Industrial excess heat and district heating: potentials and costs for the EU-28 on the basis of network analysis
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Abstract
Many studies see district heating as an important measure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the building sector. In this context, industrial excess heat can contribute to the provision of cost-effective heat for district heating networks with a low CO₂-footprint. The potential of excess heat to be used for district heating depends, among other things, on the amount of energy available from the supplying factories, the seasonal profiles and the distances to possible district heating areas, which in turn determine possible transport costs for the heat. Here, we present a new method to estimate the costs of supplying industrial excess heat to potential district heating areas by calculating potential pipeline connections based on network analysis. As a first step, we map areas with district heating potential. In the second step, we calculate how much industrial excess heat can be provided to supply these areas. To do this, we use a database of georeferenced industrial sites containing information on the amount of excess heat (1,058 sites with a total of 94 TWh). In addition, we use a heuristic model to design networks for heat transport between excess heat sources and district heating areas. On this basis, the investments for the necessary infrastructure are estimated. We take into account investments and operating costs for pipelines and heat exchangers as well as heat losses. This results in costs for transporting the excess heat to the district heating areas. For our analysis, we vary the parameters in our model and thus generate cost-potential curves to show how much excess heat can be transported to the district heating areas at which costs. For the EU-28, our calculation shows that about 34 TWh of excess heat could be provided for transport costs of up to 0.75 ct./kWh. This corresponds to about 36 % of the excess heat in the data set. A further 13 % can be provided at costs of up to 1.5 ct/kWh. In total, about 54 % of the excess heat can be provided for costs of up to 2 ct./kWh.

Introduction
About 70 % of energy consumption in industry is due to the provision of process heat, resulting in large amounts of unused excess heat. From a technical point of view, excess heat can be described as unwanted heat generated by an industrial process (Pehnt 2010). From a social point of view, it can be described as heat which is a by-product of industrial processes and currently not utilized, but which could be used for society and industry in the future (Broberg Viklund and Johansson 2014). Industrial excess heat is generated by inefficiencies of plants and processes as well as by thermodynamic constraints (cf. Hirzel 2013, Johnson et al. 2008). One example of plant inefficiencies is the lack of insulation to reduce heat losses. Thermodynamic limitations, on the other hand, result from process characteristics. One example is the melting of aluminium in flame furnaces. Exhaust gases leaving the furnace can reach temperatures of up to 1,300 °C. As a result, the waste gases contain a high heat content, which accounts for up to 60 % of the energy input of the furnace. An energy efficiency measure for flame furnaces would therefore be to strive for lower exhaust gas temperatures, e.g. through better heat transfer in the furnace. But even in this case, the laws of thermodynamics prescribe a lower limit for the exhaust gas temperature.
During heat exchange in the furnace, energy is transferred from a high temperature source to a low temperature sink. To achieve melting of the aluminium, the combustion gas temperature must always be above the melting point for aluminium, otherwise no melt is produced. The melting point of aluminium is between 650 and 750 °C, consequently the lower limit for the exhaust gas temperature is also in this range. In relation to the energy input in the furnace, at an exhaust gas temperature in this range at least 40 % of the energy fed into the furnace would still be lost as excess heat. The type of kiln and the function therefore specifies a minimal amount of excess heat in the exhaust gas due to thermodynamic restrictions. However, this excess heat could be made available to other processes and the unused industrial excess heat would thus be reduced overall.

In Europe, industrial excess heat utilisation is not new. For example, Bergmeier (2003) summarizes the history of waste energy recovery in Germany, starting in 1920’s. Already in the 1920’s, numerous journals dealt with the topic of “heat management” and in this context measures to increase energy efficiency by using industrial excess heat were discussed. Bergmeier (2003) concludes that in the past, fewer technical barriers were decisive for the wider use of excess heat. Rather, the barriers were always economic, social and political barriers that stood in the way of a broader use.

**Excess heat in the context of district heating**

For the use of industrial excess heat, different measures can be weighed up against each other in terms of economic efficiency and environmental friendliness. In engineering practice, a staged approach is used, which prioritises the different possibilities as shown below:

1. Avoiding the generation of excess heat.
2. In-process use of excess heat (by heat transfer).
3. Factory-internal use of excess heat (by heat transfer).
4. Conversion of excess heat into other media (e.g. electricity, cooling, compressed air), or factory-external use of the excess heat.

Case studies, completed projects and scientific contributions indicate that even after carrying out steps 1 to 3, considerable amounts of excess heat would remain. These amounts of heat could then be used for external supply. Aydemir and Rohde (2018), for example, analyse the potential for heat integration in German industry. Heat integration is an umbrella term for concepts for the thermal combination of stationary or discontinuous processes for the purpose of heat recovery through heat transfer (cf. Klemeš and Kravanja (2013)). With regard to the staged approach mentioned above, it is therefore the systematic elaboration of steps 1 to 3. To estimate the potential, Aydemir and Rohde (2018) use a step-by-step approach, with which they attempt to quantify the effect of a cascade-like process control of heat supply. As a result, a theoretical potential for heat integration of about 10–11 %, based on the final energy consumption of industry, is quantified. About 30 to about 50 % (depending on the scenario) are due to external heat integration, i.e. the external use of excess heat. This heat can be used either to provide heat for other production plants (cf. Aydemir et al. (2017)) or for district heating networks.

Another example is the contribution of Persson et al. (2014) by estimating the potential contribution of industrial excess heat to the heating of buildings for the EU-28. For this purpose, the European Pollutant Emission Register is used to estimate how much excess heat is generated by industrial plants, how much of it is used within the plants and how much excess heat could thus be provided externally for district heating networks. In addition, numerous projects have already been carried out in the past in which industrial excess heat has been integrated as an energy source for district heating networks, or corresponding projects are being planned (cf. Petersen&Energie (2017)).

Overall, industrial excess heat is therefore considered as a promising energy source for district heating networks, which might be on the one hand cheap and on the other hand can lead to overall greenhouse gas reductions, at least in the context of a transition phase towards a greenhouse gas neutral economy (cf. Buehler et al. (2018)). In this context, however, few analyses have been carried out to date that assess the potential for using industrial excess heat in district heating networks for the entire EU on a site-specific basis, taking into account the necessary infrastructure and corresponding costs.

Here, we present a new method to estimate the costs of supplying industrial excess heat to potential district heating areas by calculating potential pipeline connections based on network analysis. The method contains individual locations of heat sources and sinks, the potential pipeline connection between points and seasonal variations in both the supply and the demand profiles. We apply the method to an open data set containing industrial sites and heat demand densities for the EU-28 countries. We calculate cost-potential curves for the transport of excess heat from industrial sites to potential district heating clusters. Both, the method and the data are available as open source online tool (https://www.hotmaps.hevs.ch/map).

**Data and methodology**

**DATA**

The analysis builds on the following two major data-sets. Both are publicly available as open data.

- **Heat demand buildings:** To identify areas with promising properties for district heating networks, a map for the heat demand density of buildings is used. This map shows the estimated energy demand of buildings for space heating and hot water. The data are available in spatial resolution in the form of digital raster maps with a resolution of 100 by 100 metres and cover the EU-28. The estimation of energy demand is based, among other things, on population data, regionally differentiated building stock characteristics and locally differentiated heating degree days. The methodology is described in detail in Pezutto et al. (2018) and Müller et al. (2019). The data set is publicly available for download.1
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1. Industrial plants: https://gitlab.com/hotmaps/industrial_sites/industrial_sites_Industrial_Database.
**Excess heat from industrial sites:** For excess heat from industrial sites, a data set from Manz et al. (2018) was used. The data set estimates the industrial excess heat for 1,058 sites in the EU-28, in total about 94 TWh. The industrial sites are located by coordinates. The data set is based on data from the EU Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS data) and production statistics. In the ETS data, CO₂ emissions are shown for individual production sites, differentiated by industry and year (e.g. for the cement and lime production industry). The production statistics show national production quantities for certain products (e.g. national cement production in a certain year). The data set has been created in two basic steps. In the first step, based on national production statistics and the assigned CO₂ emissions in the ETS data, production volumes for specific products are estimated for the production sites listed in the ETS data. For this purpose, the following data are put in relation to each other: total allocated CO₂ emissions per industry and country, total production of a specific product per industry and country, and allocated CO₂ emissions per site. In principle, a specific CO₂ emission factor (CO₂/tonne of product) is formed for the national level for this estimation in order to estimate the production volumes for the sites under consideration. In the second step, the production quantities per site are assigned to a certain production process in order to allow a specific estimate of the excess heat potentials. In a third step, specific excess heat potentials from literature (in e.g. GJ/tonne of glass produced) are then related to each process. Here, we can consider specific differences across processes, whereas we assume there are no differences in the specific excess heat across countries for a single process. In the fourth step, the total available excess heat is calculated by multiplication with the total production quantity per site. The methodology is explained in more detail in Manz et al. (2018). The data set is publicly available for download.2

**Step 1: mapping areas with district heating potential**

For this step we use the map for the heat demand density of buildings (abbreviated heat map). A common approach is to identify areas with potential for district heating based on heat demand densities (energy demand per area). Above a defined minimum heat demand density, the area has district heating potential. The minimum heat demand densities to be defined are based either on empirical values or techno-economic considerations.

We implement this in our model in two steps. In the first step, all the cells of the heat map with heating demand below the minimum heat demand density are filtered and eliminated. By eliminating these cells from the map, we obtain groups of cells that are attached to each other (polygons). Each set of these attached cells constitutes a zone. In the second steps, the total heat demand in each of the zones is calculated. For a zone, if the total heat demand is higher than the minimum heat demand density, then, it is considered as potential district heating area. We define the minimum heat demand density in our model based on Persson et al. (2017), it is 50 TJ/km².

To use the network algorithm it is necessary to generate fictitious pipelines can be drawn for the supply of industrial excess heat. We do this by adding equidistant entry points to each zone.

**Step 2: Generation of networks to transport excess heat**

In the second step, we calculate how much industrial excess heat can be provided to supply the zones identified in step 1. To do this, we use the database of georeferenced industrial sites containing information on the amount of excess heat available and the temperature. Only sources with a temperature above 100 °C are included in the analysis, since the temperature for the flow of the heating network is assumed to be 100 °C. In addition, we use a self-developed heuristic model to design networks for heat transport between excess heat sources and district heating areas. The heuristic is based on five interim steps.

In the first interim step, all points within a considered area that do not exceed a maximum distance are connected. Thus, excess heat sources (in the following only sources) are connected to sinks, sources to sources and sinks to sinks. Then we cut out an area where all considered points do not exceed a defined minimum distance. In our analysis it is 50 kilometres. The maximum distance serves most of all to reduce the computational effort. If a too small maximum distance is selected, many interesting networks may not be found. If the distance is chosen too high, the computing time is increased unnecessarily, because waste heat feeds become unrealistic from a certain distance on.

The distance of the connections between the points are then used in the second interim step as so-called edge weights. A minimum spanning tree is computed with the distance of the edges as weights. Thus the distances within the network are reduced to the minimum, with the boundary condition that all points are still at least indirectly connected to each other (cf. Figure 1). At this point, the network is defined, but the actual heat flows are not yet considered.

In the third interim step the maximum possible heat transport is calculated for each network. This takes into account that several sinks can be connected in one network and the heat
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flow is reduced by each sink. In addition, the weekly load profile of possible relationships between sources and sinks is taken into account. The annual load profiles are aggregated to weeks over one year in order to consider seasonal changes in demand and supply. A higher resolution to e.g. daily profiles would also be possible, but would have increased the required computing performance substantially.

To find the maximum flow between source and sink (over the course of the year), the load profiles of the considered combination of source and sink are intersected. For each week considered, the value that is lower is then chosen to determine the maximum power flow for the combination (cf. Figure 2). In the left-hand example in Figure 2, the power of the heat source (i.e. the excess heat) would be consistently lower than the power of the heat sink. In the middle example, the power of the heat source would be partly higher and partly lower than the power of the heat sink. In our model, we want to use as much excess heat as possible, so in both cases the design of the components would refer to the power of the heat source.

In the example on the right, the power of the heat source is consistently higher than that of the heat sink. In this case, therefore, the maximum power of the heat sink would be used to design the system.

In the fourth interim step, the necessary investments and operating costs for connecting the points in the network are estimated and heat losses are considered. The edges represent pipelines. First, a diameter for the pipes per edge is selected based on the maximum power along the edges. For this purpose, relationships between the power to be transported and the associated usual nominal diameters from Nielsen et al. (2013) are used. Based on this, the investment for the pipelines is calculated according to the distances in the network per edge (using cost data for pipes per meter from Nielsen et al. (2013)). In addition, the pumping needs (PN) based on the pressure loss of the pipelines per edge is calculated according to equation 1. In this equation PL stands for the pressure drop and V for the water volume flow in the pipes; both are based on values given in a Swedish cost catalogue for district heating pipes with optimum flow velocities. (cf. Swedish District Heating Association et al. (2007)). $\eta_{\text{pump}}$ is the efficiency of the pump, which we assume to be 80%.

$$PN = \frac{PL \cdot V}{\eta_{\text{pump}}}$$

The investment required for pumps ($I_{\text{pump}}$) is calculated on the basis of equation 2. $P_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum rated power that the pump must deliver when maximum heat is transported (cf. Figure 2). CF is the cost factor based on Danish Energy Agency (2017).

$$I_{\text{pump}} = P_{\text{max}} \cdot CF_{\text{pump}}, \text{ with}$$

$$CF_{\text{pump}} = \begin{cases} 240 \text{ k euro/MW} & \text{if } P_{\text{max}} < 1 \text{ MW, or} \\ 90 \text{ k euro/MW} & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the heat loss for the pipelines is calculated according to Equation 3. In this equation, L is the pipe length, HI is the insulator conductivity, $T_s$ the temperature of the water in the pipe, $T_e$ the temperature outside the pipes, which is approximated with the soil temperature, IT is the insulation thickness and HD the pipe’s hydraulic diameter. The parameters for the variables are taken from a Swedish cost catalogue for district heating pipes based on the nominal diameter for the pipes, i.e. for the insulation thickness standard values based on the diameters for the pipes are used (cf. Swedish District Heating Association et al. (2007)).

$$L_{\text{loss}} = \frac{L \cdot (HI \cdot (T_s - T_e))^2}{IT \cdot HD}$$

Figure 1. Visualisation of steps 1 and 2: Calculation of the minimum spanning tree between all points. Red represents heat sinks, blue heat sources.

Figure 2. Visualisation for the intersection of load profiles; blue is for the sink, red for the source.
4. TECHNOLOGY, PROCESSES AND SYSTEMS

Figure 3. Exemplary network generated based on the described method.

Based on the previous calculations, a ratio of costs to heat transport (CH) is defined for each pipe according to equation 6. \( I_{\text{pipe}} \) and \( I_{\text{pump}} \) are investments. CRF is the discount factor for taking into account the cost of capital (cf. equation 7). \( C_{\text{OMD}} \) includes the costs of operating a pump corresponding to the calculated pumping needs from equation (1) and electricity costs for industrial users per EU country from Eurostat (2018). Furthermore, maintenance costs, which are assumed to be one percent of the investment sum are included in \( C_{\text{OMD}} \). EH is the used excess heat flowing through the considered pipe and HL are the heat losses reducing the transported excess heat in this pipe. Please note that the factor CH does not include the costs for heat exchangers.

\[
CH = \left( CRF \cdot (I_{\text{pipe}} + I_{\text{pump}}) + C_{\text{OMD}} \right) + \left( EH_{\text{source}} - HL_{\text{source}} \right) / \text{with}
\]

\[
CRF = \frac{(1 + i)^n}{(1 + i)^n - 1}
\]

In the fifth interim step, we use CH to optimize the design of the network. For this purpose, a maximum ratio of cost to heat transport (CHmax) per pipeline is first defined. For the variation, all pipes that exceed this maximum ratio are then removed. Since the flow in the network is then interrupted, a new network is generated based on the previous steps 2 and 3. This is repeated iteratively until CH falls for all pipelines in the network below CHmax. Basically the following relationships apply: the higher the CHmax, the more industrial excess heat is transported in the network. However, a higher CHmax also means higher investments and costs for the network and thus has an impact on the costs of heat transport in the network.

Finally, the costs of transporting industrial excess heat per network are calculated using Equation 8. This equation includes the costs for all components of the final total network (i.e. all pipelines, pumps and heat exchangers) and these are set in relation to the delivered excess heat. We call this the levelised cost of heat (LCOH) of the respective network.

\[
\text{LCOH} = \left( CRF \cdot (I_{\text{all pipes}} + I_{\text{all pumps}} + I_{\text{all heat exchangers}}) \right) + C_{\text{OMD}} + \left( EH_{\text{delivered in complete network}} - HL_{\text{in complete network}} \right)
\]

The factor CHmax thus determines how much heat is transported in the networks at what cost and thus also effects the resulting LCOH per network. Therefore, by varying the CHmax factor we can develop cost-potential curves for the heat transport. An example network for the province Hainaut in Belgium is shown in Figure 3.

Results: Case study for EU-28 excess heat potentials

Figure 4 shows the amount of excess heat delivered for the countries investigated as a function of the LCOH for the networks in the form of cost-potential curves. For all countries together, up to LCOH of 0.75 ct./kWh about 34 TWh of excess heat is delivered, which corresponds to 36 % of the total excess heat in the data set. For an LCOH of up to 1.5 ct./kWh, 46 TWh of excess heat are delivered, which corresponds to about 49 % of the amount in the data set; up to 2.0 ct./kWh 6.5 TWh are added, so that in total 51 TWh are delivered, which corresponds to 54 %. From this point on, the increase decreases strongly with increasing LCOH, up to 5 ct./kWh only 2.3 TWh are added, so that in total 53 TWh are delivered, which corresponds to a share of about 57 %. After that, no additional excess heat is delivered, because in our model the heat losses would exceed the possible supply quantities.

With regard to the share of countries in relation to the total excess heat delivered, two countries dominate in the analysis. With an LCOH of 0.75 ct./kWh, Germany and Italy have a share of 45 % of the total excess heat supplied (Germany: 26 %, Italy: 19 %). This trend continues even with higher LCOH, with an LCOH of 1.5 ct./kWh these two countries still have a share of 38 %. This indicates that in these two countries there are many excess heat sources close to residential areas with district heating potential that could be tapped relatively cheaply. The second group of countries with rather high shares of the total excess heat delivered are France, Spain, Belgium and the UK, with shares of 7–9 % at an LCOH of 1.5 ct./kWh.

Figure 5 shows the delivered excess heat differentiated for the investigated countries in a map. The picture described above; Germany and Italy supply comparatively large amounts of excess heat, is reflected in the map. In addition, it can be seen that with increasing LCOH more excess heat is delivered, e.g. the interval for France and Portugal changes when the LCOH rises from up to 0.75 ct./kWh to up to 1.5 ct./kWh.

Figure 6 shows the delivered excess heat in relation to the available excess heat per country. It can be seen that even at an
LCOH of up to 0.75 ct./kWh, more than 80% of the available excess heat in Denmark and more than 60% of the available excess heat in the Netherlands is delivered. With an LCOH of up to 1.5 ct/kWh, more than 60% of the excess heat is also delivered in Austria, Belgium, Germany and Finland.

Discussion

In this paper the potential of industrial excess heat for district heating networks is investigated. For this purpose, among other things, a database for industrial sites is used, which estimates the externally available excess heat potential of the sites under consideration on the basis of literature data and public data. However, it should be emphasised that it is not always completely clear from the literature figures used to what extent internal heat utilisation possibilities have been taken into account in the figures. The more consistently internal utilisation possibilities are exploited, the lower the potential for external provision and thus the potential for industrial excess heat for district heating. There is therefore still a need for research in this area to quantify the potential. This is particularly important against the background of a possible change in the provision of process heat in the future, with the aim of decarbonising it. In this context heat pumps powered by renewable electricity are discussed as a promising option. In order to increase the efficiency of heat pumps in industry, the use of excess heat is essential. In such a scenario, this could well lead to the fact that at many industrial sites significantly more excess heat could be used internally and thus less excess heat would be left for external supply.

The industrial excess heat included in the data set used is relatively low compared to other estimates of industrial excess heat for the EU-28. For example, Persson et al. (2014) estimated a potential of 398 TWh of industrial excess heat for the EU-27, which is about four times higher than the sum in our data set. One reason is that Persson et al. (2014) have recorded more production sites compared to Manz et al. (2018). However, the excess heat is not estimated on the basis of technical processes, but rather simplified on the basis of characteristic values. Papapetrou et al. (2018) estimate an industrial excess heat potential of 304 TWh for the EU. However, they use a top-down approach, so no estimation is made for individual production sites. Our analysis therefore only refers to a fraction of the available excess heat. This means that this analysis cannot yet show what proportion of the total industrial excess heat within the EU-28 could be used for heat supply at what cost. Therefore, the relative results of the analysis are of particular interest: what percentage of the excess heat from the data set is provided at what cost.

With regard to the method, it should be noted that although distances are taken into account, local conditions are not. For...
Figure 5. Excess heat delivered per country for different LCOH.
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Figure 6. Excess heat delivered in % of available heat in dataset per country for different LCOH.
example, the course of roads or existing buildings can signifi-
cantly change the cost of laying district heating pipes. Further
developments are necessary at this point. For example, by using
GIS systems to take greater account of local conditions when
calculating costs.

Finally, it should be stressed that the analysis examines the
potential for using industrial excess heat in areas with prom-
ising characteristics for district heating. This does not mean,
of course, that district heating networks must already exist in
these areas. At this point, therefore, an analysis that takes into
account the real distribution of existing district heating net-
works in Europe would be desirable.

Conclusion
In the present analysis, we develop a method to generically
calculate transport costs for feeding industrial excess heat into
potential district heating areas. We apply the method to data
containing excess heat potentials from 1,058 industrial sites in
Europe. We find that about 50% of the excess heat could be pro-
vided at transport costs of up to 1.5 ct./kWh. This includes in-
frastructure investments as well as operating and maintenance
costs. In addition, the load profile of the heat suppliers and the
possible heat consumers is taken into account when matching
heat sources and sinks. The method and the open data set allow
similar assessments for selected regions and countries.

All in all, the calculated potentials and their transport costs
indicate that industrial excess heat is an attractive energy
source for district heating networks and can contribute to de-
carbonise district heating grids at low costs. However, it has
to be underlined that many of the calculated excess heat po-
tentials first require the built-up of district heating infra-
structure. The connection of excess heat sources can be a driver
to establish a local district heating infrastructure (cf. Popovski
et al. (2019)). Nevertheless, the question has to be addressed
to what extent this will remain so in the future against the
background of a changing industry. Of particular interest here
is how a possible decarbonisation of the supply of industrial
process heat will change the supply of industrial excess heat
quantitatively and qualitatively; and to what extent this will
affect the possible role of industrial excess heat for district
heating networks.
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