


University of Niš
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering



PROCEEDINGS

XVIII SCIENTIFIC-EXPERT CONFERENCE ON RAILWAYS RAILCON '18



October 11 - 12, 2018, Niš, Serbia

Publisher

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Niš
Prof. Nenad T. Pavlović, Dean

Editor

Prof. Dušan Stamenković
Assis. Prof. Milan Banić

Technical preparation

Aleksandar Miltenović
Miodrag Milčić
Nataša Zdravković
Vukašin Pavlović

Cover design

Prof. Miloš Milošević

Number of copies

150

Printing

SVEN DOO, Niš

CIP - Каталогизacija у публикацији
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

629.4(082)
656.2(082)
625.1(082)
338.47(497.11)(082)

SCIENTIFIC-Expert Conference of Railways
(18 ; 2018 ; Niš)


Proceedings / XVIII Scientific-Expert Conference on
Railways - RAILCON
'18, October 11-12, 2018, Niš ; [editor Milan Banić,
Dušan Stamenković]. -
Niš : Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, 2018 (Niš :
Sven). - XVII, 272
str. : ilustr. ; 24 cm

Tiraž 150. - Napomene uz tekst. -
Bibliografija uz svaki rad. - Registar.

ISBN 978-86-6055-105-6

- a) Железничка возила - Зборници
- b) Железнички саобраћај - Зборници
- c) Железничке пруге - Зборници
- d) Србија - Саобраћајна политика

COBISS.SR-ID 268308492



9 788660 551056 >

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia has participated in printing costs of the Proceedings of the XVIII International Scientific-Expert Conference on Railways - **RAILCON 2018**

All the publications in these Proceedings have the authorship, whereas the authors of the papers carry entire responsibility for originality and content.



University of Niš

XVIII

SCIENTIFIC-EXPERT
CONFERENCE ON
RAILWAYS

RAILCON '18



Faculty of Mechanical
Engineering

Program Committee

Prof. Miloš Milošević, President, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Boban Anđelković, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Dobrinka Atmadžova, Todor Kableskov University of Transport, Sofia, Bulgaria
 Prof. Nebojša Bojović, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Traffic Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Branislav Bošković, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Traffic Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Miroslav Đurđanović, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Niš, Serbia
 Prof. Dragan Đurđanović, University of Texas at Austin, Mechanical Engineering, USA
 Prof. Ratko Đuričić, Faculty of Traffic Engineering Doboj, R. Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina
 Doc. Juraj Grenčík, University of Žilina, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovakia
 Prof. Daniel Kalinčák, University of Žilina, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovakia
 Prof. Dragutin Kostić, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Traffic Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Vojkan Lučanin, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Dragomir Mandić, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Traffic Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Dragan Marinković, Technical University Berlin, Dep. Of Structural Analysis, Germany
 Andrea Mazzone, PhD, Bombardier Transportation, Switzerland
 Prof. Dragan Milčić, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Rešad Nuhodžić, PhD, Ministry of Sustainable Development & Tourism, Montenegro
 Prof. Nenad T. Pavlović, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Dragan Petrović, Uni. of Kragujevac, Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Eng., Kraljevo, Serbia
 Prof. Mihaela Popa, Polytechnic University of Bucharest, Romania
 Prof. Zdenka Popović, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Serbia
 Prof. Christian Schindler, RWTH Aachen, Institute of Rail Vehicles and Transport, Germany
 Privat doz. Andreas Schöbel, Technical University Wien, Austria
 Prof. Dušan Stamenković, University of Niš, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Serbia
 Sanja Šolić, PhD, Center for Track Systems, Croatia
 Prof. Kiril Velkov, Technical University of Sofia, Faculty of Transport, Bulgaria

Organizing Committee

Milan Banić, PhD, President
 Aleksandar Miltenović, PhD
 Miroslav Mijajlović, PhD
 Miodrag Milčić
 Nataša Zdravković
 Vukašin Pavlović
 Srđan Mladenović
 Dušanka Nikolić
 Vesna Grozdanović, technical secretary

d in
ys -

urry

Patron

Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Republic of Serbia
Serbian Chamber of Engineers

Cofinanciers

ALTPRO
HASLER RAIL
DB ENGINEERING & CONSULTING
INSTITUTE „MIHAJLO PUPIN”
KRAIBURG STRAIL
PANDROL UK
SIGNALLING & CONTROL
THALES
OPEN TRACK
DRAGON MARITIME SEE

| | | | |
|-----|-------|---|-----|
| 121 | 5.1. | REPLACEMENT OF SHORT-HAUL FLIGHTS BY TRAINS - REQUIREMENTS FOR AIRPORT TRAINS | 161 |
| | | Bernhard RÜGER | |
| 125 | | Vienna University of Technology & St. Pölten University of Applied Sciences, Austria | |
| | | Christian ALBL, ÖBB-Holdung, Wien, Austria | |
| 129 | 5.2. | RISK ANALYSIS OF INVESTMENT PROJECTS IN SERBIA RAILWAY TRANSPORT | 165 |
| | | Vasko VASSILEV | |
| | | Todor Kableshkov University of Transport, Sofia, Bulgaria | |
| | | Predrag JOVANOVIĆ | |
| 133 | | Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| | 5.3. | DEVELOPMENT OF COTIF'S TECHNICAL UNIFORM RULES FOR THE INTERNATIONAL OPERATION OF RAILWAY VEHICLES | 169 |
| | | Bas LEERMAKERS, Dragan NEŠIĆ | |
| | | OTIF Secretariat, Bern, Switzerland | |
| 137 | 5.4. | COMPETITION OF CORRIDOR X AND CORRIDOR IV – GAME THEORY APPROACH | 173 |
| | | Miroslav PROKIĆ, Branislav BOŠKOVIĆ | |
| | | Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| | 5.5. | HOW TO RECONCILE ENERGY REGULATION AND TRACTION CURRENT SETTLEMENT SYSTEM IN SERBIAN RAILWAY SECTOR | 177 |
| 141 | | Miloš STANOJEVIĆ, Mirjana BUGARINOVIĆ | |
| | | Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| | 5.6. | DO TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AND RAILWAY MARKET COMPETITIVENESS GO HAND BY HAND – DISCUSSION OF SERBIAN RAILWAYS | 181 |
| 145 | | Jakša POPOVIĆ, ASV export-import LLC, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| | | Mirjana BUGARINOVIĆ | |
| | | Faculty of Transport and Traffic Engineering, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| | 5.7. | PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF THE ŽRS ROLLING STOCK STRUCTURE IN CHANGED CONDITIONS OF TRANSPORT MARKET | 185 |
| | | Rade CVIJANOVIĆ, Rade BLAGOJEVIĆ | |
| 149 | | Railways of Republic of Srpska, Doboj, Republic of Srpska, BIH | |
| | | Vladimir MALČIĆ, Ratko ĐURIČIĆ | |
| | | Traffic College Doboj, East Sarajevo University, Republic of Srpska, BIH | |
| | 5.8. | ERTMS DEPLOYMENT ACROSS EUROPE: STATE OF PLAY, MAIN CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PLANS | 189 |
| | | Jasmina STANIŠIĆ, Nikola RISTIĆ, Bojan VOZAR | |
| | | DB Engineering & Consulting, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| 153 | 5.9. | DIGITALISATION OF THE RAILWAYS, WHERE ARE WE NOW? | 193 |
| | | Bojan VOZAR, Nikola RISTIĆ, Jasmina STANIŠIĆ | |
| | | DB Engineering & Consulting, Belgrade, Serbia | |
| 157 | 5.10. | ANALYSIS OF THE FACTORS INFLUENCING THE EFFICIENCY OF PASSENGER RAILWAY TRANSPORT IN BULGARIA | 197 |
| | | Oleg KRASTEV, Kiril VELKOV | |
| | | TU Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria | |
| | | Borislav ARNAUDOV, Tashko MINKOV | |
| | | University of National and World Economy – Sofia, Bulgaria | |
| | | Ivan PETROV | |
| | | Todor Kableshkov University of Transport, Sofia, Bulgaria | |

REPLACEMENT OF SHORT-HAUL FLIGHTS BY TRAINS - REQUIREMENTS FOR AIRPORT TRAINS

Bernhard RÜGER¹
Christian ALBL²

Abstract – Because of ecological and economical reasons there are numerous co-operations between airlines and railways, especially in replacing short-distance feeder flights by trains. However, these co-operations are not by far in a position to tap the full passenger potential because they are in many cases not sufficiently attractive. For example, currently there is no possibility to check in and drop off luggage during the time of rail journeys to the airport. For 80% of passenger luggage transport is the main criteria not to choose the train. Missing service features related to luggage transport lead to the situation that a big proportion of the air passengers travel to the airport by car or prefer inland feeder flights. For approx. 75% of air passengers an interesting and important aspect would be the possibility to check in luggage in the airport transfer train. The realization of this luggage deposit system would exert its influence on the modal-split-behaviour of and be an important attraction to accept train in long-distance traffic as an airport transfer. The objective of the project Terminal On Rail is to develop an overall airport transfer system for railway long-distance traffic, under the consideration of all technical-logistical challenges with regard to the possible luggage drop off in train and interfaces to the airport. This facilitates an efficient use of the travel time and ensures obtaining definitely attractive airport transfer trains. As outcome of the project the paper will focus on passenger requirements for airport feeder trains and will give an overview of options for baggage drop off inside the train during the train ride.

Keywords – intermodal transportation, airport trains, baggage handling.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to reach the EU international community of nations demand for a limitation in temperature rise by 2050 in the EU, greenhouse gas emissions in the transport sector must be lowered by at least 60% compared with 1990 and around 70% compared with 2008. By 2030 a reduction of about 20% below the level of 2008 is required.¹ Taking into account the assumption that mobility will continue to increase and as a result the volume of traffic will increase, a reduction in greenhouse gases can only be achieved by an increased use of environmental- and resource-conserving modes of transport. For this purpose, the European Commission has defined ten objectives for the transport sector in a White Paper titled "Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area - Towards a Competitively Oriented and Resource-Conserving Transport System". One of these objectives targets long-haul passenger traffic and proposes the following measures:

- Completion of a European high-speed

railway network by 2050;

- Tripling the length of the existing network by 2030 and maintenance of a dense rail network in all member states;
- By 2050 the majority of passenger transport over middle distances should be allotted to the railway.

In order to be able to meet these objectives as much as possible, one feasible approach is to shift to the train (ultra) short-haul flights, which usually have a feeder function to medium- and long-haul flights. In this regard, there are a variety of cooperation possibilities between the aviation and railway sectors.

Parallel to this, the political objectives in the field of air traffic are formulated in "FlightPath 2050" published by the European Commission in 2011.³ In this strategy paper, in addition to societal objectives (for 90% of EU citizens a door-to-door four hour connection should be possible by 2050), environmental protection measures are also formulated (reduction of emissions despite projected growth in the aviation

¹ Vienna University of Technology & St.Pölten University of Applied Sciences, Austria, Karlsplatz 13/230-2, A-1040 Wien & Matthias Corvinus-Straße 15, 3100 St. Pölten; bernhard.rueger@tuwien.ac.at & bernhard.rueger@fhstp.ac.at

² ÖBB-Holding, Am Hauptbahnhof 2, 1110 Wien, christian.albl@oebb.at

industry). This is a subject of discussion by European industrial leadership who also demand preservation of the already very high safety level in Europe despite the increase in air traffic. These objectives are currently being processed and implemented within the framework of European research programmes (such as JTI CleanSky - fuel efficiency and noise reduction and SESAR - "common" European airspace).

2. INTERMODAL COOPERATION CONCEPTS BETWEEN TRAIN AND AIRCRAFT

A good transport connection is an important attractive feature for airports. At present, around 130 of all airports worldwide have rail connections, with further railway connections being planned. Originally, rail connection played only a limited role, which mostly involved only local transport and primarily connected city centres and the surrounding areas with airports. It has only been in the past few years that concepts have been implemented to connect city centres to airports, which have made rapid connections possible (e.g. Heathrow Express in London), and in some cases also connections providing service functions such as check-in or luggage check-in (e.g. the CAT in Vienna).

With the advent of high-speed rail transport, new opportunities for trains were created with regard to their competitive relationship to aircraft. The shorter travel times allowed the train to compete directly with aircraft at distances between 350 km and 750 km. From this competition situation, cooperation comprised of a combined offer between train and aircraft also developed to some extent. A distinction is made in the literature between the following forms of the relationship between train and aircraft:

2.1 Competition, Cooperation and Integration

The two points "cooperation" and "integration" are the forms of intermodal traffic between train and aircraft which are relevant for airport connections. Different forms of cooperation between railway companies (RCs) and airlines are being developed, which are intended to provide passenger-aligned services. This can include, for example, the area of luggage transport, check-in, ticketing, information and security services. Depending on the degree of cooperation, the offers may be classified as follows:

low: This type of cooperation is intended to provide travellers with a fast and congestion-free arrival to or departure from the airport. This includes, for example, the sale of train tickets by the airline (e.g. Rail&Fly - Germany).

moderate: This form of integration usually involves codeshare agreements between the RC and the

airline. In addition to the train number, the respective train is assigned its own flight number and is distributed by both parties. The advantage for the traveller is that in case of late arrivals, the necessary measures such as rebooking are carried out by the airline or the RC (e.g. tgvair - France).

high: A higher form of integration in addition to the aforementioned points also includes luggage transport or separate areas in the train for business- and first-class passengers (e.g. AIRail - Germany, up to 2007)

Tab.1. A comparison of the included service features of the described models

| Intermodal offer | Rail& Fly (DB) | Check-in at train station (SBB) | tgvair (SNCF) | AIRail (DB) |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Train ticket sales by airlines | X | | | |
| Codeshare - agreement (own flight number for train) | | | X | X |
| Check-in at departure train station | | X | X ¹ | X |
| Luggage check-in at departure train station | | X | | (X) ² |
| Guarantee in case of late arrival | | | X | X |
| Separate area in the train and catering | | | | X |

3. INFLUENCE OF LUGGAGE ON THE CHOICE OF TRANSPORT MODE

The transport of luggage is an essential decision-making criterion for the choice of transport mode. Despite increasing costs in the area of motor vehicle traffic or increasing traffic problems, the auto still enjoys unwavering popularity, with feeder traffic to the airport as well, above all due to luggage transport when travelling. The reason is that compared to all other elasticities, elasticity with luggage is highly valued.

Tab.2. Travel elasticity in Austrian holiday travel traffic - comparison (Rüger, 2005)

| | |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Luggage | 0.685 |
| Mobility to destination | 0.655 |
| Travel cost | 0.630 |
| Transfer | 0.469 |
| Travel time | 0.386 |

The thereto by comparison lower cost and travel

¹ just for a view airlines.

² Service was offered till 2007.

time elasticity presupposes that changes in travel cost whether these are: cost increases for passenger-car traffic, cost reductions for train traffic as well as alterations in travel time, prolongation with passenger-car traffic or expediting measures with public transport; in all cases of travel in which luggage is transported, these have a correspondingly lower effect than measures relating to luggage transport.

Thus, for example, for 82% of winter holidaymakers travelling by auto in Austria, travel luggage is a major reason for the choice of the auto during holiday travel, whereas for only 55% the cost and for 40% the travel time have a decisive influence. These findings apply analogously in feeder traffic to airports.

In the case of air travel, the luggage for example, strongly influences the choice of transport mode for arrival at the airport. Above all in the case of transport of larger and heavier pieces of luggage, airport taxi services or private autos are chosen depending on the distance to the airport. The train is then preferred if little or no luggage is taken. Conversely, travellers in intermodal traffic (rail- air-traffic) are prepared to pay the most for luggage transport services compared to all other services.

4. WISHES FOR AIRPORT FEEDER TRAINS

In the research projects "Gepäcklos" and "TerminalAufSchiene", among other things, the wishes and needs of AIRail passengers for appropriate feeder trains were compiled separately.




Fig.1. Importance of diverse product features for airport feeder trains (Albl, 2015)

In addition to the connection guarantee in the sense of assurance of connections or travel alternatives, which are offered in the above-mentioned cooperation and integration systems, above all the factors: "short travel time", "check-in on the train" and "luggage check-in on the train" play an important role in regard to the attractiveness and increased choice of the train in feeder traffic to airports. All three criteria are evaluated on average as "rather important", with an average score of 2.9 to 3.3 on a scale from 1 (not important) to 4 (very important) (see Figure 1).

For approx. 70% of travellers arriving at the airport, the possibilities for handing over luggage and at the

same time checking in on the train are important (for 40% of them even "very" important) and would therefore be a significant reason for the decision to choose the train as a mode of transport to the airport. For over 80% of travellers the shorter travel time is correspondingly important (see Figure 2).




Fig.2. Importance of luggage drop off and check-in on the train (Albl, 2015)

For a short travel time, in addition to high travel speed and short stop-over time, an efficiently used travel time is also important. This can be achieved by relocating activities at the airport (e.g. check-in and luggage check-in) to the train. For this, an appropriate interior design in an airport feeder train is necessary. With regard to check-in service, it must be taken into account that through the use of new media, the classic check-in at the counter is being increasingly replaced by web check-in or mobile check-in. It is to be assumed that check-in at the counter is predominantly used in connection with luggage check-in. However, the possibility of check-in on the train is rated as similarly important to the possibility of luggage check-in on the train.




Fig.3. Influence of diverse criteria on the choice of transport mode for airport feeder traffic (Albl, 2015)

Regarding the influencing criteria: whether in the future appropriate airport feeder trains in long-haul transport will be chosen, 65% of travellers indicate that the possibility of luggage check-in on the train would have an influence on behaviour in the choice of

transport mode. For more than 25% this possibility would have a great influence. In terms of travel time, over 80% of travellers say that a shorter travel time would have an influence on the future choice of the transport mode for arrival to flights and for 45% travel time for arrival would have a large influence (see Figure 3). It is important to take into account that travel time for arrival is not the only travel time to the airport, but is to be considered as a whole-time requirement for door-to-door mobility.

5. CONCLUSION

The studies show that there is a great interest among air travellers in using the train for arrival to the airport. Attractive service features tailored to air travellers are essential for the acceptance of the train. These include: on the one hand, already known services and in many cases services that have already been implemented by many providers in the area of "connection guarantees". On the other hand, it is clear that above all luggage transport has a major influence on the choice of the transport mode, also in terms of airport arrival behaviour. In this area, there are to date few or no suitable service concepts that ensure the attractiveness of the train to a sufficient degree. At the same time, it is clear that from today's point of view, effective innovative concepts such as luggage check-in during train travel to the airport would create corresponding interest and acceptance by air travellers and would have a deciding influence on the choice of transport mode.

If the aim is to transfer a large part of intra-European short-haul air-feeder traffic as well as the airport-feeder traffic overall to the train, it is essential to develop innovative service concepts that are from the traveller's point of view, highly attractive and go beyond what is offered today.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is outcome of the projects "GepäckLoS" and "TerminalAufSchiene" funded by the Austrian Ministry of Transportation, Innovation and Technology in the funding programs Mobility of the Future and Take Off.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albl, Ch.; Innovative airport feeder in long-distance traffic - requirements and passenger needs (Original in German: Innovativer Flughafen Zubringer im Fernverkehr - Anforderungen und Reisendenbedürfnisse), Master Theses at St. Pölten University of Applied Sciences, 2015.
- [2] Chiambaretto, P.; Decker, Ch.; Air-rail intermodal agreements: Balancing the competition and environmental effects; *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Volume 23, 2012, S. 36-40.
- [3] Chiambaretto, P.; Baudelaire, C.; Lavril, T.; Measuring the willingness-to-pay of air-rail intermodal passengers; *Journal of Air Transport Management*, Volume 26, 2013, S. 50-54.
- [4] Delfmann, W.; Strategic Management in the Aviation Industry, Hampshire/Burlington, 2005.
- [5] European Commission - Directorate-General for Energy and Transport; Air and Rail Competition and Complementarity – Final Report, 2006, S. 6.
- [6] European Commission: Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions - Roadmap for the transition to a competitive low-carbon economy by 2050, 2011a.
- [7] European Commission: Survey on passengers' satisfaction with rail services – Analytical report, 2011b.
- [8] European Commission: White Book. Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area - Towards a competitive and resource-efficient transport system, 2011c.
- [9] GepäckLoS, Final Report project GepäckLoS, Exploratory Project in Mobility of the Future, 2.Call, FFG
- [10] Givoni, M.; Banister, D.; Airline and railway integration; *Transport Policy*, Volume 13, 2006, S. 386-397.
- [11] Givoni, M.; Banister, D.; Role of the Railways in the Future of Air Transport; *Transportation Planning and Technology*, Volume 30, 2008, S. 95-112.
- [12] Givoni, M.; Benefits to Airlines from Using High-Speed Train Services on Routes from a Hub Airport; *Airlines Magazine e-zine edition*, Issue 34, S. 1-4.
- [13] Jorritsma, P.; Substitution Opportunities of High Speed Train for Air Transport; *Airlines e-zine edition*, Issue 43, 2009, S. 1-4.
- [14] Lijesen, M.G.; Terpstra, I.; High-speed Train as a Feeder for Air Transport; *Airlines e-zine edition*, Issue 49, 2011, S. 1-5.
- [15] Reis, V.; Meier, J.F.; Pace, G.; Palacin, R.; Rail and multi-modal transport; *Research in Transportation Economics*, Volume 41, 2013, S. 17-30.
- [16] Rüger, B.; Bereitschaftselastizität – Empirische Ermittlung zum Verkehrsmittelwahlverhalten. In *Verkehrswissenschaftliche Tage*; Dresden, 2005.
- [17] Rüger, B.; Baggage Transport in Railways (Original in German: Reisegepäck im Eisenbahnverkehr); PhD-Theses, TU-Wien, 2004
- [18] Yang, H.; Zhang, A.; Effects of high-speed rail and air transport competition on prices, profits and welfare; *Transportation Research, Part B* 46, 2012, S. 1322-1333.