

Christian Doppler Forschungsgesellschaft

IPE

Modelling of grain boundary cementite growth kinetics in hypereutectoid steels by conventional and autocatalytic ledge growth approaches

<u>Marko Vogric</u>^{1,2}, Ernst Kozeschnik², Jiří Svoboda³, Sabine Zamberger⁴, Erwin Povoden-Karadeniz^{1,2} 16.09.2021, EUROMAT 2021

¹ Christian Doppler Laboratory for Interfaces and Precipitation Engineering CDL-IPE, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

- ² Institute of Materials Science and Technology, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria
- ³ Institute of Physics of Materials, Academy of Science of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic
- ⁴ voestalpine Forschungsservicegesellschaft Donawitz GmbH, Leoben, Austria

The microstructure of hypereutectoid steels



Bright field light microscopy micrograph of an as-cast hypereutectoid steel (1.2wt%C – 1wt%Mn – 1wt%Cu), 200x magnification

[1] Ando, Krauss, Acta Metall., 29, 1981, 351-363

stepped austenite/cementite interface austenite

Two relevant parameters for grain boundary (GB) cementite:

- GB cementite thickness
- GB cementite continuity

large facets

austenite

fine facets

^{Cementite}

--grain boundary



5

3

Film half-thickness (µm)

(a)

3

2

Film half-thickness (µm)

(b)

State of the art – GB cementite thickening





1st approach: Precipitate nucleation and growth – Model



Schematic precipitate distribution and diffusion fields

Precipitation of cementite spheres at austenite GB:

Classical nucleation theory

$$J = NZ\beta^* exp\left(\frac{-G^*}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) exp\left(\frac{-\tau}{t}\right)$$

- SFFK model for size & chemical evolution of precipitates [1,2]
- Conical diffusion fields & fast GB diffusion [3]
- Interface energy calculated from the Generalized Broken Bond model [4]
- Energy gain at heterogeneous nucleation sites [5]

$$\Delta G_{\rm nucl} = \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho^3\Delta G_{\rm vol} + 4\pi\rho^2\gamma - \Delta G_{\rm het}$$

- Nuclei composition control criterion: maximum nucleation rate
- Reduced nucleation site efficiency



growth-controlled evolution of precipitates, no influence of coarsening

Simulations on the software MatCalc (v6.04) with mc_fe open thermodynamic & diffusion databases

[1] Svoboda et al., Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 385, 2004, 166-174
[4] Sonderegger, Kozeschnik, Metall. Mater. Trans. A, 40, 2009, 499-510
[2] Kozeschnik et al., Mater. Sci. Eng. A, 385, 2004, 157-165
[5] Miesenberger et al., unpublished research
[3] Kozeschnik et al., Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng., 18, 2010, 015011

TU 1st appro

1st approach: Precipitate nucleation and growth – Results





Experimental and simulated time evolution of grain boundary cementite halfthickness (a); Equilibrium and simulated cementite phase fraction (b); Simulated precipitate number density (c)



1st approach: Precipitate nucleation and growth – Predictive TTP/CCP diagrams



Simulated TTP (a) and CCP (b) diagrams for different values of half-thickness d

Second approach: Autocatalytic ledgewise growth – Model





Control of the thickening kinetics by the ledge formation rate:

Assuming all ledges have the same height h = 7 nm

$$G_{\rm L} = h N_{\rm L}$$

$$N_{\rm L} = N_{\rm L0} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{\rm eff}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)$$

 G_{L} : Cementite thickening rate N_{L} : Ledge production rate

 $E_{\rm eff}$ is the effective energy barrier to ledge nucleation

$$E_{\rm eff} = \alpha \ln \left(\frac{t}{\tau_0} + 1 \right)$$

 α : energy factor τ_0 : incubation time for the 1st ledge = $1/N_{L0}$

• (α, τ_0) determined from experimental data

Second approach: Autocatalytic ledgewise growth – Results

integration conversion approaches



Experimental and simulated effective energy barrier from the Fullman and integration conversion approaches





Two modeling approaches:

- SFFK model for nucleation and growth of cementite GB precipitates
- Control of cementite thickness by ledge nucleation rate, dependent on time-dependent E_{eff}

Both models deliver significantly improved results compared to previous published models.

<u>Next steps:</u> linking ledge nucleation control to austenite & cementite thermodynamic and thermokinetic parameters



Understanding austenite/cementite interface evolution, local thickness variations or breaks in GB cementite continuity.

The financial support by the Austrian Federal Ministry for Digital and Economic Affairs and the National Foundation for Research, Technology and Development is gratefully acknowledged.





Fullman approach [1]:

TU

- Assuming spherical grains, homogeneous thickness and disc-like shape for the GB films
- BUT expression defined for derivation of disc thickness, not film thickness

$$d_{2D} = 2d$$

Integration approach:

- Assuming spherical grains and homogeneous thickness
- Based on the integration of the film half-thickness over the quarter of a circle perimeter

$$d_{2D} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left((R+d) \cos \cos \left(\frac{R \sin \sin \theta}{R+d} \right) - R \cos \cos \theta \right) d\theta$$



Appendix: 1st model – Influence of model parameters (1/2)

0



Simulated half-thickness and precipitate number density with or without energy gain at heterogeneous nucleation sites (a,b) and for different nuclei composition criteria (c,d)

Appendix: 1st model – Influence of model parameters (2/2)



Simulated half-thickness and precipitate number density for different values of nucleation site efficiency (e,f) and for different diffusion field geometries (g,h)

10⁵

10⁵