_j \langle \phi^\ast_j|\phi^\ast_i \rangle$$

are signatures of the three-step model [13,44]. Plotting the recombination energy given by the sum of ionization potential plus kinetic energy as a function of time shows two subsequent excursions giving rise to maximum energies $\approx 55\hbar\omega$ and $\approx 17\hbar\omega$ at the moment of recollision.
FIG. 7. Laser-field-induced deviation from the initial-state particle-hole distribution function $\tilde{G}^{ph}(z_p, z_h, t) - \tilde{G}^{ph}(z_p, z_h, 0)$ [Eq. (40)] along the laser polarization axis $(\hat{z})$ of beryllium for the same laser parameters as in Fig. 4(c). The times of the snapshots shown are marked in Fig. 6 and in the inset of Fig. 4(c) (note the logarithmic color scale). (a) $\tau = 0.2$, (b) $\tau = 0.5$, (c) $\tau = 1.0$, and (d) $\tau = 1.8$.

is the projection operator onto the initial ground-state orbitals $\phi^0_i$ acting on the $i$th particle. The distribution function $\tilde{G}(z_p, z_h)$ measures the probability of finding a particle at the coordinate $z_p$ while leaving a hole of opposite spin in the ground state with the coordinate $z_h$. We show the laser-induced particle-hole dynamics by snapshots of the deviation from the initial-state particle-hole distribution (Fig. 7) at times marked in Fig. 6(a) and in the inset of Fig. 4(c). The snapshot at $\tau = 0.2$ shows the polarization of the atom under the influence of the first weak peak of the two-cycle laser pulse [see the inset of Fig. 4(c)]. Since the negatively charged particles and the positive holes move under the influence of the electric field in opposite directions, the polarized joint particle-hole distribution is displaced to negative (positive) $z_p$ and positive (negative) $z_h$. The subsequent peak of the laser pulse at $\tau = 0.5$ coincides with the onset of ionization, eventually leading to the creation of high-harmonic radiation upon recollision with the parent ion around the time $\tau = 1.0$. While the dependence of the joint particle-hole distribution on the particle coordinate closely mirrors the excursion from and return to the core as predicted by the (one-particle) three-step model, the residual hole does not remain frozen but dynamically responds to the motion of the ionized particle and the external field. The hole coordinate performs oscillations phase shifted by $\pi$ with respect to the particle coordinate, thereby enhancing the nonlinear dipole response of the atom [Figs. 7(b) and 7(c)]. Near the end of the laser pulse at $\tau = 1.8$ the particle-hole distribution deviates from its initial state predominantly due to ionized electrons missing in the outer shell with $|z_p| > 1$. Conversely, these ionized electrons have created holes that enhance the particle-hole distribution near the core ($|z_p| < 1$).

### B. Neon

High-harmonic generation in neon is of great importance as it is used as the workhorse for the generation of attosecond pulses [58]. Compared to Be, the Ne atom has a much larger ionization energy, requiring larger laser intensities to obtain a similar amount of ionization and high-harmonic radiation. At the same time, the harmonic cutoff is shifted to much higher frequencies, allowing for generation of extreme ultraviolet pulses with energies of up to 100 eV [58]. *Ab initio* descriptions of this process are considerably more challenging as up to ten active electrons need to be treated. To simulate HHG in Ne we use a two-cycle laser pulse with $I = 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ leading to a survival probability of about 90%, which is significantly larger than 1% for beryllium with $I = 4 \times 10^{14}$ W/cm$^2$ [Fig. 4(c)]. The small ionization yield for Ne is also reflected in the occupation numbers of the natural orbitals that do not strongly change over time. This allows the present TD-2RDM simulation to be performed even without purification. The shape of the laser pulse is the same as depicted in the inset of Fig. 4(c).

The dipole moment we obtain within the TD-2RDM method is in excellent agreement with the MCTDHF calcu-

FIG. 8. (a) Time evolution of the dipole moment and (b) high-harmonic spectrum of Ne subject to a two-cycle laser pulse with $I = 10^{15}$ W/cm$^2$ and $\lambda = 800$ nm. The form of the laser pulse is depicted in the inset of Fig. 4(c). The TD-2RDM method employing the contraction consistent Nakatsuji-Yasuda reconstruction is compared to the MCTDHF and TDHF methods as well as TDDFT using the local density approximation.
Again, the peak structure of the laser pulse is reflected in the two excursions clearly visible in the time-frequency spectrum [Fig. 8(b)] as well as the time-frequency spectrum of the emitted high-harmonic radiation [Fig. 9]. Qualitatively, the response of the dipole moment of Ne shows a similar slope starting at $\tau \approx 1$ as for the Be atom [Fig. 4(c)]. This slope signifies the large excursion of the ionized electron driven by the peak electric field near $r \approx 1$. The slope in the dipole response and therefore ionization is larger for TDDFT and smaller for the TDHF approximation as compared to the MCTDHF result [Fig. 8(a)]. This is reflected also in the high-harmonic spectrum [Fig. 8(b)]. The higher ionization rate of TDDFT leads to a stronger HHG signal compared to the MCTDHF and TDHF methods. In principle, the high-harmonic yield [Eq. (39)] also depends on the recombination probability. However, since in the present case ionization is small, the dependence on the recombination probability is less important.

We find almost perfect agreement between the TD-2RDM method and the MCTDHF method for the total high-harmonic spectrum [Fig. 8(b)] as well as the time-frequency spectrum (Fig. 9). Again, the peak structure of the laser pulse is reflected in the two excursions clearly visible in the time-frequency spectrum. Whereas recollisions following the first excursion are high in energy, their intensity is modest due to the small amount of ionization taking place during the first oscillation of the laser pulse. Recollisions following the second excursion, while lower in energy, feature a higher intensity due to the strong ionization during the strong central peak of the laser pulse.

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In this work we have presented a full 3D simulation of the electronic response of Be and Ne atoms in strong laser pulses using the TD-2RDM method. In particular we have focused on the high-harmonic spectrum obtained from state-of-the-art MCTDHF calculations as an observable to stringently benchmark the accuracy of the TD-2RDM method. The successful application of the TD-2RDM method relies strongly on the reconstruction of the 3RDM entering the closure of the equations of motion for the 2RDM. We compared several reconstructions, including second-order reconstructions such as the Nakatsuji-Yasuda [45] and the Mazzotti reconstruction [46]. We found that the Nakatsuji-Yasuda reconstruction in combination with the enforcement of contraction consistency gives the most accurate results for the systems under investigation. In general, we found that contraction consistency of the reconstructed 3RDM not only is necessary for the conservation of symmetries in the propagation of the 2RDM but also improves the accuracy of the reconstruction significantly. The results obtained within the TD-2RDM method for the time-dependent atomic polarization as well as for the high-harmonic spectra of Be and Ne are in excellent agreement with MCTDHF reference calculations for a variety of different laser durations and intensities. We have used the present TD-2RDM description to identify the influence of electronic correlations on the HHG process. We investigated the two-particle correlation functions describing the particle-hole dynamics controlling the harmonic emission. These two-point observables are, unlike in mean-field descriptions such as the TDHF approximation and TDDFT, directly accessible. The influence of correlation also manifests itself by the significant differences to the HHG spectra calculated by the TDHF approximation and TDDFT. In particular, the HHG spectrum of Be in a strongly ionizing laser pulse shows a pronounced overestimation of the HHG yield in the cutoff region if correlation is neglected. This effect can be accurately accounted for within the TD-2RDM method. Furthermore, we have calculated the time-frequency spectrum of the emitted high-harmonic radiation. By comparing it to predictions of the three-step model, the origin of the correlation-induced suppression of the high-harmonic intensity can be delineated. Extensions to larger systems as well as further improvement of the 3RDM reconstruction by including second-order corrections in all elements are left for future investigation.
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APPENDIX A: SPIN-BLOCK CONTRACTIONS OF THE 3RDM

The formulation of contraction consistency introduced in Sec. III A [Eq. (12)] is sufficient to ensure energy conservation. For the proper conservation of spin symmetries $\langle S_z^2|\Psi\rangle = S_z|\Psi\rangle = 0$, contraction consistency must be extended to
relations for the individual 3RDM spin blocks. In detail, the necessary relations are given by
\[
\sum_{m} D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}m}^{ij} = D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}^{ij}, \
\sum_{m} D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}m}^{ij} = D_{\mu_{1}}^{ij}, \
\sum_{m} D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}m}^{ij} = \frac{N}{2} D_{\mu_{1}}^{ij}, \
\sum_{m} D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}m}^{ij} = \frac{N}{2} D_{\mu_{1}}^{ij}. \
\] (A1)
(A2)
(A3)
(A4)
These relations can be derived by using \( S^{2} |\Psi\rangle = S_{c} |\Psi\rangle = 0 \) (see [43] for further details).

**APPENDIX B: ORBITAL EXPANSION**

The numerical implementation of the TD-2RDM method for strong-field processes requires the expansion of the 2RDM within a suitable set of \( 2r \) time-dependent spin orbitals \( \phi_{i}(r, t) = \phi_{i}(r, t) \otimes |\sigma\rangle \),
\[
D(x_{1}x_{2}; x'_{1}x'_{2}; t) = \sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2}}^{2r} D_{i_{1}i_{2}}^{j_{1}j_{2}}(t) \phi_{i_{1}}(x_{1}; t) \phi_{i_{2}}(x_{2}; t) \times \phi_{j_{1}}^{\ast}(x_{1}; t) \phi_{j_{2}}^{\ast}(x_{2}; t),
\] (B1)
where we merge the spin \( \sigma \in \{\uparrow, \downarrow\} \) and orbital indices \( i \in \{1, \ldots, r\} \). Such an expansion is essential to cover the large simulation box necessary to describe electrons with large excursion radii. For simplicity, we drop here and in the following the labels for the \( p \)RDM when using the spin-orbital representation, i.e., \( D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}^{ij} = D_{ij}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \) and \( D_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}^{ij} = D_{ij}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} \), since the order \( p \) is already uniquely characterized by the orbital-index set. A convenient choice for the description of the orbital dynamics is the orbital equation of motion of the MCTDHF method
\[
i_{0} \partial_{t} \phi_{i}(r, t) = H(t) \phi_{i}(r, t) + \hat{Q} \left( \sum_{u} \hat{G}_{u}(r, t) [D^{-1}]_{iu}^{uj} \right), \tag{B2}
\]
where
\[
\hat{Q} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2r} |\phi_{i}\rangle \langle \phi_{i}| \tag{B3}
\]
is the orbital projection operator ensuring unitary time evolution of the basis orbitals, \([D^{-1}]_{iu}^{uj}\) is the inverse of the 1RDM in the orbital representation, and
\[
\hat{G}_{u}(r, t) = \sum_{xw} D_{u}^{xw} \phi_{x}(r, t) \int \frac{\phi_{w}^{\ast}(r', t)}{|r - r'|} dr'. \tag{B4}
\]
represents electron-electron interactions. This last term couples the time evolution of the orbitals to the time evolution of the 2RDM. In this work we focus on closed-shell systems (Be and Ne atoms). For these systems the ground-state wave function is a singlet state and remains, in the absence of spin-orbit interactions, a spin singlet state during propagation. In this case the \( \{\uparrow \downarrow\} \) block of the 2RDM contains all information on the full 2RDM [43] and the corresponding equation of motion reduces to
\[
i_{0} \partial_{t} D_{i_{1}j_{1}i_{2}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} = \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}} (H_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{k_{1}k_{2}} D_{i_{1}i_{2}k_{1}k_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} - D_{i_{1}i_{2}k_{1}k_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} H_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{k_{1}k_{2}}) + C_{i_{1}j_{1}i_{2}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}, \tag{B5}
\]
where \( H_{j_{1}j_{2}}^{k_{1}k_{2}} \) are the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian [Eq. (7)] in the basis of spatial orbitals. Having to propagate only the \( \{\uparrow \downarrow\} \) block of the 2RDM significantly reduces the numerical effort because the \( \{\uparrow \uparrow\} \) block of the collision operator can be written solely in terms of the \( \{\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow\} \) block of the 3RDM
\[
C_{i_{1}j_{1}i_{2}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} = I_{i_{1}j_{1}i_{2}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} + I_{i_{2}i_{1}j_{2}j_{1}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} - (I_{i_{1}i_{2}j_{1}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} + I_{i_{2}i_{1}j_{1}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}})^{\ast}, \tag{B6}
\]
with
\[
I_{i_{1}j_{1}i_{2}j_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} = \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}} W_{j_{1}j_{2}k_{1}}^{k_{2}k_{3}} (D_{i_{1}i_{2}k_{1}k_{2}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}} + D_{i_{2}i_{1}k_{2}k_{1}}^{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}). \tag{B7}
\]

**APPENDIX C: APPROXIMATING THE TD-2RDM GROUND STATE**

The ground states of the MCTDHF method and of the TD-2RDM method, which serve as initial states of the propagation, are identical only if the exact collision kernel \( C_{12}^{r} [D_{12}^{r}] \) is used in the equation of motion for the 2RDM [Eq. (6)]. When the equation of motion is closed by employing an approximate collision kernel \( C_{12}^{r} [D_{12}^{r}] \) based on the reconstruction \( D_{12}^{r} \) [Eq. (11)], the exact initial state \( D_{12}^{r} = 0 \) is, in general, not a stationary solution of Eq. (11). Consequently, the admixture of excitations relative to the ground state of Eq. (11) leads to oscillations and additional artificial “harmonic components” (Fig. 10). For accurate reconstruction functionals such as used here, the deviations from the true ground state and thus the admixture of excitations are small (note the logarithmic scale). The approximation can be further improved by projecting out the small admixtures of excitations to the ground state

![FIG. 10. Time-frequency spectrum of the emitted high-harmonic radiation of Ne subject to a two-cycle laser pulse with \( I = 10^{13} \) W/cm² and \( \lambda = 800 \) nm calculated within (a) the TD-2RDM method using the MCTDHF ground state as the initial state and (b) the MCTDHF method. The noise contribution present near the 50th harmonic arises from unphysical excitations present in the “wrong” ground state. The spectrogram has been calculated employing the same method as in Fig. 9.](033414-11)
prior to the propagation in the laser field. This is most easily accomplished by field-free propagation [Eq. (11)] of the MCTDHF ground state and performing the time average
\[ \bar{D}_{12} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T D_{12}(t) dt \]  
over a period \( T \) sufficiently long compared to the dominant inverse excitation frequencies \( T \gg 2\pi/\omega_{\text{excitation}} \). This leads to an improved approximation to the proper ground state of the TD-2RDM method and to removal of the residual unphysical oscillations [compare Fig. 9(a) with Fig. 10(a)]. In the present case we perform the time average over a time interval of \( T = 40 \) atomic units. Alternatively, one could employ well-established algorithms to directly solve the anti-Hermitian contracted Schrödinger equation [51] to obtain the proper ground state of the TD-2RDM method.

\[ \bar{D}_{12} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T D_{12}(t) dt \]  

---
